# **Pantnagar Journal of Research**

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

### ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Tej Partap, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. N.S. Jadon, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Chauhan, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadaun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

### **EDITORIALBOARD**

### Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A. Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

### Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

### Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

### **Assistant Managing Editor**

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

### **Technical Manager**

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

### PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

### Vol. 19(1)

January-April, 2021

### CONTENTS

| Study of genetic diversity in bread wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.em.Thell) under late sown irrigated conditions<br>VIJAY KAMAL MEENA, R K SHARMA, NARESH KUMAR, MONU KUMAR and ATTAR SINGH   | 1   |
|--|-----|
| Selection of teosinte ( <i>Zea mays</i> subsp. <i>parviglumis</i> ) predomestication alleles to inflate maize genetic resources<br>SMRUTISHREE SAHOO, NARENDRA KUMAR SINGH and ANJALI JOSHI  | 8   |
| Effect of crop establishment methods and nutrient management options on productivity and economics of baby corn ( <i>Zea mays</i> L.)<br>ABHISHEK BAHUGUNA and MAHENDRA SINGH PAL  | 16  |
| <b>Effect of organic and inorganic mulches on soil properties and productivity of chilli (</b> <i>Capsicum annuum l.</i> <b>)</b><br><b>crop grown on alfisols</b><br>K. ASHOK KUMAR, C. INDU, J. NANDA KUMAR REDDY, M. BABY, P. DINESH KUMAR and C.RAMANA | 21  |
| Performance of plant growth promotory rhizobacteria on maize and soil characteristics under the influence of TiO <sub>2</sub><br>nanoparticles<br>HEMA KUMARI, PRIYANKA KHATI, SAURABH GANGOLA, PARUL CHAUDHARY and ANITA SHARMA                           | 28  |
| Bio-efficacy of <i>Ageratum houstonianum</i> Mill. (Asteraceae) essential oil against five major insect pests of stored cereals and pulses<br>JAI HIND SHARMA and S. N. TIWARI   | 40  |
| <b>Resistance in rice genotypes against brown planthopper,</b> <i>Nilaparvata lugens</i> 14 SWOYAM SINGH and S.N. TIWARI   | 46  |
| Fumigant toxicity of alpha-pinene, beta-pinene, eucalyptol, linalool and sabinene against Rice Weevil,<br><i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (L.)<br>JAI HIND SHARMA and S.N.TIWARI  | 50  |
| Potato Dry Rot: Pathogen, disease cycle, ecology and management<br>SANJAY KUMAR, PARVINDER SINGH SEKHON and AMANPREET SINGH  | 56  |
| Health status of farmers' saved seed of wheat crop in Haryana<br>S. S. JAKHAR, SUNIL KUMAR, AXAY BHUKER, ANIL KUMAR MALIK and DINESH KUMAR   | 70  |
| Socio economic impact of rice variety CO 51 on farmers in Kancheepuram and Tiruvarur districts of Tamil Nadu<br>DHARMALINGAM, P., P. BALASUBRAMANIAMand P. JEYAPRAKASH   | 73  |
| Assessment of students' knowledge level on e-learning, e-resources and IoT<br>S.SENTHIL VINAYAGAM and K.AKHILA   | 77  |
| An analysis of the factors influencing the opinion of social media users on online education and online<br>purchasing in Namakkal district of Tamil Nadu<br>N. DHIVYA and R. RAJASEKARAN   | 81  |
| Nutritional status of children in Uttarakhand: A case study<br>ANURADHA DUTTA, AMRESH SIROHI, PRATIBHA SINGH, SUDHA JUKARIA, SHASHI TEWARI,<br>NIVEDITA PRASAD, DEEPA JOSHI, SHWETA SURI and SHAYANI BOSE  | 86  |
| Performance evaluation of hydraulic normal loading device on varying soil conditions for indoor tyre test rig<br>SATYA PRAKASH KUMAR, K.P. PANDEY, MANISH KUMARand RANJEET KUMAR   | 90  |
| Performance evaluation of bullock drawn plastic mulch cum drip lateral laying machine<br>A. V. KOTHIYA, A. M. MONPARA and B. K. YADUVANSHI   | 96  |
| <b>Performance evaluation of bullock drawn battery powered sowing machine</b><br>A. M. MONPARA, A. V. KOTHIYA and R. SWARNKAR  | 103 |

## Effect of crop establishment methods and nutrient management options on productivity and economics of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.)

ABHISHEK BAHUGUNA and MAHENDRA SINGH PAL

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, G B Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145 (U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand)

**ABSTRACT:** Baby corn is a highly nutritious and fetching high market price but very sensitive to moisture and cold. Normally it is grown in *Kharif* season but it can be grown throughout year with good management practices. Therefore, the present study was carried out in *Kharif* season-2018 to study the effect of crop establishment methods and nutrient management options on productivity and economics of baby corn. The experimental results indicated that ridge planted crop with application of 75%RDF+25%VC gave significantly higher baby corn yield, TSS, gross returns and microbial population. The nitrogen and protein content, net returns, B: C ratio and per day income were recorded under ridge planting. The net profit and net income/day were recorded at 100% RDF that was statistically similar to 75%RDF+25%VC. The microbial population and apparent soil fertility were found higher at 100%VC but it had the lowest net profit and apparent soil fertility. Therefore, the baby corn may be planted on ridges with application of 75%RDF+25%VC for higher baby corn productivity, quality and net profit in whole Indo-Gangetic plains of India.

Key words: Apparent soil fertility, baby corn, economics, microbial population, TSS

Maize (Zea mays L.) is widely grown in India and abroad for multiple use covering on 9.22 m ha area, 28.72 mt production with productivity 3.12 metric ton/ha during 2017-18. Presently the specialty maize i.e., baby corn, sweet corn, pop corn and quality protein maize, is gaining popularity due to its special values and high market prices. Therefore, baby corn is one of the better options for both net profit and quality green fodder. It takes only 55-60 days and 3-4 crops can be harvested yearly with good management practices but best agronomy varies with agro-climatic conditions. Presently the modern crop establishment options like furrow irrigated raised bed system (FIRBS) and ridge bed or raised bed planting are being used particularly under low land and high moisture conditions for better crop establishment. Baby corn differs with common maize in morphology, physiology and nutritional requirement mainly because it is grown under higher planting density, hence it requires higher nutrition than common maize (Joshi and Pal, 2019). Therefore, optimum nutrient management under different plant establishment methods is of immense importance for maximizing its production. The current knowledge on different crop establishment methods in conjunction with nutrient management is meager, so it essentially requires standardizing for better crop productivity. Therefore, the present study was carried out to study the effect of crop establishment methods and nutrient management options on productivity and economics of baby corn (Zea mays L.).

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Field experiment was carried out at Instructional Dairy Farm, Nagla, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar in Kharif season of 2018 to study the 'effect of crop establishment methods and nutrient management options on productivity and economics of baby corn (Zea mays L.)'. The experimental site was located in the Tarai region of Shivalik range of Himalayas in between latitude of 29° N to longitude of 79.3° E and at an altitude of 243.84 meter above the mean sea level. During the experimental period, weekly mean maximum and minimum temperature was ranged between 37.2° C and 24.1°C with relative humidity from 76.4 to 93.6%. An average 93mm rainfall was received during the crop season. The soil was slightly silty clay loam in texture with granular structure having soil pH 7.16, EC 0.190dS/m, organic carbon 0.47% and available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, 282.5, 28.2 and 235kg/ha, respectively. The experiment consisted of 3 establishment methods i.e. 'flatbed planting', 'flatbed planting followed by (fb) earthing up' and 'ridge bed planting' and 4 nutrient management options i.e. 'control'(no organic and chemical fertilizer application), '100%VC@10t/ha'(VCvermicompost), '100%RDF'(recommended dose of fertilizers i.e. 180:60:40::N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>0 kg/ha, '50% RDF+50%VC' and '75%RDF+25%VC' was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The dose of vermicompost (VC) was decided based on nitrogen equivalent basis which also fulfilled the required amount of phosphorus and potassium. The vermicompost (VC) used for the experiment had 1.8, 0.72 and 0.84% nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, respectively which was applied one week before sowing, while full dose of P and K was applied as a basal but nitrogen was applied in 3 equal splits; one third as basal, one third at knee high stage

and one third at pre tasseling stage. 'VL-Baby Corn 1' variety was sown manually in 60 cm x 15cm planting geometry at 4cm sowing depth. Prior to sowing, seed was treated with carbendazim @ 3g per kg seed. Pendimethalin @ 1 kg a.i./ha as pre emergence herbicide was sprayed on second day of sowing with the help of knap sack sprayer followed by one hand weeding at 30 days after sowing. One spray of chlorpyriphos @ 2 ml per liter water was also done at 30 days after sowing to protect the crop from sucking and cutting pests.

The baby com yield, total soluble solids (TSS), nitrogen and protein content, economics, B:C ratio, microbial population i.e. bacterial count, fungi and actinomycetes and apparent soil fertility were recorded. The TSS was measured with the help of hand refractometer. The nitrogen content in baby corn was estimated by Kjeldahl method after each pick and averaged. The crude protein content is the resultant of N content multiplied with coefficient factor 6.25. The microbial population was estimated in soil after harvest of crop by standard procedure (Pramer and Schmidt, 1964). The apparent nutrient balance in soil was calculated by deducting the initial N, P and K content from estimated N, P and K values after crop harvest (Joshi, 2016).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### *Effect of establishment method* Baby corn yield

The baby corn yield differed significantly due to establishment methods (Table.1). The baby corn yield was recorded significantly highest at ridge bed planting followed by flatbed fb earthing up and flatbed method, respectively with 7 and 14% yield superiority over flatbed fb earthing up and flat method, respectively. Similarly, flatbed fb earthing up produced 8.5% higher baby corn yield than flat method as earthing was found beneficial for plant growth. The higher yield under ridge bed planting might be due to better aeration and drainage resulting in to higher plant growth and development than flatbed fb earthing up and flatbed planting. The ridge bed planting improved plant growth mainly due to better conditions for root growth and nutrient uptake with 20-30% water saving and higher water productivity (Bakht *et al.*, 2011).

### Quality of baby corn

The quality attributes like nitrogen content, TSS and protein content were influenced significantly by establishment methods (Table.1). The highest TSS was recorded under ridge bed planting that had nearly 1.0 and 2.0% higher TSS than flatbed fb earthing up and flat bed planting, respectively. The higher TSS under ridge bed planting method was caused due to better soil conditions for growth and development resulting higher formation of better phtotosynthates. Painyuli *et al.* (2013) also reported

higher TSS of sweet corn under ridge bed planting.

The ridge bed planting had significantly highest N content compared to flatbed planting method but it showed nonsignificant effect with flatbed planting *fb* earthing up. Higher nitrogen content might be due to better soil physical conditions favoring higher nutrient uptake. These results are in close agreement with the results reported by Painyuli et al. (2013). The protein content was recorded significantly higher under ridge bed planting method than flatbed *fb* earthing up and flatbed method with 0.54 and 2.4% higher values, respectively. Similarly, baby corn planted at flatbed fb earthing up produced 2% higher protein content than flatbed method. The higher protein content was caused due to increased nitrogen content in baby corn. Kumar and Narayan (2018) found that bed planting gave 14.17% higher yield and significantly higher protein, oil and starch content in sweet corn than furrow planting crop.

### **Economics**

The ridge bed planting had significantly highest gross return, net return, B:C ratio and net profit per day followed by flatbed *fb* earthing up and significantly lowest with flat bed planting method. The ridge planting gave 8.1% and 16.4% higher gross return and 11.7% and 24.6% higher net return than flatbed planting *fb* earthing up and flatbed planting method, respectively. The flat bed planting fb earthing up produced 7.6% and 11.5% higher gross and net return than flatbed planting method, respectively. The higher net income and B:C ratio at ridge bed planting resulted in generation of higher net profit per day i.e., Rs 286/- and Rs 151/- higher than flatbed and flatbed fb earthing up method, respectively. Manea (2014) and Nagdeote et al. (2016) also reported higher gross and net return as well as B:C ratio of sweet and baby corn, respectively planted in ridge and furrow method than flatbed planting.

### **Microbial population**

Soil microbial population i.e., bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes was recorded after crop harvest and it's a good indicator of soil health. The establishment methods had significant influence on soil microbial population (Table.2). The significantly highest bacterial count in soil was recorded in ridge bed planting method and it remained statistically at par with flatbed planting fb earthing up and significantly lowest under flatbed planting method. The higher bacterial population was recorded due to well aerated conditions that promoted growth of aerobic bacteria in soil. Hemmat and Eskandari (2004) also reported higher bacterial count at raised bed planting than flatbed planting due to proper aeration. Similarly, the fungi population was recorded significantly higher in ridge bed planting followed by flatbed fb earthing up and flatbed method. Fungi are the aerobic microbes and ridge bed planting provided more aerated

condition in soil that resulted in higher fungi population in soil. The highest actinomycetes population was found in ridge bed planting method that was significantly similar to flatbed fb earthing up and the lowest at flatbed method. Actinomycetes also required aerobic condition for their survival hence the highest actinomycetes count was also recorded at ridge bed planting.

### Apparent nutrient balance

The highest nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium balance was recorded in flatbed planting followed by flatbed fb earthing up and flatbed planting method, respectively. The positive nutrient balance was found in case of phosphorus and potassium under all establishment methods, however, flatbed fb earthing up and ridge bed planting method recorded negative apparent nitrogen balance. The higher apparent nutrient balance under flatbed method was caused due to least nutrient uptake in plants. Raised bed planting led to higher soil respiration rate which had positive effect on soil microbial population (Goverts *et al.*, 2007).

### *Effect of nutrient management* Baby corn yield

The baby corn yield was recorded significantly highest at 75% RDF+25% VC followed by 100% RDF, 50% RDF+50% VC, 100% VC and control, with 3, 13.0, 25.0 and 60.0% higher value, respectively. Similarly, baby corn yield was nearly by 58.6 and 46.3% higher under 100% RDF and 100% VC, respectively than control. Singh (2011) and Kumar and Chawla (2015) also reported higher corn yield under ridge bed and trench planting than flatbed planted crop.

### Quality of baby corn

The TSS recorded significantly higher at 75% RDF+25% VC than 50% RDF+50% VC, 100% VC and control but non-significant with 100% RDF. The application of 50% RDF+50% VC had recorded significantly similar TSS with 100% VC. Dalvi et al. (2009) also reported increased TSS of sweet corn at INM. The nitrogen and protein content were found highest at 100% RDF. 100%RDF recorded significantly higher nitrogen content that was statistically at par with 75% RDF+25% VC and significantly lowest N content was found at control followed by 100% VC and 50% RDF+50% VC. Similarly the application of both 100% RDF and 75% RDF+25% VC recorded 1.9, 3.11 and 12.5% higher protein content than 50% RDF+50% VC, 100% VC and control, respectively. 100% VC recorded 9% higher protein content than control. The increased N content under INM was caused due to solublization of nutrients in root zone by the release of organic acids from decaying vermicompost. Pinjari et al. (2009) also reported significantly higher nutrient content in maize grain in 75% RDN+25% poultry manure. Jinjala et al. (2016) reported significantly higher vitamin C, total sugar and numerically higher crude protein content at application of 100% nitrogen than vermicompost.

#### **Economics**

The gross return, net return, B:C ratio and per day net income were influenced significantly by nutrient management (Table.1). The significantly higher gross return was found at 75% RDF+25% VC followed by 100% RDF, 50% RDF+50% VC, 100% VC and control, respectively. The application of 75% RDF+25% VC and 100% RDF recorded 34.4 and 27.8% higher gross return than 100%VC, respectively. Similarly, gross return was increased nearly by 88 and 141% higher at 100%VC and 100% RDF, respectively than control.

The application of 100% RDF recorded significantly highest net return that was statistically at par with 75% RDF+25% VC. The net profit realization from 100% VC and control was found significantly similar but significantly lower than 50% RDF+50% VC. The 100% RDF generated 3.1, 32 and 64% higher net return than 75% RDF+25% VC, 50% RDF+50% VC and 100% VC, respectively. Application of 100% VC and 100% RDF produced 6.1 and 195% higher net return, respectively than control. The B:C ratio was recorded significantly highest with application of 100% RDF followed by 75% RDF+25% VC, however, 100% VC recorded significantly the lowest B:C ratio. The application of vermicompost resulted in lower B:C ratio as it is costly than chemical fertilizers and its application increased the cost of production which ultimately reduced the net return. Similarly, application of 100% RDF generated significantly highest net profit per day of Rs 1949/- which was 3, 32 and 64% higher than 75% RDF+25% VC, 50% RDF+50% VC and 100% VC, respectively. The higher values of gross return, net return and B:C ratio was caused due higher baby corn and fodder yield along with lower cost of production. Nagvani and Subbian (2014) also reported higher net return per hectare and B:C ratio at 100% RDF than INM treatments.

### **Microbial population**

The microbial population varied significantly by nutrient management (Table.2). Significantly highest bacterial count was recorded at 100% VC followed by 50% RDF+50% VC. The 75% RDF+25% VC and 100% RDF had significantly higher bacterial count than control but remained non-significant with each other. The substitution of chemical fertilizer with vermicompost resulted in more bacterial count in soil possibly due to more bacterial population on vermicompost and vermicast. Khan *et al.* (2017) also reported higher microbial population at INM.

The application of 100%VC recorded significantly

highest fungi and actinomycetes population in soil, however 50 and 75% substitution of VC with chemical fertilizers had significantly higher fungi count than 100% RDF but remained statistically similar to each other. The fungi are the saprophytic organism and depend on the dead decaying organic matter for their food demand. The addition of vermicompost added organic matter in soil enriched with microbial activity that resulted in higher fungal count and actinomycetes in soil.

#### Apparent nutrient balance

The highest apparent nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium balance was recorded at 100% VC followed by 50% RDF+50% VC, 75% RDF+25% VC, 100% RDF and lowest at control. The 100% RDF and control had negative apparent nutrient balance. The higher soil microbial population under 100% VC facilitated faster nutrient mineralization added more nutrients in soil.

Similar findings were observed by Joshi (2016). Khan *et al.* (2017) reported the highest population of bacteria (68.6 ×10<sup>5</sup> CFU/g) and fungi (71.3 ×10<sup>5</sup> CFU/g) under the treatment 75% NPK through inorganic fertilizer+25% farm yard manure.

### CONCLUSION

Experiment findings indicated that ridge planting method had higher baby corn yield, TSS, protein content, net profit, soil microbial population and also apparent nutrient balance. Among nutrient management options, 75% RDF+25% VC gave higher baby corn productivity, TSS and gross return, however the net return and net income/day were recorded higher with application of 100% RDF and it remained statistically similar to 75% RDF+25% VC. Therefore, it is concluded that baby corn may be grown under ridge bed planting method with application of 75% RDF+25% VC for higher baby corn

| Treatment                   | Baby corn<br>yield (kg/ha) | TSS<br>(%) | N content<br>(%) | Protein content (%) | Gross returns<br>(Rs/ha) | Net Returns<br>(Rs/ha) | B:C ratio | Net profit<br>(Rs/day) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Crop establishment methods  |                            |            |                  |                     |                          |                        |           |                        |
| Flatbed planting            | 1235                       | 10.1       | 1.80             | 10.87               | 109416                   | 69839                  | 3.20      | 1164                   |
| Flatbed fb earthing up      | 1341                       | 10.2       | 1.84             | 11.08               | 117774                   | 77918                  | 3.43      | 1299                   |
| Ridge bed planting          | 1440                       | 10.3       | 1.84             | 11.14               | 127325                   | 87048                  | 3.63      | 1450                   |
| S Em±                       | 11                         | 0.1        | 0.01             | 0.004               | 444                      | 440                    | 0.02      | 07                     |
| LSD (p=0.05)                | 44                         | NS         | 0.02             | 0.02                | 1790                     | 1773                   | 0.07      | 30                     |
| Nutrient management options |                            |            |                  |                     |                          |                        |           |                        |
| Control                     | 674                        | 9.2        | 1.62             | 10.13               | 59219                    | 39596                  | 3.01      | 660                    |
| 100% VC @ 10t/ha)           | 1256                       | 10.1       | 1.77             | 11.05               | 111650                   | 42027                  | 1.78      | 700                    |
| 100% RDF                    | 1630                       | 10.6       | 1.82             | 11.40               | 142778                   | 116954                 | 5.54      | 1949                   |
| 50% RDF +50% VC             | 1458                       | 10.3       | 1.79             | 11.18               | 127145                   | 79421                  | 2.67      | 1324                   |
| 75% RDF +25% VC             | 1686                       | 10.7       | 1.82             | 11.40               | 150068                   | 113344                 | 4.09      | 1889                   |
| SEm±                        | 15                         | 0.16       | 0.003            | 0.02                | 2128                     | 2128                   | 0.06      | 35                     |
| LSD (p=0.05)                | 45                         | 0.5        | 0.01             | 0.06                | 6249                     | 6249                   | 0.16      | 104                    |

 Table 2: Effect of crop establishment method and nutrient management options on soil microbial population and apparent nutrient balance

| Treatment                     | S                                  | oil microbial pop         | oulation                          | Apparent nutrient balance |                       |                      |  |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
|                               | Bacteria<br>(cfu×10 <sup>4</sup> ) | Fungi $(cfu \times 10^2)$ | Actinomycetes $(cfu \times 10^4)$ | Nitrogen<br>(kg/ha)       | Phosphorus<br>(kg/ha) | Potassium<br>(kg/ha) |  |
| Crop establishment methods    |                                    |                           |                                   |                           |                       |                      |  |
| Flatbed Planting              | 4.33                               | 4.12                      | 0.95                              | 1.78                      | 2.37                  | 5.07                 |  |
| Flatbed <i>fb</i> earthing up | 5.07                               | 4.50                      | 1.15                              | -0.523                    | 1.21                  | 2.51                 |  |
| Ridge bed Planting            | 5.33                               | 5.27                      | 1.23                              | -2.61                     | 0.47                  | 1.75                 |  |
| SEm±                          | 0.15                               | 0.26                      | 0.40                              | -                         | -                     | -                    |  |
| LSD (p=0.05)                  | 0.62                               | 0.30                      | 0.14                              | -                         | -                     | -                    |  |
| Nutrient management options   |                                    |                           |                                   |                           |                       |                      |  |
| Control                       | 2.67                               | 2.56                      | 0.41                              | -11.76                    | -6.06                 | -16.57               |  |
| 100% VC @ 10t/ha)             | 7.67                               | 7.06                      | 2.00                              | 10.84                     | 7.18                  | 21.18                |  |
| 100% RDF                      | 3.22                               | 2.87                      | 0.44                              | -7.43                     | -1.20                 | -3.81                |  |
| 50% RDF +50% VC               | 6.22                               | 5.60                      | 1.50                              | 5.47                      | 4.09                  | 9.58                 |  |
| 75% RDF +25% VC               | 4.78                               | 5.08                      | 1.18                              | 0.63                      | 2.74                  | 5.18                 |  |
| SEm±                          | 0.40                               | 0.26                      | 0.03                              | -                         | -                     | -                    |  |
| LSD (p=0.05)                  | 1.17                               | 0.76                      | 0.08                              | -                         | -                     | -                    |  |

### REFERENCES

- Bakht, J., Shafi, M., Rehman, H., Uddun, R. and Anwar, S. (2011). Effect of planting methods on growth, phenology and yield of maize varieties. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 43: 1629-1633.
- Dalvi, S. D., Bhondave, T. S., Jawale, S. M., Shaikh, A. A. and Dalvi, N. D. (2009). Effect of sources of organic manures in integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of sweet corn. *Journal of Maharashtra Agriculture University*, 34: 222-223.
- Goverts, B., Sayre, K. D., Lichter, K., Dendooven, L. and Deckers, J. (2007). Influence of permanent raised bed planting and residue management on physical and chemical soil quality in rainfed maize/wheat system. *Plant Soil*, 291: 39-54.
- Hemmat, A. and Eskandari, I. (2004). Conservation tillage practices for winter wheat- fallow farming in the temperate continental climate of northwestern Iran. *Field Crops Research*, 89: 123-133.
- Jinjala, V.R., Virdia, H. M., Saravaiya, N. N. and Raj, A. D. (2016). Effect of integrated nutrient management on baby corn (*Zea mays L.*). *Agricultural Science Digest*, 36: 291-294.
- Joshi, G. (2016). Integrated nutrient management in baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). M. Sc. (Agronomy) thesis, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, 102p.
- Joshi, Garima and Pal, M S. (2019). Effect of bio and chemical fertilizers on productivity, profitability and net profit of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) production. *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 17 (1): 32-38.
- Khan, A.M., Kirmani, N.A. and Wani, F.S. (2017). Effects of INM on soil carbon pools, soil quality and sustainability in rice-brown sarson cropping system of Kashmir valley. *International Journal on Current Microbiology Applied Sciences*, 6: 85-809.

- Kumar, M. and Chawla, J.S. (2015). Influence of methods of sowing on productivity of spring maize (*Zea mays L.*) hybrids. *Journal of plant Science Research*, 31:97-99.
- Kumar, A. and Narayan, A. (2018). Influence of planting methods, spacing and fertilization on yield and quality of sweet corn (*Zea mays L.*). *International Journal of Current Microbiology Applied Sciences*, 7: 1232-1237.
- Manea, M.A.A. (2014). Effect of fertility levels and planting method on yield and national quality of baby corn (*Zea mays L.*) varieties and its residual effect on sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench*). Ph.D. thesis, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India, 203p.
- Nagdeote, V.G., Ghanbahadur, M., Mhaske, A.R., Balpande, S.S. and Ghodpage, R.M. (2016). Effect of land configuration, plant population and nitrogen management on productivity of sweet corn in vertisols. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 8, 428-3433.
- Nagavani, A.V. and Subbian, P. (2014). Productivity and economics of hybrid maize as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Current Biotica*, 7:283-293.
- Painyuli, A., Pal, M.S., Bhatnagar, A. and Bisht, A.S. (2013). Effect of planting techniques and irrigation scheduling on productivity and water use efficiency of sweet corn (*Zea mays* saccharata). Indian Journal of Agronomy, 58:344-348.
- Pinjari, S.S., Talathi, M.S., Ranshur, N.J. and Bhondave, T.S. (2009). Integrated nutrient management in maize. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5: 623-638.
- Pramer, D. and Schmidt, E.L. (1964). Experimental soil microbiology. Burges Publishing Co., U.S.A
- Singh, M. (2011). Growth, yield and water productivity of spring planted hybrid maize (*Zea mays L.*) cultivars as influenced by method and time of planting and irrigation regimes. Ph.D. thesis, PunjabAgricultural University, Ludhiana, 120p.

Received: January 4, 2021 Accepted: February 18, 2021