

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 20(3), September-December, 2022]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar



ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. N.S. Jadon, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Mabolica Das Trakroo, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India
Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India
Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India
Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W.P. Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A.
Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India
Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India
Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium
Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IIRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines
Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India
Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India
Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India
Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India
Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India
Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India
Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia
Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.
Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India
Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India
Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.
Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.
Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India
Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

CONTENTS

Morphological characterization for leaf architecture in Teosinte (<i>Zea mays</i> subssp <i>parviglumis</i>) derived BC₁F₂ population of maize	370
VARALAKSHMI S., NARENDRA KUMAR SINGH, SENTHILKUMAR V, SMRUTISHREE SAHOO, PRABHAT SINGH and PRIYA GARKOTI	
Effect of plant growth regulators on seed germination of wild fruit of Kilmora (<i>Barberis asiatica</i> Roxb. exDC.)	378
NIKESH CHANDRA and GOPALMANI	
Geographic Information System (GIS) assisted mapping and classification of the soils of Akoko Edo Local Government Area, Edo State	382
AGBOGUN, L., UMWENI A.S., OGBOGHODO, I.A. and KADIRI, O.H.	
Major insect pest abundance diversity in the Nainital foothill rice Agro-ecosystem	392
SHIVENDRA NATH TIWARI and PRAMOD MALL	
Distribution pattern of major insect pests of cabbage in Udham Singh Nagar District of Uttarakhand	397
MANOJ JOSHI and AJAY KUMAR PANDEY	
Population dynamics of insect pests and influence of weather parameters on their population in cabbage crop	402
MANOJ JOSHI, AJAY KUMAR PANDEY and LAXMI RAWAT	
Long-term efficacy of nineteen essential oils against <i>Corcyra cephalonica</i> (Stainton), <i>Sitotroga cerealella</i> (Olivier) and <i>Callosobruchus chinensis</i> (Linnaeus)	412
DEEPA KUMARI and S. N. TIWARI	
Long - term efficacy of some herbal fumigants against <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus), <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i> (Fabricius) and <i>Tribolium castaneum</i> (Herbst)	425
DEEPA KUMARI and S. N. TIWARI	
Evaluation of finger millet germplasm for morpho-metric traits, seed quality parameters and against important endemic diseases in mid hills of Uttarakhand	435
LAXMI RAWAT, DEEPTI AND SUMIT CHAUHAN	
Effect of partial substitution of potato by fresh pea shells (<i>Pisum sativum</i>) in tikki development and their quality evaluation	457
AMITA BENIWAL, SAVITA SINGH, VEENU SANGWAN and DARSHAN PUNIA	
Comparative evaluation of nutritional anthropometry and dietary recall methods for assessing the nutritional status of population	466
ANURADHA DUTTA, ARCHANA KUSHWAHA, NEETU DOBHAL and JYOTI SINGH	

Estimation of breeding value of sires using first lactation traits by BLUP method in crossbred cattle	473
VINEETA ARYA, B. N. SHAHI, D. KUMAR and R. S. BARWAL	
Genetic variation of Beta-Lactoglobulin gene and its association with milk production in Sahiwal and crossbred cattle	477
A.K. GHOSH and R.S. BARWAL	
Evaluation of efficiency of sire model and animal model in crossbred cattle using first lactation and lifetime production traits	483
MANITA DANGI, C.V. SINGH, R.S. BARWAL and B.N. SHAHI	
Assessment of faecal shedding of salmonellae in poultry farms of Uttarakhand	490
MAANSI, IRAM ANSARI, A.K. UPADHYAY, NIDDHI ARORA and MEENA MRIGESH	
Effect of plant-based feed additives(<i>Ficus racemosa</i>) on growth performance and blood parameters of Indian major carps fingerlings	496
LOVEDEEP SHARMA and EKTA TAMTA	
Comparative analysis of Traditional Method and Mechanical Method of Cotton Sowing	500
ABHISHEK PANDEY, A. L. VADHER, R. K. KATHIRIA, S. A. GAIKWAD and JAGRITI CHOUDHARY	
Field evaluation of Walking Behind Self-Propelled Vertical Conveyor Reaper-cum-Windrower for harvesting losses in green gram crop	507
M. KUMAR and S.KUMARI	
Design of a Tractor Operated Carrot Digger	512
RAUSHAN KUMAR and R. N. PATERIYA	
Feasibility study of pine needles as a potential source of bio-energy	519
DEEPSHIKHA AZAD, RAJ NARAYAN PATERIYA and RAJAT KUMAR SHARMA	
Monitoring of Okhla Bird Sanctuary using Temporal Satellite Data: A case study	524
RAJ SINGH and VARA SARITHA	

Long - term efficacy of some herbal fumigants against *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus), *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst)

DEEPA KUMARI* and S. N. TIWARI

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145 (U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand)

Corresponding author's email id: deepa5227@yahoo.co.in; drsntiwari@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The experiments were conducted to study the long-term efficacy of essential oils of *Curcuma longa* and *Pinus roxburghii* at 0.2 and 0.4 per cent along with their combinations at 0.2+0.2 and 0.1 +0.1 per cent each against three major insect pests of stored grains, *Sitophilus oryzae*, *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Tribolium castaneum*. The wheat grain artificially infested by these insects were fumigated by above mentioned formulations on 5th, 10th, 15th and 20th days of infestation. The observations on population buildup of test insects were recorded after 6- and 8-months storage while percent infestation and per cent weight loss of grain was recorded after 10 months storage. The study revealed that the herbal fumigants having essential oil of *C. longa* or *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.4 per cent or *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent each or *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent were highly effective against *S. oryzae* because no adults emerged from these treatments even when grains were fumigated on 20th days of artificial infestation by this insect. On the other hand, all the herbal fumigants were highly effective against *R. dominica* for more than 8 months when they were used for fumigation on 5, 10, 15 and 20 days of artificial infestation. In case of *T. castaneum*, *C. longa* oil was not very effective at 0.2-0.4 per cent against this insect. However, the combinations of *C. longa*+ *P. roxburghii* completely checked the progeny production for more than 8 months at 0.1+0.1 and 0.2+0.2 per cent. The study revealed that the herbal fumigant having essential oil of *C. longa* and *P. roxburghii* in equal proportion is highly effective against all three major insect pests of stored cereals at lowest dose of 0.2 percent. Due to very high efficacy for 10 months and low cost of treatment, it may be used for protection of cereal grain or seed stored in airtight containers.

Key words: *Curcuma longa*, essential oils, fumigant toxicity, herbal fumigants, *Pinus roxburghii*, *Rhyzopertha dominica*, *Sitophilus oryzae*, *Tribolium castaneum*

The essential oils present in many plant species are known to exhibit fumigant toxicity against insect pests of stored grain (Shaaya *et al.*, 1990; Singh and Upadhyay, 1993; Regnault-Roger, 1997; Rajendran and Sriranjini, 2008; Geetanjly *et al.*, 2016; Gangwar and Tiwari, 2017; Kumar and Tiwari, 2018; Joshi and Tiwari, 2019; Sharma and Tiwari, 2021; Geetanjly and Tiwari, 2021; Tewari and Tiwari, 2021a; 2021b; 2021c; 2021d). However, such activity of oils has been found to be species specific and an oil highly effective against a species may be ineffective or less effective against another species (Kumari and Tiwari, 2022). Moreover, the level and duration of toxicity is also known to vary from species to species and in majority of cases they are of no practical use if they control only a small population of insect for very shorter duration. The

*A part of Ph.D. Thesis submitted by senior author to G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar; Present Address: Department of Zoology, Govt. P.G. College, Bageshwar-263642, Uttarakhand

cost of essential oils is also very high due to which they cannot be used for protection of grain if they show appreciable mortality at very high dose. Due to presence of different types of compounds, these oils may also affect the test and smell of treated commodity and they may be used for protection of grain only when such undesirable property is removed after processing or cooking. Nevertheless, such herbal fumigants affecting the organoleptic properties may be utilized in protection of organic seed, if they do not affect the germination. Since most of the essential oils are ecofriendly and biodegradable (Jacobson, 1983), they may be used for protection of grain if we are able to develop some formulations which are highly toxic to all species of insect pests at very low dosages and their efficacy persists for longer duration as the tropical climate of many countries is highly favorable for continuous occurrence of storage insect pests throughout the year (Srivastava and Subramanian, 2016). It has also been advocated that it is always less hazardous to

use plant materials with antifeedant, repellent or insecticidal action than to use synthetic pesticides (Pereira and Wohlgemuth, 1982; Prakash *et al.*, 1982; Allotey and Azalekor, 2000) as indiscriminate and prolonged use of conventional fumigants and insecticides have led to the development of resistance in insects and health hazards in human beings and other non-target organism. Such materials of plant origin are also suitable for management of insect pests in storage of organic foods (Barbercheck, 2022).

Recently some experiments have been conducted in this direction and some very useful formulations of herbal fumigants have been reported to be highly effective against many stored grain insect pests for longer duration. Kumar *et al.* (2018) observed that herbal fumigants having *M. koenigii* + *C. reticulata*, *M. koenigii* + *C. longa*, *C. reticulata* + *C. longa* and *M. koenigii* + *C. reticulata* + *C. longa* protected the grain from infestation of *R. dominica*, *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum* for one year without affecting the organoleptic properties of treated grain or its germination when used at 0.2%. In another study, Tewari and Tiwari (2021c) reported that several formulations of herbal fumigants formulated by using two, three, four, five, six and seven essential oils combinations of *M. arvensis*, *M. piperita*, *M. spicata*, *C. winterianus*, *E. citriodora*, *E. globulus* and *P. roxburghii* at 0.20, 0.13, 0.10, 0.08, 0.07 and 0.06 per cent each, respectively, were highly effective against *R. dominica* and *S. oryzae*. A long-term study also indicated that essential oils of *M. arvensis*, *M. piperita*, *M. spicata*, *P. roxburghii*, *C. winterianus* and *E. globulus* were highly effective against *R. dominica* and *S. oryzae* at 0.40 per cent for 6 months (Tewari and Tiwari, 2021d). Very recently, Kumari and Tiwari (2022) studied the fumigant toxicity of essential oils of *M. cardiaca*, *T. cinerariifolium*, *O. basilicum*, *L. alba*, *F. asafoetida*, *S. officinalis* and *L. angustifolia* against *R. dominica*, *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum* at 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4 percent (v/w) concentration. It was reported that the essential oils of *M. cardiaca* and *O. basilicum* completely checked the progeny production of *S. oryzae* for 180 days at all four concentrations while such pronounced effect was exhibited by *T. cinerariifolium* at 0.2-0.4 per cent; *L.*

angustifolia at 0.3-0.4 per cent and *L. alba* at 0.4 per cent only. In case of *R. dominica*, the oils of *M. cardiaca*, *T. cinerariifolium*, *O. basilicum* and *F. asafoetida* completely checked the F1 progeny for 220-228 days at all four concentrations while complete inhibition was achieved by *L. angustifolia* at 0.2-0.4 percent and *L. alba* and *S. officinalis* at 0.3-0.4 percent. The essential oil of *O. basilicum* completely checked the reproduction of *T. castaneum* at 0.1-0.4 percent for 90 days while such high efficacy was shown by *M. cardiaca* at 0.2-0.4 per cent and *T. cinerariifolium* and *L. angustifolia* at 0.4 per cent. In view of above-mentioned facts, the present investigation was undertaken to study the additive or synergistic effects and long-term efficacy of some formulation of herbal fumigants against *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica* and *T. castaneum*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted in Post-Harvest Entomology Laboratory of Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand.

Culture of Insects

Pure culture of test insects was developed in the control room maintained at 27°C±1 temperature and 70±5% relative humidity. Plastic jars of about 1.0 kg capacity were used for rearing purpose. At the center of the lid a hole of 1.8 cm diameter was made and covered with 30 mesh copper wire net to facilitate aeration in the jar. The adults of *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Sitophilus oryzae* were reared on the grain of wheat variety PBW-343 while *Tribolium castaneum* was cultured on its flour fortified with 5 per cent yeast powder. Before use, grains were disinfected in the oven at 60° C for 12 hrs. After disinfestation the moisture content of the grain was measured and raised to 13.5 per cent by mixing water in the grain. The quantity of water required to raise the moisture content was calculated by using following formula as described by Pixton (1967).

$$\text{Quantity of water to be added} = \frac{W_1(M_2 - M_1)}{100 - M_2}$$

Where,

W_1	=	Initial weight of grains
M_1	=	Initial moisture content
M_2	=	Final moisture content

After mixing the water in grain it was kept in closed polythene bags for a week so that moisture content of grain could equilibrate. The grain was then filled in plastic jar and 100 adults were released in each jar after which it was kept in incubator. To prepare the culture medium of *T. castaneum*, wheat grain was ground to a fine powder and yeast powder was mixed in it at the rate of 5 per cent. The medium was filled in plastic jars and adults were released in it. First generation adults (0-7 days old) were used for experimental purpose.

Procurement of Oils

Oils selected for the study were collected from the Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research and Development Centre, Haldi and Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Field Station, Nagla and Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Lucknow. The scientific name of plants, the oils of which were used in the experiment is given in Table 1.

Preparation of Grain

All fumigation experiments on *R. dominica*, *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum* were conducted on untreated graded seed of wheat variety PBW-343. Before use, the grains were disinfested by keeping

Table 1: Composition and rate of application of herbal fumigants used to study their effect on survival/mortality of *R. dominica*, *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum*

S.N.	Composition	Conc. % (v/w)
1	<i>Curcuma longa</i>	0.4
2	<i>Pinus roxburghii</i>	0.4
3	<i>Curcuma longa</i> + <i>Pinus roxburghii</i>	0.2 + 0.2
4	<i>Curcuma longa</i>	0.2
5	<i>Pinus roxburghii</i>	0.2
6	<i>Curcuma longa</i> + <i>Pinus roxburghii</i>	0.1 + 0.1
7	Untreated	-

them in the oven at 60°C for 12 hrs. After disinfestation the moisture content of grain was measured and raised to 13.5 per cent by adding water in the required quantity to the grain as described. To ensure the even distribution of water, the grain was spread on a platform and water was sprayed on it using hand sprayer. The grain was then mixed thoroughly and closed in polythene bags for a week for equilibration of moisture content of grain. The grain (50g) was then filled in 100ml capacity plastic vials to perform experiment.

Details of Experiment Conducted

The experiment was conducted in 2000 ml capacity air tight plastic jar to study the effect of *Curcuma longa* (Turmeric) and *Pinus roxburghii* (Pine) oils and their combinations (Table 1) on population buildup of *R. dominica*, *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum*. Wheat grain of variety PBW-343 measuring 1700

Table 2: Effect of some herbal fumigants on population buildup of *S. oryzae* after 6 months storage

Essential oils	Dose % (v/w)	Days of treatment after artificial infestation							
		5th day treatment		10th day treatment		15th day treatment		20th day treatment	
		Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	0.0(1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	729.0 (25.3)	-28.3	418.3(20.3)	73.2	909.0 (30.0)	16.5	861.0 (29.3)	72.1
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1+0.1	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	84.0 (6.0)	97.3
Untreated	-	568.0 (24.0)	-	1560.3 (39.5)	-	1088.0 (33.0)	-	3084.0 (54.0)	-
S.Em.±		(3.0)		(1.0)		(2.0)		(4.0)	
CD at 5%		(8.0)		(2.5)		(6.0)		(12.3)	

Data in parenthesis indicates square root (X+1) transformed value

gm was filled in each plastic jar. Four sets comprising seven treatments and three replications were prepared to study the effect against different insects. Ten adults each of three test insects were released in each jar after filling the jar with grains. The grain was then treated with the oils after 5th, 10th, 15th and 20th days interval. For this purpose, the oil was poured on the absorbing mats and then the mats were inserted inside the plastic jars. Screw cap of jar was then tightly closed and made completely airtight by sealing with paraffin wax strip and cello tape. After 6- and 8-month storage each jar was analyzed to count the number of adults emerged and per cent inhibition. After 10 months storage per cent infestation and per cent weight loss was calculated

in each jar.

$$\text{Per cent inhibition} = \frac{\text{Control} - \text{treated}}{\text{Control}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Per cent infestation} = \frac{\text{Nd}}{\text{Nu} + \text{Nd}} \times 100$$

Per cent weight loss was calculated by using the formula described by Adams and Schulten (1976).

$$\text{Per cent weight loss} = \frac{(\text{Wu} \times \text{Nd}) - (\text{Wd} \times \text{Nu})}{\text{Wu} (\text{Nd} + \text{Nu})} \times 100$$

Where,

- Wu = weight of undamaged grain
- Wd = weight of damaged grain
- Nu = number of undamaged grains
- Nd = number of damaged grains

Table 3: Effect of some herbal fumigants on population buildup of *S. oryzae* after 8 months storage

Essential oils	Dose % (v/w)	Days of treatment after artificial infestation							
		5th day treatment		10th day treatment		15th day treatment		20th day treatment	
		Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i> +	0.2+0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>									
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	859.3 (28.4)	-6188	693.0 (24.1)	-876	1757.0 (41.1)	-354.3	2028.0 (45.0)	-298.2
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i> +	0.1+0.1	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>									
Untreated	-	14 (3.5)	-	71.0 (8.1)	-	387.0 (17.0)	-	509.3 (22.0)	-
S.Em.±		(2.)	-	(3.0)	-	(3.5)	-	(2.0)	-
CD at 5%		(6.1)	-	(9.0)	-	(11.0)	-	(5.0)	-

Data in parenthesis indicates square root (X+1) transformed value

Table 4: Effect of some herbal fumigants on population buildup of *R. dominica* after 6 months storage

Essential oils	Dose % (v/w)	Days of treatment after artificial infestation							
		5th day treatment		10th day treatment		15th day treatment		20th day treatment	
		Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i> +	0.2+0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>									
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	149.0 (10.3)	85	0.0 (1.0)	100	89.3 (6.1)	94.3	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i> +	0.1+0.1	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>									
Untreated	-	973.0 (31.2)	-	1804.3 (42.0)	-	1555.0 (39.4)	-	752.3 (25.5)	-
S.Em.±		(2.0)	-	(2.0)	-	(2.1)	-	(3.0)	-
CD at 5%		(5.4)	-	(6.0)	-	(6.3)	-	(8.3)	-

Data in parenthesis indicates square root (X+1) transformed value

Table 5: Effect of some herbal fumigants on population buildup of *R. dominica* after 8 months storage

Essential oils	Dose % (v/w)	Days of treatment after artificial infestation							
		5th day treatment		10th day treatment		15th day treatment		20th day treatment	
		Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa+</i> <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	760.0 (23.0)	48.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.3 (1.1)	99.9	1.0 (1.2)	99.9
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa+</i> <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1+0.1	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
Untreated	-	1473.0 (38.4)	-	1715.3 (41.3)	-	1060.0 (30.0)	-	980.3 (31.1)	-
S.Em.±		(4.1)	-	(1.0)	-	(3.5)	-	(1.0)	-
CD at 5%		(12.5)	-	(3.0)	-	(10.5)	-	(3.0)	-

Data in parenthesis indicates square root (X+1) transformed value

Table 6: Effect of some herbal fumigants on population buildup of *T. castaneum* after 6 months storage

Essential oils	Dose % (v/w)	Days of treatment after artificial infestation							
		5th day treatment		10th day treatment		15th day treatment		20th day treatment	
		Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	802.0(23.4)	-296.5	129.0 (10.0)	-105.9	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa+</i> <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	593.3 (24.0)	-193.2	502.0 (22.1)	-700.5	347.0 (15.4)	-75.7	465.0 (21.5)	-107.7
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa+</i> <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1+0.1	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
Untreated	-	202.3 (14.2)	-	63.0 (7.9)	-	197.3 (14.0)	-	224.0 (15.0)	-
S.Em.±		(5.0)		(2.0)		(3.0)		(1.0)	
CD at 5%		(14.0)		(6.0)		(9.0)		(2.0)	

Data in parenthesis indicates square root (X+1) transformed value

Table 7: Effect of some herbal fumigants on population buildup of *T. castaneum* after 8 months storage.

Essential oils	Dose % (v/w)	Days of treatment after artificial infestation							
		5th day treatment		10th day treatment		15th day treatment		20th day treatment	
		Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition	Adults emerged	% Inhibition
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	151.0 (10.3)	-44.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa+</i> <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	180.0 (12.0)	-72.5	232.3 (14.2)	-8612.5	249.3 (13.3)	-648	325.3 (18.0)	-1034.9
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
<i>C. longa+</i> <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1+0.1	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100	0.0 (1.0)	100
Untreated	-	104.3 (10.0)	-	3.0 (2.0)	-	33.3 (6.0)	-	29.0 (5.3)	-
S.Em.±		(2.5)		(2.0)		(2.3)		(1.2)	
CD at 5%		(8.0)		(5.0)		(7.1)		(4.0)	

Data in parenthesis indicates square root (X+1) transformed value

Table 8: Effect of herbal fumigants on infestation and weight loss due to *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica* and *T. castaneum* after 10 month storage

Essential oils	Dose %	5th day treatment		10th day treatment		15th day treatment		20th day treatment	
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
		Infestation	Weight loss	Infestation	Weight loss	Infestation	Weight loss	Infestation	Weight loss
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	46.4 (6.9)	2.1	10.4 (3.1)	0.9	1.0 (1.4)	-0.1	0.9 (1.4)	0.0
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	0.6 (1.3)	0.0	4.3 (2.0)	3.7	0.6 (1.3)	-0.1	1.0 (1.4)	0.0
<i>C. longa</i> +	0.2+0.2	0.7 (1.3)	-0.1	0.7 (1.3)	-0.1	0.8 (1.3)	-0.1	0.8 (1.3)	0.0
<i>P. roxburghii</i>									
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	67.6 (8.2)	2.6	47.8 (6.9)	9.3	59.4 (7.8)	8.0	64.0 (8.0)	13.1
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	0.8 (1.3)	-0.1	0.6 (1.3)	0.0	0.5 (1.2)	0.0	1.1 (1.4)	-0.1
<i>C. longa</i> +	0.1+0.1	0.8 (1.3)	-0.1	0.7 (1.3)	0.0	1.1 (1.5)	0.0	5.3 (2.2)	-1.2
<i>P. roxburghii</i>									
Untreated	-	84.2 (9.2)	22.4	98.4 (10.0)	*	97.7 (10.0)	*	95.1 (9.8)	*
S.Em.±		(0.3)	-	(0.6)	-	(0.1)	-	(0.4)	-
CD at 5%		(0.8)	-	(1.7)	-	(0.4)	-	(1.2)	-

Data in parenthesis indicates square root (X+1) transformed value

•Weight loss not calculated due to lack of completely healthy grain as most were damaged by fungal growth

Table 9: Inhibition of *S. oryzae* by different herbal fumigants used on different days of artificial infestation

Essential oils	Dose % (v/w)	Inhibition (%) after 10 months storage			
		5 th day treatment	10 th day treatment	15 th day treatment	20 th day treatment
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	-1823.8	-833.0	-50.6	-197.4
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1+0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Table 10: Inhibition of *R. dominica* by different herbal fumigants used on different days of artificial infestation

Essential oils	Dose % (v/w)	Inhibition (%) after 10 months storage			
		5 th day treatment	10 th day treatment	15 th day treatment	20 th day treatment
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	58.1	100.0	99.9	100.0
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1+0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Table 11: Inhibition of *T. castaneum* by different herbal fumigants used on different days of artificial infestation

Essential oils	Dose % (v/w)	Inhibition (%) after 10 months storage			
		5 th day treatment	10 th day treatment	15 th day treatment	20 th day treatment
<i>C. longa</i>	0.4	99.9	-41700.0	100.0	100.0
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2+0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>C. longa</i>	0.2	-244.4	-2000.0	-172.2	-766.7
<i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>C. longa</i> + <i>P. roxburghii</i>	0.1+0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Statistical analysis

Standard error of mean was computed in all those experiments where observations were recorded at

different interval after development of insect population. In other experiments laid out in Completely Randomized Design data was analyzed

after suitable transformation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of some herbal fumigants on population buildup of *S. oryzae* after 6 months storage is given in Table 2. In this experiment the grain stored in 2000ml capacity plastic jar was fumigated on 5th, 10th, 15th and 20th day of artificial infestation. The herbal fumigants having *C. longa* or *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.4 per cent or *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent each or *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent were highly effective as no adults emerged from these treatments even when grain was fumigated on 20th days of artificial infestation by this insect. Fumigation of grain by *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.1 per cent each on 20th days of artificial infestation resulted in 97.3 per cent inhibition while fumigation made on 5th, 10th and 15th days of infestation did not permit this insect to feed and breed in this treatment. The essential oil of *C. longa* was not found effective against this insect at 0.2 per cent.

The effect of above-mentioned herbal fumigants against *S. oryzae* after 8 months storage is present in Table 3 which indicates that fumigation of the grains by *C. longa* or *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.4 per cent, *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent each, *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.2 per cent or *C. longa* + Pine at 0.1 per cent were highly effective when they were used for fumigation on 5, 10, 15 and 20th days of artificial infestation. The study indicates that all the above-mentioned herbal fumigants are highly effective against *S. oryzae* for more than 8 months. The essential oil of *P. roxburghii* also suppressed 100 per cent progeny of this insect at 0.4 per cent (Tewari and Tiwari (2021c).

The effect of herbal fumigants on population buildup of *R. dominica* after 6- and 8-months storage is given in Table 4 and 5 which indicate that all the herbal fumigants were highly effective against this insect for more than 8 months when they were used for fumigation on 5, 10, 15 and 20th days of artificial infestation. Tewari and Tiwari (2021c) also reported that the essential oil of *P. roxburghii* was highly effective against this insect at 0.2 and 0.4 per cent

concentration at which it suppressed 97.8 and 100.0 per cent insect population, respectively.

The effect of herbal fumigants on the population buildup of *T. castaneum* after 6- and 8-months storage is presented in Table 6 and 7 which indicate that *C. longa* oil was not very effective at 0.2-0.4 per cent against this insect. On the other hand, *P. roxburghii* oil completely checked the population buildup of this insect when it was used for fumigation on 5, 10, 15 and 20th days after fumigation at 0.2 per cent. The combination of *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent each or *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.1 per cent each was also found to be highly effective for more than 8 months as no adult emerged from the grain fumigated by it on 5, 10, 15 or 20th days of artificial infestation.

The effect of different herbal fumigants on infestation and weight loss due to *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica* and *T. castaneum* after 10 month storage is presented in Table 8 which indicates that the fumigation of grain with *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.4 per cent, *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent each, *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.2 per cent and *C. longa* and *P. roxburghii* at 0.1 per cent each checked the infestation of all three insects as only 0.6-0.8 per cent infested grain were observed in these treatments when grain was fumigated on 5th day of artificial infestation. On the other hand, 84.2 per cent infestation was recorded in untreated control. The loss in weight of grain was also very low in all these treatments. Fumigation of the grain on 10th days of artificial infestation caused 0.6-0.7 per cent infestation when *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* (0.2 per cent each), *P. roxburghii* (0.2 per cent), *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* (0.1 per cent each) was used for fumigation. The weight loss was also very low in these treatments. Fumigation of grain with *C. longa* or *P. roxburghii* at 0.4 per cent, *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent each, *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent, or *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.1 per cent each caused 0.5-1.0 per cent infestation of the grain when fumigation was done on 15th days of artificial infestation. No loss of weight due to insect infestation was recorded in these treatments. All the above-mentioned treatments except *C. longa* + *P.*

roxburghii at 0.1 per cent also caused very low infestation when used on 20 day of artificial infestation and no weight loss was recorded during this period. Among all these treatments only *C. longa* oil did not give satisfactory fumigant toxicity.

Table 9 and 10 indicates that all herbal fumigants except *C. longa* oil at 0.2 per cent completely inhibited the population buildup of *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica* for 10 months. In case of *T. castaneum* (Table 11) *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.4 per cent, *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent each, *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent or *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.1 per cent each gave similar results.

CONCLUSION

Present study indicated that herbal fumigants are highly effective against *R. dominica*, *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum* for more than 10 months as during study period they showed 100 per cent control of all three test insects. Since most of the treatments were insect free during last observation, it is expected that they will keep the grain insect free for more longer duration if airtightness is maintained during storage. The herbal fumigants having *C. longa* or *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.4 per cent or *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent each or *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent were highly effective as no adults emerged from these treatments even when grain was fumigated on 20th days of artificial infestation by *S. oryzae*. In case of *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.1 per cent each, 97.3 per cent inhibition was obtained when the grain was fumigated on 20th days of artificial infestation while the grain fumigated on 5th, 10th and 15th days did not permit this insect to breed in this treatment. The fumigation of grain with *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.4 per cent, *C. longa* + *P. roxburghii* at 0.2 per cent each, *P. roxburghii* oil at 0.2 per cent and *C. longa* and *P. roxburghii* at 0.1 per cent each checked the infestation of all three insects *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica* and *T. castaneum*. The loss in weight of grain was also very low in all these treatments. Current finding revealed that except *C. longa* oil at 0.2 per cent, all assessed essential oils and their combinations were highly effective in controlling the population buildup, infestation and weight loss due to these three insect pests. Such high

efficacy of herbal fumigant is parallel to conventional fumigants due to which they have great potential in post-harvest management of insect pests. Since the components of herbal fumigants are highly volatile, it can be used only under hermetic conditions just like any conventional fumigants. Therefore, such fumigants are very useful for protection of grain stored in metal bins at farmers or consumer level for which we are not having any viable alternative. Since, most of the ingredients are easily available in many countries, farmers may be encouraged to prepare such herbal fumigants at domestic level. In some cases, the volatile compounds present in essential oils may adversely affect the organoleptic properties. Under such conditions, the herbal fumigants may be used for protection of seed at different level. As herbal fumigants of plant origin are biodegradable and ecofriendly, they may provide green solutions to insect pest problem under storage condition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are highly grateful to Joint Director of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research and Development Centre, Pantnagar, and Directors of Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Field Station, Nagla and Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Lucknow for providing experimental material.

REFERENCES

- Adams, J. M. and Schulten, G. G. M. (1976). Losses caused by insects, mites and micro-organisms. *In*: American Association of Cereal Chemists. Postharvest Grain Loss Methods. Slough, 1976, Pp. 83-93.
- Allotey, J. and Azalekor, W. (2000). Some aspects of the biology and control using botanicals of the rice moth, *Corcyra cephalonica* (Stainton), on some pulses. *Journal of Stored Product Research*, 36: 235-243.
- Barbercheck, M. (2022). Management of Stored Grain Pests in Organic Systems. PennState Extension. <https://extension.psu.edu/management-of-stored-grain-pests-in-organic-systems>.
- Gangwar, P. and Tiwari, S. N. (2017). Insecticidal

- activity of *Curcuma longa* essential oil and its fractions against *Sitophilus oryzae* L. and *Rhyzopertha dominica* F. (Coleoptera). *Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Biosciences*, 5: 912-921.
- Geetanjly, Chandel, R., Mishra, V. K. and Tiwari, S. N. (2016). Comparative efficacy of eighteen essential oil against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.). *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*, 9(3): 353.
- Geetanjly and Tiwari, S. N. (2021). Seasonal changes in yield, composition and fumigant action of essential oil of *Murraya koenigii* L. against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(2): 204-213.
- Jacobson, M. (1983). Control of stored products insects with phytochemicals. Proceedings of 3rd International Working Conference on Stored Products Entomology, Manhattan, USA, Oct. 23-28, Pp 183-195.
- Joshi, R. and Tiwari, S. N. (2019). Fumigant toxicity and repellent activity of some essential oils against stored grain pest *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(4): 59-62.
- Kumar, R. and Tiwari, S. N. (2017a). Fumigant toxicity of essential oil and their combination against *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Tribolium castaneum* at different days interval in stored wheat. *Journal of Postharvest Technology*, 4 (2): S01-S05.
- Kumar, R. and Tiwari, S. N. (2017b). Fumigant toxicity of essential oils and their combination against *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) at different days interval in stored wheat. *Journal of Postharvest Technology*, 4 (2): S06-S10.
- Kumar, R. and Tiwari, S. N. (2018). Fumigant toxicity of essential oils against four stored grain insect pests in stored paddy seeds. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 80 (1): 73-77.
- Kumar, R.; Tiwari, S. N. and Pandey, P. S. 2018. Fumigant toxicity of essential oils and their combinations on population buildup of three stored product coleoptera in stored wheat and effect on quality of wheat. 12th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection (IWCSPP) in Berlin, Germany, October 7-11, 2018. 680-687.
- Kumari, D. and Tiwari, S. N. (2022). Long term efficacy of seven essential oils against *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus), *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 20(2): 221-228.
- Pereira, J. and Wohlgemuth, R. (1982). Neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss.) of West Africa origin as protectant of stored maize. *Zeitschrift Fur Angewandte Entomologie*, 94: 208-214.
- Pixton, S.W. (1967). Moisture content—its significance and measurement in stored products. *Journal of Stored Product Research*, 3: 35-37.
- Prakash, A., Pasalu, I. C. and Mathur, K. C. (1982). Evaluation of plant products as grain protectants in paddy storage. *International Journal of Entomology*, 1:75-77.
- Raja, M. and William, S. J. (2008). Impact of volatile oils of plants against the Cowpea Beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *International Journal of Interactive Biology*, 2(1):62-64.
- Rajendran, S. and Sriranjini, V. (2008). Plant products as fumigants for stored-product insect control. *Journal of Stored Product Research*, 44: 126-135.
- Regnault-Roger, C. (1997). The potential of botanical essential oils for insect pest control. *Integrated Pest Management Reviews* 2. 25-34.
- Shaaya, E., Ravid, U., Paster, N., Juven, B., Zisman, U. and Pissarev, V. (1990). Fumigant toxicity of essential oils against four major stored product insects. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, NY, 17(3): 499-504.
- Sharma, J.H. and Tiwari, S.N. (2021). Fumigant toxicity of alpha-pinene, beta-pinene, eucalyptol, linalool and sabinene against Rice Weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.).

- Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(1): 50-55.
- Singh, G. and Upadhyay, R. K. (1993). Essential oils: a potent source of natural pesticides. *Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research*, 52: 676-683.
- Srivastava, C. and Subramanian, S. (2016). Storage insect pests and their damage symptoms: An overview. *Journal of Grain Storage Research*, DOI No. 10.5958/0974-8172.2016.00025.0
- Tewari, N. and Tiwari, S.N. (2021a). Effect of sixteen essential oils on the progeny production of *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(2): 187-194.
- Tewari, N. and Tiwari, S.N. (2021b). Bio-efficacy of some essential oils as fumigant against Lesser grain borer, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fab.). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(2): 195-203.
- Tewari, N. and Tiwari, S.N. (2021c). Fumigant toxicity of some essential oils and their combinations against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(3): 389-399.
- Tewari, N. and Tiwari, S.N. (2021d). Long term efficacy of some essential oils against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19(3):400-407.

Received: December 23, 2022

Accepted: December 30, 2022