

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 19(2), May-August, 2021]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar



ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Tej Partap, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. N.S. Jadon, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R.S. Chauhan, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R.S. Jadaun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India
Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India
Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India
Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A
Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India
Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India
Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium
Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines
Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India
Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India
Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India
Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India
Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India
Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India
Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia
Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.
Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India
Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India
Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.
Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.
Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India
Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

CONTENTS

Identification of new source of white rust resistance in Indian mustard [<i>B. juncea</i> (L.) Czern & Coss] from germplasm collected from Uttarakhand hills	112
USHA PANT, RAM BHAJAN, PURNIMA KANDPAL, NEHA DAHIYA, A. K. SINGH and SAMEER CHATURVEDI	
Genetic variability studies for yield and its related traits in rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) genotypes	119
APARNA, INDRA DEO, CHARUPRIYA CHAUHAN and DEEPAYAN ROY	
Net photosynthesis and spectral reflectance over rice crop under different nitrogen treatments in semi-arid region of India	125
SHWETA POKHARIYAL and N.R. PATEL	
Management of crop with livestock and allied enterprises for sustainable livelihood of small farmers in north Indian plains	131
S. CHATURVEDI, R. SINGH, A. P. SINGH, D. K. SINGH and R. K. SHARMA	
Effect of mulches and irrigation schedules on productivity and water use efficiency of sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) in Mollisols of India	137
RAKESH DAWAR and MAHENDRA SINGH PAL	
Growth and yield response of black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> L.) to foliar nutrition and growth regulator application	144
SUSHIL, OMVATI VERMA, SUBSHA CHANDRA, J.P.JAISWAL and V.C. DHYANI	
Effect of FYM and nitrogen levels on growth, dry matter accumulation, yield and nutrient uptake of brahmi (<i>Bacopa monnieri</i> L.)	151
VINEETA RATHORE	
Studies on flowering behaviour of double type varieties of African marigold (<i>Tagetes erecta</i> L.) in different seasons under Uttarakhand conditions	159
ANUBHAVIYA BISHT, V.K. RAO and D. C. DIMRI	
Effect of pyrolysis temperatures on major nutrients and some physical and chemical properties in biochar produced from different biosources	166
ABHISHEK SAXENA, P.C. SRIVASTAVA, ANAND PATHAK and S.P. PACHAURI	
Status of some extractable macro- and micro-nutrients in soils of Tehri Garhwal district of Uttarakhand	171
AASHISH PRAJAPATI, S. P. PACHAURI, P.C. SRIVASTAVA, ANAND PATHAK and DEEPA RAWAT	
Effect of Stabilized Magnetite Nano Fertilizer on growth, yield and nutrient contents of broccoli (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>italica</i> L.) cv. F1 HYB NS-50	180
RAKESH JAT, SOHEB SHEKH, JINALI SHAH, PUJAN VAISHNAV and P. O. SURESH	
Effect of sixteen essential oils on the progeny production of <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus)	187
NIDHI TEWARI and S. N. TIWARI	
Bio-efficacy of some essential oils as fumigant against Lesser grain borer, <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i> (Fab.)	195
NIDHI TEWARI and S. N. TIWARI	

Seasonal changes in yield, composition and fumigant action of essential oil of <i>Murraya koenigii</i> L. against <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i>(F.) and <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (L.) GEETANJLY and S.N.TIWARI	204
Natural enemies of papaya mealybug, <i>Paracoccus marginatus</i> Williams and Granara de Willink in Tarai region of Uttarakhand RADHA KORANGA and R. P. MAURYA	214
Combined effect of entomopathogens with biorationals against Lepidopteran insect pests of greengram KULDEEP KUMAR DUDPURI and J. P. PURWAR	220
Seasonal abundance of predatory coccinellid beetles in different cropping ecosystems at Pantnagar R. NAVEENA MANIMALA, MEENA AGNIHOTRI and J.M. SAM RAJ	227
Diversity of insect pollinators and pollination mechanism in sponge gourd, <i>Luffa cylindrica</i> (L.) Roem MOHAMMAD SARFRAZ KHAN and GAURAVA KUMAR	232
Effect of host genotypes on the severity of sorghum anthracnose MEENAKSHI RANA, YOGENDRA SINGH, DIVAKAR and SEWETA SRIVASTAVA	238
A review on sugarcane smut caused by <i>Sporisorium citamineum</i> and its eco-friendly management SHAILBALA SHARMA	245
Significance of Nutritional Mapping in today's scenario DUTTA A., JOSHI D., BOSE S. and ACHARYA R.	256
Development and shelf-life evaluation of fiber enriched traditional Indian Parotta PAL MURUGAN MUTHAIAH, PRIYANKA, SANTOSH PAL, GOVINDA RAJ T, KHAN M.A., SHARMA G.K. and SEMWAL A.D.	264
To study the effect of maltodextrin, tricalcium phosphate, glycerol monostearate and drying temperature on vacuum foam mat quality parameters of papaya powder SACHIN KUMAR, ANIL KUMAR, P.K.OMRE, JITENDRA CHANDOLA and IFTIKHAR ALAM	277
Design and development of self-propelled onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.) digger VISHAL PATEL, DHARMENDRA KUMAR and ANSHU SAXENA	294
Lead toxicity in cattle: A case report NEERAJ KUMAR, MANISH KUMAR VERMA, MUNISH BATRA and ANKIT NAGAR	299
Bovine tropical theileriosis in cross-bred calf: A case report NEERAJ KUMAR, STUTI VATSYA, MUNISH BATRA, MANISH KUMAR VERMA and JIYA VERMA	303
Occupational hazards among veterinarians PARMAR, T., UPADHYAY A. K. and MAANSI	306
Epidemiological factors of COVID-19 POOJA SINGH, MAANSI, N. K. SINGH, and A. K.UPADHYAY	311
Effect of probiotics and growth stimulants on haematological status in Murrah buffalo SAMEER PANDEY, RAJ KUMAR, RAJBIR SINGH, DEEPAK KUMAR, KARTIK TOMAR and SHIWANSHU TIWARI	318
Effect of supplementation of black cumin (<i>Nigella sativa</i>) on growth performance and haematological parameters of commercial broilers NAMITA NAULA, C.B. SINGH, SHIWANSHU TIWARI and DEVESH SINGH	325

Genetic variability studies for yield and its related traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes

APARNA, INDRA DEO, CHARUPRIYA CHAUHAN and DEEPAYAN ROY

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145(U.S Nagar, Uttarakhand)

ABSTRACT: Even though there are abundant rice genetic resources, only a fraction is used in breeding programs leading to a narrow genetic base for improved varieties. In order to develop superior cultivars, each crop breeding programme must have genetic variability. In the present investigation, fifty rice genotypes were used to estimate the genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance for yield and yield-related traits. The experiment was conducted using RBD with two replications. In the current investigation, analysis of variance revealed significant difference among the 50 rice genotypes studied for various quantitative traits. Phenotypic coefficient of variation ranged from 7.66 to 23.01 %. Highest value obtained for number of effective tillers (23.01%) followed by leaf length (19.77%) and lowest value obtained for panicle length (7.66%). Genotypic coefficient of variation ranged from 3.69 to 19.47%. Highest value obtained for number of effective tillers (19.47%) followed by leaf length (18.27%) and plant height (13.23%). PCV is higher than GCV but there was a small difference between value of GCV and PCV which indicated low impact of environment. Heritability in broad sense ranged from 23.2 to 97.8 %. High heritability obtained for plant height (97.8%) followed by days to maturity (95.7%) and days to 50% flowering (94%) and lowest value was obtained for panicle length (23.2%) followed by yield per plant (30.3%). Genetic advance percentage of mean ranged from 3.66 to 34.80 %. Genetic advance percentage of mean was highest for leaf length (34.802 %) followed by number of effective tillers (33.94%) and plant height (26.96 %). In present investigation, high heritability coupled with high genetic advance obtained for plant height, days to 50% flowering, leaf length and number of effective tillers. This revealed presence of additive genetic variance and hence selection for above mention traits may be effective. Furthermore, genetic materials used in the current investigation exhibited adequate genetic variability which can be utilized in crop improvement programs.

Key words: Genotypes, heritability, genetic advanced, rice, variability

Oryza sativa is a staple meal for more than 50% of the world's population (Priya *et al.*, 2019). It's an annual crop belongs to family poaceae and has diploid chromosome number ($2n=24$). It is cultivated on 167.13 million hectare area of the world and has production of 782.00 million tonnes (FAOSTAT, 2018). In India, it is cultivated on an area of 43.7 million hectare with production 116.4 million tonnes and has productivity 2659 Kg/ha (Annual report 2019-2020, DAC&FW). It can be grown in varied environmental conditions. Evolution of high yielding varieties with other beneficial agronomic characteristics is crucial to fulfill the growing demand for food. Yield is controlled by several components and constitutes a complex trait, thus it is more difficult to achieve genetic improvement in yield. Information about nature and magnitude of variation available in the genetic material is critical for breeding high-yielding cultivars. Presence of genetic variability and selection of useful genotypes

are backbone of the breeding programme. Assessment of variability is based on valuable parameters such as genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), heritability and genetic advance (Hossain *et al.*, 2015). Heritability plays predictive role in determining the contribution of genotype to the phenotype (Bitew, 2016). Broad sense (H^2) or narrow sense (h^2) heritability of a trait is important in determining its response to selection. Heritability estimates the degree of transmissibility of the selected traits to the offspring during the breeding. Genetic advance and heritability share strong relationship. High heritability with high genetic advance is always desirable for selection of a trait (Larik *et al.*, 2000). The objective of the present study was to estimate the GCV, PCV, heritability, genetic advance and to quantify the level of variation in a set of rice genotypes which would further help to determine the usefulness of the genetic material

for the crop improvement and selection of the most effective breeding strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifty rice genotypes which include advanced basmati breeding lines, basmati, scented and non basmati varieties were used in the present investigation to study variability parameters. Field experiment was conducted at the Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre of Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar during *Kharif* 2017. Field was laid in RBD with two replications. Twenty-one days old seedlings of each genotype were transplanted at a spacing of 20cm x 15 cm. Each plot consisted of three rows of 2.0 m length. Field was fertilized with N, P and K fertilizers at the rate 90, 60 and 60 kg/ha. Data on various quantitative traits was recorded from 10 randomly selected plants of each genotype in each replication *viz.*, plant height at maturity (cm), days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, number of effective tillers per plant at maturity, leaf length (cm), leaf breadth (cm), panicle length (cm), thousand grain weight (g) and grain yield per plant (g). The data were analyzed as per the design to test the significance of difference among the genotypes for various characters. IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 software for Windows was used for the data analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Presence of variability is the first and foremost requirement for initiating breeding programme. Hence, understanding the nature and distribution of genetic variability is the key to the success of crop improvement programme. Present investigation has been carried out to determine the variation among

50 rice genotypes for various quantitative traits. Analysis of variance revealed significant difference among the 50 genotypes used in the study (Table 1). This indicated the existence of ample amount of variability among the rice genotypes for the traits studied. Golam *et al.* (2011), Rahman *et al.* (2011) and Sohrabi *et al.* (2012) also reported high genetic variability in rice genotypes.

Mean performance of 50 genotypes of rice for nine quantitative traits has been provided in Table 2. Plant height ranged from 74.05 to 164.4 cm with general mean 117.23 cm. Highest value of plant height was exhibited by Basmati 370 (164.4cm) and lowest for TN1 (74.05cm). Value of days to 50% flowering ranged 70 to 108 days with mean value of 86 days. Highest value (108 days) was indicated by Taraori Basmati followed by Basmati 370 (107 days). Lowest value was revealed by UPR 4250-8-1-1-2 (70 days). Value of days to maturity ranged from 106.00 to 154.00 days with mean value 127.0. Highest value was exhibited by Basmati 370 (154 days) followed by Taraori Basmati (149 days). Lowest value exhibited by PTB 33 (106 days) followed by Suraksha (109) and UPR 4250-8-1-1-2 (111). Number of effective tillers ranged from 5 to 15 with average of 10 tillers per plant. Highest value was shown by Pant Sugandh Dhan 25(15) followed by Pusa Basmati 1121(14) and lowest value shown by UPR 4222-7-1-1-2 (5). Flag leaf length ranged from 22.20 to 47.90cm with average of 34.85 cm per plant. Highest value obtained for Basmati 370 (47.9 cm) and lowest for UPR 4228-6-1-1-1 (22.02cm). Flag leaf breadth ranged from 1.15 to 1.95 cm with mean 1.50 cm. Highest value indicated by Pusa Sugandh 3 (1.95 cm) followed by UPR 4218-15-1-1-2 (1.85 cm). Lowest value exhibited by UPR 4222-7-1-1-1 (1.1 cm). Panicle length value ranged from 23.9 to

Table 1: Analysis of variance for yield and its component traits for basmati, scented and non basmati varieties of rice

SV	df	Plant height	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Number of effective tillers	Leaf length	Leaf width	Panicle length	Test weight	Grain yield per plant
Replicate	1	7.44	75.69	1.03	6.76	71.18	0.0064	8.41	1.09	23.13
Treatments	49	507.21**	167.28**	241.71**	12.30**	94.96**	0.0701**	9.14**	15.05**	15.39**
Error	49	11.06	10.09	10.43	3.49	13.82	0.0259	7.02	7.48	10.73

**Significant at 1% level

Table 2: Mean performance of 50 rice genotypes for nine quantitative traits

<i>S. No.</i>	<i>Genotypes</i>	<i>Plant height</i>	<i>Days to 50% flowering</i>	<i>Days to maturity</i>	<i>Number of effective tillers</i>	<i>Leaf length</i>	<i>Leaf width</i>	<i>Panicle length</i>	<i>Test weight</i>	<i>Grain yield/plant</i>
1	Taraori Basmati	152.25	108.00	149.50	8.00	36.60	1.65	24.66	23.75	25.50
2	Pant Basmati 1	109.81	98.00	132.50	13.00	36.60	1.50	27.00	23.63	22.70
3	Pant Basmati 2	124.65	81.00	138.50	11.50	26.50	1.15	26.00	25.00	21.35
4	UPR 4217-15-1-1-1	120.91	87.50	126.50	14.00	24.30	1.30	27.25	20.15	22.05
5	UPR 4217-15-1-1-2	114.40	92.00	131.50	12.00	23.95	1.35	23.90	23.95	22.20
6	UPR 4217-15-1-1-3	129.48	84.50	120.00	11.50	30.90	1.45	32.65	25.70	23.70
7	UPR 4218-15-1-1-1	105.10	76.00	113.50	14.00	37.20	1.30	28.35	22.05	20.60
8	UPR 4218-15-1-1-2	116.75	92.50	131.50	12.50	32.90	1.85	29.25	28.00	23.75
9	UPR 4218-15-1-1-3	117.19	76.00	119.50	8.50	45.50	1.25	30.85	24.85	25.70
10	UPR 4221-2-1-1-1	105.43	80.00	127.00	7.50	44.15	1.50	28.25	21.85	18.60
11	UPR 4222-7-1-1-1	103.15	83.00	125.50	8.00	26.95	1.15	26.60	28.05	23.85
12	UPR 4222-7-1-1-2	110.00	85.50	122.50	5.50	42.90	1.55	24.75	25.30	24.70
13	UPR 4222-7-1-1-3	119.20	81.00	123.00	14.50	22.90	1.70	28.90	21.35	17.75
14	UPR 4228-6-1-1-1	111.93	83.00	124.50	13.50	22.20	1.40	25.30	26.10	18.25
15	UPR 4246-9-1-1-1	112.90	84.50	116.00	9.50	29.95	1.40	28.30	27.65	20.85
16	UPR 4246-9-1-1-2	110.15	81.00	114.50	7.50	36.80	1.50	24.90	21.55	19.50
17	UPR 4246-9-1-1-3	107.95	72.50	113.00	9.50	28.10	1.60	28.70	20.80	29.95
18	UPR 4250-8-1-1-1	122.34	77.50	114.00	9.00	25.55	1.50	27.60	28.80	20.50
19	UPR 4250-8-1-1-2	115.10	70.50	111.50	11.00	27.85	1.80	28.80	20.70	24.10
20	UPR 4250-8-1-1-3	107.51	86.00	124.50	10.00	29.20	1.85	29.35	29.45	25.80
21	UPR 4257-8-1-1-1	135.00	84.50	123.50	7.00	38.10	1.25	29.15	28.00	19.10
22	UPR 4257-8-1-1-2	134.03	78.00	119.00	10.00	47.20	1.35	31.05	28.75	19.35
23	UPR 4257-8-1-1-3	117.85	79.50	118.00	13.00	40.00	1.35	30.00	27.30	21.20
24	UPR 4259-2-1-1-1	117.20	94.00	130.50	6.50	42.95	1.40	24.25	23.60	16.80
25	UPR 4259-2-1-1-2	118.25	90.50	129.50	13.50	23.90	1.65	29.35	16.40	21.05
26	UPR 4259-2-1-1-3	131.75	83.00	119.50	9.50	44.90	1.25	31.20	28.35	21.20
27	UPR 4259-9-1-1-1	118.75	86.50	128.00	8.00	39.60	1.35	26.00	25.10	24.95
28	UPR 4259-9-1-1-2	124.25	78.00	118.00	8.50	36.95	1.45	28.65	27.50	23.45
29	Pusa Basmati 1	90.24	94.00	134.50	12.50	31.68	1.60	27.50	22.70	21.00
30	Improved Pusa Basmati 1	95.23	103.50	133.50	13.50	33.20	1.35	28.20	25.78	24.63
31	Type 3	155.25	90.50	128.50	9.50	42.20	1.70	28.45	22.83	25.70
32	Basmati 386	159.16	103.00	149.43	11.50	36.55	1.35	24.40	21.90	24.00
33	Pusa sugandh 5	107.63	91.00	127.00	13.00	37.90	1.30	28.40	22.03	23.60
34	Pusa sugandh 3	104.63	86.50	124.50	12.50	36.00	1.95	30.75	26.95	23.25
35	Pant sugandh Dhan 15	115.87	84.50	138.50	9.50	27.00	1.55	28.65	23.65	25.90
36	Pant sugandh Dhan 17	113.50	95.00	135.50	11.00	43.30	1.50	28.05	25.00	24.10
37	Pant sugandh Dhan 21	112.35	93.50	135.50	13.50	36.95	1.55	30.40	23.81	18.50
38	Pant sugandh Dhan 25	117.73	96.50	128.00	15.50	27.65	1.65	26.15	23.85	24.75
39	Haryan Basmati 1	112.45	96.50	141.00	9.50	32.95	1.55	28.55	22.67	21.60
40	Punjab basmati 1	123.20	98.00	147.00	12.50	31.40	1.75	30.95	22.00	23.06
41	Punjab basmati 2	127.42	92.00	138.50	14.00	37.87	1.50	26.65	22.63	22.10
42	Pusa sugandh Dhan 2	101.05	84.00	127.50	10.50	39.45	1.20	29.30	25.03	22.40
43	BASMATI 385	136.29	94.00	140.00	9.50	37.75	1.60	24.95	25.07	24.15
44	Basmati 370	164.40	105.00	154.00	10.50	47.90	1.80	26.35	22.64	20.55
45	Pusa basmati 1121	111.70	99.50	137.00	14.50	32.50	1.45	26.75	29.25	27.25
46	RP 2068	113.80	74.00	114.50	8.50	28.45	1.50	25.83	25.09	19.40
47	PTB 33	100.95	74.00	106.00	10.50	39.15	1.65	24.85	24.29	24.20
48	MO 1	107.50	77.50	117.00	14.00	40.50	1.45	28.40	24.69	23.85
49	Suraksha	104.00	80.00	109.00	9.00	38.73	1.50	31.01	24.49	24.33
50	TN 1	74.05	77.00	120.50	7.50	38.85	1.55	28.56	21.57	16.85
	Mean	117.23	86.87	127.04	10.78	34.85	1.50	27.88	24.43	22.23
	Max	164.40	108.00	154.00	15.50	47.90	1.95	32.65	29.45	29.95
	Min	74.05	70.50	106.00	5.50	22.20	1.15	23.90	16.40	16.80
	C.V	2.79	3.65	2.54	17.34	10.67	10.77	9.50	11.19	14.57
	S.E	2.35	2.34	2.28	1.32	2.62	.11	1.87	1.93	2.31
	C.D%	6.68	6.38	6.49	3.75	7.47	0.32	5.3	5.49	4.6

Table 3: Estimates of variability parameters of 50 rice genotypes for 9 quantitative traits

Characters	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	h ² (Broad Sense) (%)	Gen. Adv as % of Mean
Plant height	13.23	13.38	97.8	26.96
Days to 50% flowering	10.2	10.52	94	20.37
Days to maturity	8.46	8.65	95.7	17.05
Number of effective tillers	19.47	23.01	71.6	33.94
Leaf length	18.27	19.77	85.4	34.8
Leaf width	9.935	12.52	63	16.23
Panicle length	3.69	7.66	23.2	3.66
Test weight	7.96	11.22	50.3	11.63
Grain yield	6.79	12.34	30.3	7.7

32.65 cm with average of 27.88 cm per plant. Highest value exhibited by UPR 4217-15-1-1-3 (32.65cm) followed by UPR 4259-2-1-1-3 (31.2cm) and UPR 4257-8-1-1-2 (31.05cm). Test weight ranged from 16.40 to 29.45 g with average of 24.43 g per plant. Highest value exhibited by UPR 4250-8-1-1-3 (29.45g) followed by Pusa Basmati 1121 (29.25g) and UPR 4250-8-1-1-1 (28.80 g). Lowest value revealed by UPR 4259-2-1-1-2 (16.4g). For yield, mean value ranged from 16.80 to 29.95 g with average of 22.47g yield per plant. Highest value of yield was exhibited by UPR 4246-9-1-1-3 (29.95g) followed by Pusa Basmati 1121 (27.25g) and Pant Sugandh Dhan 15 (25.9g). Lowest value revealed by UPR 4259-2-1-1-2 (21.05 g). Quantitative characters such as plant height, days to 50% flowering, number of effective tillers, panicle length, test weight etc. plays important role in selection programme for development of a variety. Generally semi dwarf varieties are preferred as they escape lodging. Genotypes early in days to 50 % flowering will also exhibit early days to maturity. Early maturing varieties avoid risk of abiotic and biotic factors. Number of effective tiller and leaf architecture is positively associated with yield. Variety with more panicle length bears more number of grains and hence may results in good crop yield. Test weight plays important role in determining yield of the crop. Physical quality of grain is indicated by test weight. High test weight varieties can be used in crop improvement programme as a selection criterion. Environment affects mainly quantitative characters of plants. This exhibits heritable and non-heritable variation, which can be calculated by the parameters like genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV),

heritability and genetic advance. In the present investigation, phenotypic coefficient of variation ranged from 7.66 to 23.01 %. Highest value obtained for number effective of tillers (23.01%) followed by leaf length (19.77%) and lowest value obtained for panicle length (7.66%) (Table 3). Genotypic coefficient of variation ranged from 3.69 to 19.47%. Highest value obtained for number of effective tillers (19.47%) followed by leaf length (18.27%) and plant height (13.23%). Lowest value obtained for panicle length (3.69%). From the above results, it was found that that PCV is higher than GCV for all the traits. There was a small difference between value of GCV and PCV. This showed that there was a little influence of environment on the traits studied in the current investigation. Selvaraj *et al.* (2011) and Bhadrar *et al.* (2012) also reported similar results in their studies. Higher estimates of variability for number of effective tillers were reported by Bastia *et al.* (2008), Sabesan *et al.* (2009) and Selvaraj *et al.* (2011).

The value of heritability plays predictive role in expressing the reliability of phenotypic value. In the present study, the magnitude of heritability was categorized according to the Johnson *et al.* (1995) as low (0-30%), medium (30-60%) and high (above 60%). Heritability in a broad sense ranged from 23.2 to 97.8 %. High heritability obtained for plant height (97.8%) followed by days to maturity (95.7%) and days to 50% flowering (94%) and lowest heritability was obtained for panicle length (23.2%) followed by yield per plant (30.3%). Genetic advance as per cent of mean was grouped according to the Johnson *et al.* (1995) as low (less than 10 %) moderate (10-20 %) and high (more than 20 %). Genetic advance

percentage of mean ranged from 3.66 to 34.80. Genetic advance percentage of mean was highest for leaf length (34.802 %) followed by number of effective tillers (33.94%) and plant height (26.96 %). Lowest value obtained for panicle length (3.36%) (Table 3). High heritability explains less influence of the environment and effective selection of the plants. In present investigation, high heritability coupled with high genetic advance obtained for plant height, days to 50% flowering, leaf length and number of effective tillers. Similar results were obtained by Ajmera *et al.* (2017), Edukondalu *et al.* (2017) and Saha *et al.* (2019). The pattern of PCV, GCV, heritability and genetic advance obtained for various traits in the present study revealed presence of additive genetic variance. Hence, it is suggested that selection based on the above mentioned traits may be effective in the crop improvement programme.

CONCLUSION

The present study was aimed to determine the genetic variation among the 50 rice genotypes for various quantitative traits. Our result confirmed that 50 rice genotypes differ significantly for various quantitative traits studied. From the present investigation, it is clear that various traits such as plant height, days to 50% flowering, leaf length and number of effective tillers exhibited high values for PCV, GCV, heritability and genetic advance. Therefore, results of the present investigation indicated usefulness of these traits for selection in crop improvement programme.

REFERENCES

- Ajmera, S., Kumar, S. S., and Ravindrababu, V. (2017). Evaluation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield components in rice genotypes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(10): 1657-1664.
- Annual Report 2019-20. Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers welfare. Government of India.
- Bastia, D. K., Garnayak, L. M., and Barik, T. (2008). Diversification of rice (*Oryza sativa*) based cropping systems for higher productivity, resource-use efficiency and economics. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 53(1):22-26.
- Bhadru, D., Rao, V. T., Mohan, Y. C., and Bharathi, D. (2012). Genetic variability and diversity studies in yield and its component traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics*, 44(1):129-137.
- Bitew, J. M. (2016). Estimation of genetic parameters, heritability and genetic advance for yield related traits in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L. and *Oryza glaberrima* Steud) genotypes in northwestern Ethiopia. *World Scientific News*, 47(2): 340-350.
- Edukondalu, B., Reddy, R.V., Rani, S.T., Kumari, A.C. and Soundharya, B. (2017). Studies on variability, heritability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield attributes in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(10): 2369-2376.
- FAOSTAT, 2018. <http://faostat.fao.org>
- Golam, F., Yin, Y. H., Masitah, A., Afnierna, N., Majid, N. A., Khalid, N., and Osman, M. 2011. Analysis of aroma and yield components of aromatic rice in Malaysian tropical environment. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 5(11): 1318-1325.
- Hossain, S., Haque, M., and Rahman, J. (2015). Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of morphological traits in some extinct local Aman rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Rice Research: Open Access*.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agronomy Journal*, 47 (7): 314-318.
- Larik, A.S., Malik, S.I., Kakar, A.A. and Naz, M.A. (2000). Assessment of heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield components in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Scientific Khyber*, 13: 39-44.
- Priya, T. R., Nelson, A. R. L. E., Ravichandran, K. and Antony, U. (2019). Nutritional and

- functional properties of colored rice varieties of South India: a review. *Journal of Ethnic Foods*, 6(1):1-11.
- Rahman, M. A. and Hasegawa, H. (2011). High levels of inorganic arsenic in rice in areas where arsenic-contaminated water is used for irrigation and cooking. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(22): 4645-4655.
- Sabesan, T., Suresh, R. and Saravanan, K. (2009). Genetic variability and correlation for yield and grain quality characters of rice grown in coastal saline lowland of Tamil Nadu. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 1: 56-59.
- Saha, S. R., Hassan, L., Haque, M. A., Islam, M. M. and Rasel, M. (2019). Genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analyses of yield components in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 17(1): 26-32.
- Selvaraj, I. C., Pothiraj, N., Thiagarajan, K., Bharathi, M. and Rabindran, R. (2011). Genetic parameters of variability, correlation and path coefficient studies for grain yield and other yield attributes among rice blast disease resistant genotypes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10 (17): 322 - 334.
- Sohrabi, M., Rafii, M.Y., Hanafi, M.M., Siti, Akmar, A. and Latif, M.A. (2012). Genetic diversity of upland rice germplasm in Malaysia based on quantitative traits. *The Scientific World Journal*, 416291.

Received: August 18, 2021

Accepted: September 2, 2021