

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 19(2), May-August, 2021]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar



ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Tej Partap, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. N.S. Jadon, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R.S. Chauhan, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R.S. Jadaun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India
Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India
Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India
Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A
Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India
Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India
Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium
Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines
Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India
Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India
Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India
Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India
Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India
Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India
Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia
Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.
Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India
Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India
Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.
Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.
Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India
Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

CONTENTS

Identification of new source of white rust resistance in Indian mustard [<i>B. juncea</i> (L.) Czern & Coss] from germplasm collected from Uttarakhand hills	112
USHA PANT, RAM BHAIJAN, PURNIMA KANDPAL, NEHA DAHIYA, A. K. SINGH and SAMEER CHATURVEDI	
Genetic variability studies for yield and its related traits in rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) genotypes	119
APARNA, INDRA DEO, CHARUPRIYA CHAUHAN and DEEPAYAN ROY	
Net photosynthesis and spectral reflectance over rice crop under different nitrogen treatments in semi-arid region of India	125
SHWETA POKHARIYAL and N.R. PATEL	
Management of crop with livestock and allied enterprises for sustainable livelihood of small farmers in north Indian plains	131
S. CHATURVEDI, R. SINGH, A. P. SINGH, D. K. SINGH and R. K. SHARMA	
Effect of mulches and irrigation schedules on productivity and water use efficiency of sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) in Mollisols of India	137
RAKESH DAWAR and MAHENDRA SINGH PAL	
Growth and yield response of black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> L.) to foliar nutrition and growth regulator application	144
SUSHIL, OMVATI VERMA, SUBSHA CHANDRA, J.P.JAISWAL and V.C. DHYANI	
Effect of FYM and nitrogen levels on growth, dry matter accumulation, yield and nutrient uptake of brahmi (<i>Bacopa monnieri</i> L.)	151
VINEETA RATHORE	
Studies on flowering behaviour of double type varieties of African marigold (<i>Tagetes erecta</i> L.) in different seasons under Uttarakhand conditions	159
ANUBHAVIYA BISHT, V.K. RAO and D. C. DIMRI	
Effect of pyrolysis temperatures on major nutrients and some physical and chemical properties in biochar produced from different biosources	166
ABHISHEK SAXENA, P.C. SRIVASTAVA, ANAND PATHAK and S.P. PACHAURI	
Status of some extractable macro- and micro-nutrients in soils of Tehri Garhwal district of Uttarakhand	171
AASHISH PRAJAPATI, S. P. PACHAURI, P.C. SRIVASTAVA, ANAND PATHAK and DEEPA RAWAT	
Effect of Stabilized Magnetite Nano Fertilizer on growth, yield and nutrient contents of broccoli (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>italica</i> L.) cv. F1 HYB NS-50	180
RAKESH JAT, SOHEB SHEKH, JINALI SHAH, PUJAN VAISHNAV and P. O. SURESH	
Effect of sixteen essential oils on the progeny production of <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus)	187
NIDHI TEWARI and S. N. TIWARI	
Bio-efficacy of some essential oils as fumigant against Lesser grain borer, <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i> (Fab.)	195
NIDHI TEWARI and S. N. TIWARI	

Seasonal changes in yield, composition and fumigant action of essential oil of <i>Murraya koenigii</i> L. against <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i>(F.) and <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (L.) GEETANJLY and S.N.TIWARI	204
Natural enemies of papaya mealybug, <i>Paracoccus marginatus</i> Williams and Granara de Willink in Tarai region of Uttarakhand RADHA KORANGA and R. P. MAURYA	214
Combined effect of entomopathogens with biorationals against Lepidopteran insect pests of greengram KULDEEP KUMAR DUDPURI and J. P. PURWAR	220
Seasonal abundance of predatory coccinellid beetles in different cropping ecosystems at Pantnagar R. NAVEENA MANIMALA, MEENA AGNIHOTRI and J.M. SAM RAJ	227
Diversity of insect pollinators and pollination mechanism in sponge gourd, <i>Luffa cylindrica</i> (L.) Roem MOHAMMAD SARFRAZ KHAN and GAURAVA KUMAR	232
Effect of host genotypes on the severity of sorghum anthracnose MEENAKSHI RANA, YOGENDRA SINGH, DIVAKAR and SEWETA SRIVASTAVA	238
A review on sugarcane smut caused by <i>Sporisorium citamineum</i> and its eco-friendly management SHAILBALA SHARMA	245
Significance of Nutritional Mapping in today's scenario DUTTA A., JOSHI D., BOSE S. and ACHARYA R.	256
Development and shelf-life evaluation of fiber enriched traditional Indian Parotta PAL MURUGAN MUTHAIAH, PRIYANKA, SANTOSH PAL, GOVINDA RAJ T, KHAN M.A., SHARMA G.K. and SEMWAL A.D.	264
To study the effect of maltodextrin, tricalcium phosphate, glycerol monostearate and drying temperature on vacuum foam mat quality parameters of papaya powder SACHIN KUMAR, ANIL KUMAR, P.K.OMRE, JITENDRA CHANDOLA and IFTIKHAR ALAM	277
Design and development of self-propelled onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.) digger VISHAL PATEL, DHARMENDRA KUMAR and ANSHU SAXENA	294
Lead toxicity in cattle: A case report NEERAJ KUMAR, MANISH KUMAR VERMA, MUNISH BATRA and ANKIT NAGAR	299
Bovine tropical theileriosis in cross-bred calf: A case report NEERAJ KUMAR, STUTI VATSYA, MUNISH BATRA, MANISH KUMAR VERMA and JIYA VERMA	303
Occupational hazards among veterinarians PARMAR, T., UPADHYAY A. K. and MAANSI	306
Epidemiological factors of COVID-19 POOJA SINGH, MAANSI, N. K. SINGH, and A. K.UPADHYAY	311
Effect of probiotics and growth stimulants on haematological status in Murrah buffalo SAMEER PANDEY, RAJ KUMAR, RAJBIR SINGH, DEEPAK KUMAR, KARTIK TOMAR and SHIWANSHU TIWARI	318
Effect of supplementation of black cumin (<i>Nigella sativa</i>) on growth performance and haematological parameters of commercial broilers NAMITA NAULA, C.B. SINGH, SHIWANSHU TIWARI and DEVESH SINGH	325

Effect of Stabilized Magnetite Nano Fertilizer on growth, yield and nutrient contents of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea var. italica* L.) cv. F1 HYB NS-50

RAKESH JAT, SOHEB SHEKH, JINALI SHAH, PUJAN VAISHNAV and P. O. SURESH

Research Center, Gujarat State Fertilizers and Chemicals Limited, Vadodara (Gujarat)

ABSTRACT: A field experiment was carried out during *rabi* season 2020 to determine the effect of Magnetite Nano-Fertilizer (Fe_3O_4 NPs) stabilized with EDTA on growth, yield and nutrient content of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *Italica*). The Fe_3O_4 NPs were prepared and particle size analysis revealed that the particle size was of 40 nm. Experiment with spraying of three iron nutrient sources with four different concentrations (Fe EDTA, 300 ppm, $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 195 ppm and EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs, 6 ppm and 3 ppm) were conducted on Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications of crop. The results revealed that application of 3 ppm solution of stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs to broccoli significantly increased the plant height, stalk length, total head yield per hectare and biological yield per hectare as compared to control treatment. The result indicated the significant influence of applying Fe_3O_4 NPs, 3 ppm followed by $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 195 ppm. These micronutrients helped in increasing plant height, stalk length, head weight per plant, head yield quintal per hectare and nutrient content in head with respect to control. Thus, stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs, at 3 ppm foliar application seems to be a promising approach to maximize broccoli growth and head yield production.

Key words: Broccoli, EDTA stabilized, Fe_3O_4 NPs, Nano fertilizers, Yield increase

Growth of human population, urbanization and industrialization are various elements that have resulted in the decreasing of available agricultural land as well as the global agricultural productivity of crops. Hence, there is an immediate requirement for the innovative technologies to improve crop production. Development of novel fertilizers that can substitute the traditional methods of fertilization is expected to shoot up the crop production (Drostkar *et al.*, 2016). Large scale application of chemical fertilizers to increase the yield of crop is less favorable option as chemical fertilizers act as mixed blessings to the agricultural science as it increases the crop production but on long run, lead to slackening of the soil fertility and disturbs the mineral balance of the soil (De Oliveira *et al.*, 2020). Various technologies are being studied that can possibly contribute towards fulfilling the global food needs of continuously increasing global population, without disturbing soil sustainability. One such advancement in agricultural field is the application of nanotechnology (AL-Tameemi *et al.*, 2019).

Necessity of detailed study exists to understand the advantage and disadvantage of operation of

nanoparticles and their environmental effects (Sangeetha *et al.*, 2017). The present day cropping system involves use of fertilizers which aids in increasing productivity. Micronutrient source particles of size of the order of 10^{-9} meter, named as nano fertilizers had shown to enhance the growth of vegetables and fruits on their application (Sangeetha *et al.*, 2017).

Absence of adequate amount of nutrients in soil acts as a resisting phenomenon in plant growth. Intensive cultivation also resulted in micro nutrient deficiency and is known to be one of the yield limiting factors (Kumar and Singh, 2016). Iron (Fe) is such a micronutrient which is required for respiration, photosynthesis and phytohormone synthesis in plants (Hansch and Mendel, 2009).

Nanoparticles of iron generally occur as oxides of magnetite nanoparticles (Fe_3O_4), Maghemite nanoparticles ($\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$), and hematite ($\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$), among others (Ali *et al.*, 2016). It is used in many applications owing to its inexpensive nature, yet waiting for extensive exploitation in the field of agriculture. Its non - toxic, accessibility and biocompatible properties have positioned iron in

recent times as one of the transition metal nanoparticles of current interest for improved agricultural production (Elemik *et al.*, 2019). Although it is a micronutrient, the lack of iron has shown abnormal growth in plants especially in the ones growing in alkaline soils. Many of the vital functions of plants, like enzyme, chlorophyll production, nitrogen fixation and development and metabolism depend on iron (Drostkar *et al.*, 2016). In comparison with common chemical fertilizers, nano-fertilizers have larger specific surface area, which makes nutrients easily available for absorption by plants and significantly improves its use. The application of nano-fertilizer can improve the physical and chemical properties of soil and improve water and fertilizer conservation (Teng *et al.*, 2018). Hochmuth (2011) reported that the annual requirement of iron in plants is 2 - 16 kg/hectare compared to nitrogen being 89-224 kg/hectare. Amongst the various methods, the foliar method of application of NP fertilizers has resulted in the enhancement in plant growth. It is also reported that supplementary foliar fertilization during crop growth can boost up the mineral status of plants and increase the crop growth and yield (Yildirim *et al.*, 2007). The crop broccoli, classified as a variety of *Brassica Oleracea var. Italica*, belonging to the Cruciferae family is grown during winter-season for its green flowering head. It is closely related to cabbage, cauliflower, and kale and brussels sprout crops. Broccoli is the richest source of protein and vitamins among cole crops group. It also carries with it the reputation of a superfood. Although it is low in calories, it has a good content of anti-oxidants and nutrients. Being a rich source of sulforaphane and glucosinolates, it is expected to have anti-carcinogenic properties; eating more than one meal of broccoli a week reduces the risk of cancer by 45% and helps preventing heart disease (AL-Tameemi *et al.*, 2019).

Broccoli is a heavy fertilizer feeding crop that requires regular application of compound and micro

nutrient fertilizer during the crop cycle. This study is carried out to determine impacts of stabilized Fe_3O_4 NP foliar application on head yield and iron content in broccoli head.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during the *Rabi* season of 2020 at the Research Farm, Fertilizer Nagar, Vadodara, Gujarat. The Fe_3O_4 NPs were synthesized in the laboratory and characterized using standard techniques. Seeds for Broccoli crop and compound fertilizer (Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium), were purchased from commercial suppliers. $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and Fe-EDTA (commercially available fertilizers as per norms of Fertilizer Control Order version 2019, Government of India) are procured and used.

Characteristics of the soil before crop transplanting (Table 1) were analyzed according to Page and Keeney(1982).

Field Experiment

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design comprising of five treatments *i.e.*, T_1 – Fe EDTA, 300 ppm; T_2 – $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 195 ppm; T_3 – EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs, 6 ppm; T_4 – EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs, 3 ppm and T_5 – Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF). All the treatments are replicated thrice. Before sowing, till good tilth the field was thoroughly ploughed and leveled then manual raised-beds with 45 cm width and 25 cm height were prepared. Healthy broccoli seedlings were transplanted on 21.10.2020 at spacing of 60 × 60 cm row x row distance in the plot size of 4 m X 3 m (Length X Width) and harvested on 11.12.2020 to 02.01.2021. The recommended dose of fertilizer was incorporated into the soil of the respective plots up to a depth of 15 cm one day before transplantation. The four different concentrations *viz.*, Fe EDTA, 300 ppm, $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 195 ppm and EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs, 6 ppm and 3 ppm using three iron

Table 1: Pre-trial properties of experimental area soil

Organic carbon %	P_2O_5 kg/acre	K_2O kg/acre	pH	EC	S ppm	Zn, ppm	Fe, ppm	Mn, ppm	Cu, ppm
0.49	18	77	7.10	0.30	11.30	1.60	6.53	0.81	2.36

fertilizer sources was applied as foliar feeding to broccoli variety (cv. F₁ HYB NS-50). Spraying was performed in the morning (about 9.30 am), after the evaporation of the dewdrops. First spraying was done after 10 days of transplanting and subsequently 2nd at 30 days after transplanting with the help of hand sprayer. The plant height, stalk length, yield attributing parameters like fresh head weight, head girth and yield such as head yield and biological yield as well as harvest index along with NPK and iron (Fe) content were recorded during the experiment from the respective experimental plot by using standard procedures and expressed in standard units. Statistical analysis of the recorded data was carried out using analysis of variance technique for randomized block design (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield Parameters

The plant height data of broccoli at different stages of growth is presented in the Table 2.1. shows the significantly maximum plant height (53.33 cm) registered under foliar spray of EDTA stabilized

Fe₃O₄ NPs with 3 ppm concentration over that of recommended dose of fertilizer (48.26 cm) but found statistically at par with foliar spray of conventional Fe EDTA solution with 300 ppm concentration (52.33 cm), FeSO₄.7H₂O of 195 ppm concentration (52.40 cm) and EDTA stabilized Fe₃O₄ NPs with 6 ppm concentration (52.26 cm) at the time of harvest. Iron nutrient helps in construction of plant cells like cytochromes, phytofurtherin and ferredoxins, which act as an electron transport in the process of photosynthesis, which contributes in vegetative growth of the plant (Chanwala *et al.*, 2019). When iron is applied in the form of nano particles, it results in increased head yield. Application of 100 times lower concentration of Fe as nano particles fertilizer (NFs) when compared to commercial Fe nutrient sources, yields significantly high yield of broccoli. Maximum stalk length is recorded with the foliar spray of EDTA stabilized Fe₃O₄ NPs with 3 ppm concentration which is significantly higher than those recorded for application of recommended dose of fertilizer, conventional Fe-EDTA with 300 ppm concentration and EDTA stabilized Fe₃O₄ NPs with 6 ppm concentration. It is worth noting that the best stalk length is at par with that recorded with application of 195 ppm solution of FeSO₄.7H₂O. The



Fig. 1: Broccoli head in response to different fertilizer

crop which received foliar spray of Fe_3O_4 NPs of 3 ppm concentration significantly increased the stalk length by the magnitude of 13.94 and 15.41 per cent at 40 days after transplanting (DATP) and at harvest respectively over recommended dose of fertilizer. Iron is important for the formation and activity of chlorophyll and in the functioning of several enzymes and the growth hormone like auxin. Importance of auxin in photosynthesis and other plant functions are extensively discussed by Kumari and Panigrahi (2019). Availability of auxin to the plant could have increased the inter-nodal length coupled with more apical dominance which might have helped for maximum stalk length and in turn resulted in higher vegetative growth, similar to the findings reported by Singh *et al.*, 2018.

Head girth values for all the treatments vary insignificantly (Table 1.). Although the highest value is recorded for the treatment is 195 ppm solution of

$\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, The fresh head weight data indicate that foliar application of Fe_3O_4 NPs with 3 ppm concentration resulted in significantly high (0.539 kg/plant) head weight as compared to recommended dose of fertilizer. However, the value is found to be at par with that obtained with application of conventional Fe-EDTA with 300 ppm concentration and EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs with 6 ppm concentration.

Head yield data is given in Table 1. Application of Fe_3O_4 NPs with 3 ppm concentration increases head yield (kg/plot and q/ha) and biological yield (q/ha) significantly over the application of recommended dose of fertilizer, conventional Fe EDTA with 300 ppm concentration and EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NP with 6 ppm concentration. The treatment led to increase head and biological yield as compare to RDF (for EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs (3 ppm)) by 15.59 % and 9.82 % respectively, followed by that

Table 2.1: Effect of foliar spray of NPs fertilizer on growth and head yield attributes of broccoli cultivar.

Treatment	PH (cm) at harvest	SL (cm) at 40 DATP	SL (cm) at harvest	HG (cm)	FHW (kg Plant ⁻¹)	HY (kg/ plot)	HY (Q/ hectare)	BY (Q/ hectare)	HI (%)
T ₁ – Fe EDTA, 300 ppm	52.33	14.03	19.86	14.613	0.481	19.323	161.025	414.027	38.987
T ₂ – $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 195 ppm	52.40	14.72	21.693	15.01	0.528	21.069	175.578	452.523	38.807
T ₃ – EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs , 6 ppm	52.26	14.02	20.831	14.833	0.513	18.274	152.281	422.417	36.103
T ₄ – EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs , 3 ppm	53.33	15.12	22.167	14.827	0.539	21.477	178.975	460.917	38.833
T ₅ – Recommended dose of fertilizer only	48.26	13.27	19.207	14.673	0.490	18.579	154.828	419.41	36.963
SEM±	0.74	0.26	0.584	0.211	0.008	0.594	4.948	10.804	1.332
CD at 5%	2.45	0.86	1.935	**	0.025	1.966	16.387	35.781	**
C.V. %	2.48	3.18	4.877	2.467	2.569	5.209	5.209	4.313	6.082

(PH: Plant Height; SL: Stalk Length; HG: Head Girth; FHW: Fresh Head weight; HY: Head yield; YQ: Yield quintal per hectare; BY: Biological Yield; HI: Harvest Index= [(total head yield)/(total biological yield)]100 ; DATP: Days after transplanting.

*** are non-significant at 5% level, respectively)

Table 2.2: Effect of foliar spray of NPs fertilizer application on N, P, K and Fe content in head dry matter of broccoli cultivar

Treatment	Nitrogen (% w/w)	P ₂ O ₅ (% w/w)	K ₂ O (% w/w)	Fe (mg/kg ⁻¹)
T ₁ – Fe EDTA, 300 ppm	5.54	1.60	1.82	204
T ₂ – $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 195 ppm	5.64	1.62	2.31	284
T ₃ – Fe_3O_4 NPs , 6 ppm	5.62	1.61	2.49	259
T ₄ – Fe_3O_4 NPs , 3 ppm	5.53	1.4	2.47	512
T ₅ – Recommended dose of fertilizer only	5.58	1.6	2.60	228
SEM±	0.057	0.05	0.121	165.771
CD at 5%	**	**	0.401	**

*** are non-significant at 5% level, respectively.

for $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (195 ppm) which is 13.40 % and 7.89 % respectively). The recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF only) proved to be least effective in improving the head yield and biological yield as compared to EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NP (3 ppm). The range of harvest index enhancement over recommended dose of fertilizer due to iron NPs fertilizer treatment was 36.10 % to 38.99 %. This result is in agreement with that reported by Jett *et al.*, 1995 in broccoli cultivar. Improvement in yield characters and yields as a result of foliar application of micronutrients might be due to the enhancement in photosynthesis and other metabolic activity which led to an increase in various plant metabolites responsible for cell division and elongation (Singh *et al.*, 2018).

Nutrient content

The maximum value of nitrogen content in broccoli heads was recorded with treatment $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 195 ppm (5.64 %) followed by the EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs with 6 ppm concentration (5.62 %), which are at par with other treatments. The lowest nitrogen content was recorded with EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NPs, with 3 ppm concentration (5.53 %). Nutrient content analysis of edible heads on dry basis reveal that nitrogen content ranged from 5.54 % to 5.64%. Phosphorus content ranged from 1.48 % to 1.64 % which is comparable to the P levels reported by Munro *et al.*, 1978. Potassium content was highest in the RDF treatment (2.60%) and lowest in treatment, Fe EDTA, 300 ppm (1.82 %).

The maximum value of iron content (512 mg/kg^{-1}) in head dry matter was recorded with treatment, Fe_3O_4 NP (3 ppm) followed by the $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (195 ppm) (284 mg/kg^{-1}) and EDTA stabilized Fe_3O_4 NP (6 ppm) (259 mg/kg^{-1}). The lowest iron content (204 mg/kg^{-1}) was recorded with Fe EDTA (300 ppm). Uptake of iron by plants is known to be influenced by other macromolecules. Judy *et al.* (2012) demonstrated that macromolecules could change NPs physicochemical properties and influence their uptakes and movements into rhizosphere and xylem sap. Zhu *et al.* (2008) showed that Fe_3O_4 NPs can be uptake by pumpkin (*Cucurbita mixta*) in fluid medium. Maynard (1979) reported that iron

concentration of 100 ppm is sufficient for normal growth of vegetables. However, Purvis and Carolus (1964) reported that the iron content of normal plant tissue varied from 25 to 500 ppm, depending on parts of plant and their species. The variations in the assimilation of Fe from the nano-fertilizers at two different concentrations and their influences on other parameters - even though marginally significant - may be associated with influence of presence of other molecules.

CONCLUSION

Application of stabilized nanoparticles of Fe_3O_4 , as a source of Fe micronutrient to broccoli has a positive influence on overall growth and yield. Significantly high values of height, stalk length, fresh head weight, head yield and biological yield indicate that application of these nanoparticles at a very low concentration is considerably effective. With the application of a higher concentration of the nanoparticles of iron, differences in the results are insignificant, which indicates the wastage of nutrients and fertilizer. Major nutrient contents (NPK) in the edible heads remain normal with the application of nanoparticles as fertilizers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their gratitude towards Gujarat State Fertilizers and Chemicals Limited for the support provided for the research carried out. Mr. Dharmendra Vaghela is thanked for the excellent support in the farm. Thanks are due to Mr. Pratik Ghadiya and Mr. P. O. Patel for analytical support

REFERENCES

- Ali, A., Hira Zafar, M. Z., ul Haq, I., Phull, A. R., Ali, J. S. and Hussain, A. (2016). Synthesis, characterization, applications, and challenges of iron oxide nanoparticles *Nanotechnology, Science and Applications*, 9:49.
- Al-Tameemi, A. J. H., Al-Aloosy, Y. A. M. and Al-Saedi, N. J. (2019). Effect of spraying chelated and nano of both iron and zinc on

- the growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). *Plant Archives*, 19(1):1783-1790.
- Chanwala, P., Sharma, D. and Choudhary, G. (2019). Effect of Foliar Spray of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth, Yield and Quality of Sprouting Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.), *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(8): 1846-1852.
- De Oliveira, C. R. S., Mulinari, J., Júnior, F. W. R. and Da Silva Júnior, A. H. (2020). Nano-Delivery Systems of Pesticides Active Agents For Agriculture Applications-An Overview. *International Agribusiness Congress – 2020*. <https://doi.org/10.31692/ICIAGRO.2020.0051>
- Drostkar, E., Talebi, R. and Kanouni, H. (2016). Foliar application of Fe, Zn and NPK nano-fertilizers on seed yield and morphological traits in chickpea under rainfed condition. *Journal of Resources and Ecology*, 4: 221-228.
- Elemike, E. E., Uzoh, I. M., Onwudiwe, D. C. and Babalola, O. O. (2019). The role of nanotechnology in the fortification of plant nutrients and improvement of crop production. *Applied Sciences*, 9(3):499.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2 ed.). John Wiley and sons, New York, 680p.
- Hänsch R. and Mendel R. R. (2009). Physiological functions of mineral micronutrients (Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, Ni, Mo, B, Cl). *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 12(3):259.
- Hochmuth, G., (2011). Iron (Fe) nutrition of plants. *EDIS*, 2011(8).
- Jett, L. W., Morse, R.D. and O'Dell, C. R. (1995). Plant density effects on single-head broccoli production. *Horticulture Science*, 30(1):50-52.
- Judy, J. D., Unrine, J. M., Rao, W., Wirick, S. and Bertsch, P.M. (2012). Bioavailability of gold nanomaterials to plants: importance of particle size and surface coating. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46(15): 8467-8474.
- Kumar, R. and Singh, D. (2016). Influence of nutrient application on growth and productivity of spring planted sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) in sub-tropical North India. *International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research*, 14(2):147-151.
- Kumari, S. and Panigrahi, K. C. S. (2019). An understanding towards light dependent auxin dynamics in protoplast system using a luciferase-based auxin sensor. *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 17 (1): 58-64.
- Maynard, D. N. (1979). Nutritional disorders of vegetable crops: A review. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 1(1): 1-23.
- Munro, D. C., Cutcliffe, J. and Mackay, D. (1978). Relation of nutrient content of broccoli and Brussels sprouts leaves to maturity and fertilization with N, P, K, and manure. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 58(2):385-394.
- Page, A. L. and Keeney, D. R. (1982). Methods of soil analysis. *American Society of Agronomy*.
- Purvis, E. R. and Carolus, R. L. (1964). Nutrient deficiencies in vegetable crops. In *Hunger signs in crops* p. 245–86.
- Sangeetha, J., Thangadurai, D., Hospet, R., Purushotham, P., Karekalammanavar, G., Mundaragi, A. C., David, M., Shinge, M. R., Thimmappa, S. C., Prasad, R. and Harish, E. R. (2017). Agricultural nanotechnology: concepts, benefits, and risks. In *Nanotechnology*: 1-17.
- Singh, V., Singh, A. K., Singh, S., Kumar, A. and Mohrana, D.P. (2018). Impact of foliar spray of micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) cv. Pusa KTS-1. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 7: 99-101.
- Teng, Q., Zhang, D., Niu, X. and Jiang, C. (2018). Influences of application of slow-release Nano-fertilizer on green pepper growth, soil nutrients and enzyme activity. In *IOP conference series: Earth and Environmental science*. Volume . 208, No. 1, p. 012014.
- Yildirim, E., Guvenc, I., Turan, M. and Karatas, A.

(2007). Effect of foliar urea application on quality, growth, mineral uptake and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L., var. *italica*). *Plant Soil and Environment*, 53(3):120.

Zhu, H., Han, J., Xiao, J. Q. and Jin, Y. (2008). Uptake, translocation, and accumulation of manufactured iron oxide nanoparticles by pumpkin plants. *Journal of Environmental monitoring*, 10(6):713-717.

Received: August 14, 2021

Accepted: September 2, 2021