Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Mabolica Das Trakroo, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A. Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol	21	(1)	
. 01.		(- /	

January-April 2023

CONTENTS

Selection parameters and heterosis for grain yield and yield contributing traits in yellow sarson (<i>Brassica rapa</i> var. yellow sarson) SAMEER CHATURVEDI, NEHA DAHIYA, ANU SINGH, A. S. JEENA and USHA PANT	1-9
Analysis of Morpho-Agronomic Variation and Genetic Divergence of French Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) in Mid Hills of Uttarakhand BHAWANA MAMGAIN, ASHISH MAMGAIN, B.P. NAUTIYAL, REKHA DHANI, NEELIMA and HITASHI KURIYAL	10-13
Variability studies in fenugreek [<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> L.] PRIYANKA KHAIRIYA, J.P. SINGH and DHIRENDRA SINGH	14-18
Quantitative estimation of chlorophyll and caretenoid contents in endangered medicinal plants <i>Gentiana kurroo</i> Royle and <i>Swertia chirayita</i> (Roxb.) H. Karst NEETIKA NAUDIYAL and VANDANA A. KUMAR	19-26
Integrated Nutrient Management for growth and yield enhancement of Wheat (<i>Tritium aestivum</i>) under irrigated conditions of Doon Valley, Uttarakhand PRIYANKA JUYAL, HIMANSHU VERMA and PRIYANKA DHAPOLA	27-31
A study on potato mini-tuber and first-generation seed production using micro-plants of Kufri girdhari and K. giriraj ANJULI AGARWAL	32-35
Monitoring of insect pests infesting cowpea, <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> at Pantnagar SUNIL KUMAR YADAV, AMIT KUMAR KAUSHIK and POONAM SRIVASTAVA	36-39
Studies on flower morphological characters of different oilseed <i>Brassica</i> species and their effect on the abundance of bee species RAHUL SAJWAN, M. S. KHAN, LAXMI RAWAT <i>I</i> and MANOJ JOSHI	40-44
The effect of different formulations of herbal fumigant on progeny production of stored grain insect pest, <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus) DEEPA KUMARI and S. N. TIWARI	45-52
A study on constraints faced by apple growers in production and marketing of apple in Uttarakhand RAHUL NEGI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	53-57
Genetic polymorphism of leptin gene in Badri cattle of Uttarakhand P. DEORI, R.S. BARWAL, B.N. SHAHI and A.K. GHOSH	58-61

Study on bottleneck analysis in Udaipuri goat of Uttarkhand MOMI SARMA, B. N. SHAHI, D. KUMAR, R. S. BARWAL and SUNDIP KUMAR	62-66
Bio -prediction of body weight from zoometric traits in Sirohi goats in southern Rajasthan SHASHIKANT, R.K. NAGDA and C.V. SINGH	ı 67-7 3
Effect of different indigenous breeds of poultry layers on production and morphological egg quality traits in western U.P. GIRIDHAR VASANT USENDI, SHIWANSHU TIWARI, RAJ KUMAR, D.S. SAHU, S.P. YADAV and KARTIK TOMAR	74-80
Common diseases after wars AJAY KUMAR UPADHYAY, MANSHI KHULBE, SOURABH SWAMI, SHAKSHI THAPLIYAL and MAANSI	81-85
Effect of nano zinc on haematological parameters of Wistar Rats ABHIVYAKTI PATHAK, SEEMA AGARWAL and R.S. CHAUHAN	86-92
Performance evaluation of battery-operated push type pigeon pea stem cutter S. A. GAIKWAD, K. B. JHALA, ABHISHEK PANDEY and JAGRITI CHOUDHARY	93-98
Assessing the feasibility and economics of tractor-drawn round straw balers for paddy and wheat crop harvesting KUMUDINI VERMA, ATUL KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA and AVINASH GAUTAM	99-104
A Review- Cold Storage System for small and marginal farmers ABHISHEK MISHRA, RAJ NARAYAN PATERIYA, ALAKNANDA ASHOK, ANSHU SAXENA, VISHNU JI AWASTHI and PHALPHALE KETAN BIBHISHAN	105-109
A review on friction stir welding parameters and their effect on microstructurebehavior of weld joint KUMUD JOSHI and R.S. JADOUN	110-117
Content analysis and readability assessment of Indian Farmers Digest POOJA GOSWAMI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	118-122
Decision making power of women in Raebareli and Pratapgarh district of Uttar Pradesh SEEMA KANAUJIA and SANGHAMITRA MOHAPATRA	123-128

Monitoring of insect pests infesting cowpea, Vigna unguiculata at Pantnagar

SUNIL KUMAR YADAV*1, AMIT KUMAR KAUSHIK and POONAM SRIVASTAVA

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145 (U. S. Nagar, Uttarakhand), ¹Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Hanumangarh-II (Nohar), Rajasthan - 335523

*Corresponding author's email id: 1989sunilyadav@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Studies were conducted to record the insect-pest species in the cowpea ecosystem during the Zaid and Kharif seasons of crop growth. A total of nineteen insect-pest species, belonging to seven orders and fourteen families, were recorded at different stages of crop growth, five of which belonged to Lepidoptera, seven to Hemiptera, three to Coleoptera, and one each to Orthoptera, Diptera, Thysanoptera and Isoptera. All the major parts of the cowpea crop viz., pod/seed, flower, flower bud, leaves and root were found to be infested by these insect species. Out of nineteen species, spotted pod borer, Maruca vitrata F., tur pod bug, Clavigralla gibbosa Spinola, pod sucking bug, Riptortus pedestris F. and bean flower thrips, Megalurothrips sjostedti Trybom were observed as the major insect-pests in cowpea ecosystem. Studies highlight insect-pest complex infesting cowpea crop, allowing farmers to plan in advance to reduce infestations of these pest species.

Key words: Insect infestations, Maruca vitrata, Megalurothrips sjostedti, pod bugs, Vigna unguiculata

Cowpea, Vigna unguiculata (L.) is one of the important legume crops. In India, cowpea is cultivated at approximately 3.9 MH with a production of 2.21 MT and the national productivity is 683 kg/ha (Singh et al., 2012). Cowpea is usually preferred by farmers owing to its role in maintaining soil fertility through nitrogen-fixing (Asiwe et al., 2009) and production of nutritious fodder for livestock. However, yield is very low for various reasons, including numerous diseases, insect pests, parasitic weeds, drought, low soil fertility and lack of inputs and infrastructure (Ahlawat and Shivakumar, 2005). Sometimes total yield losses and crop failure occur due to the spectrum of insect pests, which destroy the crop at different growth stages. More than twenty insect pests have been recorded to cause damage to the cowpea crop (Anusha et al., 2016). In India, several insect pests viz., pod borer, Helicoverpa armigera Hub.; spotted pod borer, Maruca vitrata F.; spiny pod borer, Etiella zinckenella Treitschke; pod fly, Melanagromyza obtuse Malloch; stem fly, Ophiomyia phaseoli Tryon; pea and bean weevil, Stiona spp.; aphids, Aphis craccivora Koch. and Aphis fabae Scopoli; white fly, Bemisia tabaci Genn.; defoliators, Spodoptera litura F., S. exigua Hub. and Amsacta spp.; leafhoppers, Empoasca spp., thrips,

Scirtothrips dorsalis Hood and *Caliothrips indicus* Bagnall; blister beetles, *Mylabris* spp.; and the bruchids, *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. and *Bruchus pisorum* L. have been recorded, which cause extensive losses (Sharma and Kaushik, 2010). Therefore, there is a great scope for studying the insect-pest complex of cowpea in the Pantnagar region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments were conducted at Breeder Seed Production Centre, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand) India, during the Zaid season from March to June and during the *Kharif* season from August to October of 2013 on cowpea varieties PGCP-12 and Pant Lobia -1, respectively. The seeds were sown in plots $(4 \times 3 \text{ m}^2)$ with spacing of 60 cm between two rows and 20 cm between two plants. The fields were monitored regularly at weekly intervals to record and identify the insect fauna at various stages of crop growth. Sweep nets are used to collect flying and saltatorial insects at ground level. The collected insects were transferred to a bucket, containing a minimum amount of ethyl acetate to kill them. The killed insects were sorted

		Table 1:Diversity of inse	ect fauna a	ssociated with c	owpea crop		
Order	Family	Insect pest	Status	Plant part	Crop	Activity	Number of
				infested	stage	period	individuals collected
Lepidoptera	Noctuidae	Tobacco caterpillar	Minor	Leaf	Vegetative	Apr-June (Zaid)	37
		Spodoptera litura F.			1	Aug-Sep (Kharif)	34
		Pod borer	Minor	Pod	Flowering-pod	May (Zaid)	23
		Helicoverpa armigera Hub.			maturity	Sep (Kharif)	31
		Semilooper	Minor	Leaf	Vegetative	Mar-Apr (Zaid)	32
		Trichoplusia ni Hub.)	Aug-Sep (Kharif)	28
	Pyralidae	Spotted pod borer	Major	Flower	Flowering-	Apr-May (Zaid)	43
	•	Maruca vitrata F.	2	bud, pod	pod maturity	Sep-Oct (Kharif)	86
	Arctiidae	Bihar hairy caterpillar	Minor	Leaf	Vegetative-		ı
		Spilarctia obliqua Walk.			flowering	Aug (Kharif)	112
Hemiptera	Aphididae	Cowpea aphid	Minor	Leaf,	Vegetative	Mar (Zaid)	46
	4	Aphis craccivora Koch.		Flower, pod)	Aug-Oct (Kharif)	114
	Cicadellidae	Leaf hopper	Minor	Leaf	Vegetative	Mar-May (Zaid)	34
		Empoasca kerri Pruthi			-pod filling	Aug-Oct (Kharif)	53
	Aleyrodidae	Whitefly	Minor	Leaf	Vegetative-	, I)	ı
		Bemisia tabaci Genn.			pod filling	Aug-Sep (Kharif)	68
	Pentatomidae	Green stink bug	Minor	Leaf, pod	Pod formation-	Mar-May (Zaid)	19
		Nezara viridula L.		•	maturity	Aug-Oct (Kharif)	24
		Jewel bug	Minor	Leaf	Pod formation-	, , ,	·
		Chrysocoris stollii Wolff			maturity	Sep (Kharif)	17
	Coreidae	Tur pod bug	Major	Leaf, pod	Pod filling –	May (Zaid)	41
		Clavigralla gibbosa Spinola	2	•	pod maturity	Sep-Oct (Kharif)	72
		Pod sucking bug	Major	Leaf, pod	Pod filling –	May (Zaid)	34
		Riptortus pedestris F.	2		pod maturity	Sep-Oct (Kharif)	83
Coleoptera	Meloidae	Blister beetle	Minor	Flower	Flowering	-Sep (Kharif)	-14
		Mylabris phalerata Pallas					
		Mylabris pustulata Thunberg					
	Chrysomelidae	Flea beetle	Minor	Leaf	Vegetative-	Mar-May (Zaid)	13
		Phyllotreta sp.			pod filling	Aug-Sep (Kharif)	16
		Red pumpkin beetle	Minor	Leaf	Vegetative	Apr (Zaid)	13
		Aulacophora foveicollis Lucas				Aug (Kharif)	15
Orthoptera	Acrididae	Short horn grasshopper	Minor	Leaf	Vegetative-	Mar-May (Zaid)	03
		Hieroglyphus banian F.			pod filling	Aug-Oct (Kharif)	12
Diptera	Agromyzidae	Leaf miner	Minor	Leaf	Vegetative	Mar-Apr (Zaid)	24
		Liriomyza spp.				Aug-Sep (Kharif)	36
Thysanoptera	Thripidae	Bean flower thrips	Major	Leaf,	Vegetative-	Mar-May (Zaid)	56
		Megalurothrips sjostedti Trybom		Flower, Bud	pod filling	Aug-Sep (Kharif)	110
Isoptera	Termitidae	Termites	Minor	Root	Seedling-	Mar-May (Zaid)	62
					crop maturity	Aug-Oct (Kharif)	48

37 Pantnagar Journal of Research

into different taxa and preserved by pinning or card mounting for further study. The soft-bodied insects were sorted and preserved in plastic vials, containing 70% alcohol. The active search method is used to collect immature and adult stages of the pests during morning and evening hours and brought them to the laboratory. Immature stages were reared in the laboratory until adult emergence. Field collected and emerged adults were killed in killing bottle, mounted on either insect pins or paper points depending on their size and properly labeled for further study. Soil samples were taken from the root zone of the crop to record soil-dwelling insects. The soil was washed out with water in a sieve and the insects (termites) were preserved in plastic vials containing 70% alcohol. Insects were classified as major or minor based on their abundance and damaging potential. All specimens were identified by experts from the University's Department of Entomology.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The biodiversity of insect-pest fauna associated with cowpea crop has been enlisted in Table 1. A total of nineteen insect species, belonging to seven orders and fourteen families, were recorded at different stages, five of which belonged to Lepidoptera, seven to Hemiptera, three to Coleoptera and one each to Orthoptera, Diptera, Thysanoptera, and Isoptera. Out of nineteen insect pests, *M. vitrata, Megalurothrips sjostedti, Clavigralla gibbosa* and *Riptortus pedestris* were recorded as the pests of major importance on cowpea.

Several studies have been done on cowpea abroad and in India, showing the infestations of various insect pests at different stages of crop growth. Okoronkwo (1999) reported *A. craccivora* on seedlings, while *Megalurothrips sjostedti*, *M. vitrata* and *Clavigralla tomentosicollis* Stal on the reproductive organs to be major pests of cowpea in Nigeria. In Africa, Adetonah *et al.* (2004) reported three principal pests on cowpea viz., *A. craccivora*, *M. vitrata* and *Ootheaca* spp. Whereas, Adipala *et al.* (2000) found four major insect pests on cowpea namely *A. craccivora*, *Megalurothrips sjostedti*, *M. vitrata* and pod sucking bugs. In India, Thejaswi *et* al. (2008) recorded 22 species of insect pests on pulse crops, among which *M. vitrata*, *A. craccivora*, leaf hoppers, thrips, *Riptortus pedestris*, *Riptortus* strennus Horvarth, *Coptosoma cribraria* F., *Anoplocnemis phasiana* F. and *Nezara viridula* L. were more predominant. Sharma and Kaushik (2010) also recorded several insect pests causing damage to cowpea crop, of which *Helicoverpa armigera*, *M. vitrata*, *Etiella zinckenella*, *Melanagromyza obtuse*, *Ophiomyia phaseoli*, *Stiona* spp., *A. craccivora*, *Aphis fabae*, *Bemisia tabaci*, *Spodoptera litura*, *S. exigua*, *Amsacta* spp., *Empoasca* spp., *Megalurothrips dorsalis*, *Caliothrips indicus*, *Mylabris* spp., *Callosobruchus chinensis* and *Bruchus pisorum* cause extensive losses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar for providing facilities.

REFERENCES

- Adetonah, S., Coulibaly, O., Downham, M. C. A., Endamana, D., Adeoti, R., Tamo, M. (2004.)
 Farmers perceptions of cowpea yield losses due to M. vitrata (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in Benin (West Africa). Annales des Sciences Agronomiques du Benin, 6, 12.
- Adipala, E., Nampala, P., Karungi, J., Isubikalu, P. (2000). A review on options for management of cowpea pests: experiences from Uganda. Integr. Pest Manag. Rev., 5: 185–196.
- Ahlawat. I. P. S., Shivakumar, B. G (2005). Nutrient management in pulse crops. In: Tandon H. L. S. (ed). Nutrient management recommendations for pulses and oilseeds. Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organization, New Delhi, Pp.: 32-65.
- Anusha, Ch., Natikar, P. K., Balikai, R. A. (2016). Insect pest of cowpea and their management – A review. J. Exp. Zool. India, 19: 635-642.
- Asiwe, J. A. N., Belane, D., Dakora, F. D. (2009). Evaluation of cowpea breeding lines for nitrogen fixation at ARC-Grain Crops Institute, Potchefstroom, South Africa. In:

16th International Congress on Biological Nitrogen Fixation, Montana, USA, Pp.: 14 -19.

- Okoronkwo, N. O. (1999). Studies on insect species associated with cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp in Minjibir, Kano State, Nigeria. In: Entomology in nation building: the Nigerian experience. The proceeding of ESN 30th Annual Conference, 4th-7th October, 1999, Kano, Nigeria, Pp.: 125-134.
- Sharma, S. S., Kaushik, H. D. (2010). Effect of Spinosad (a bioinsecticide) and other

insecticides against pest complex and natural enemies on eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). J. Entomol. Res., 34: 39-44.

Thejaswi, L., Naik, M. L., Manjunatha, M. (2008).
Studies on population dynamics of pest complex of field bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) and natural enemies of pod borers. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 21: 399-402.

Received: January 29, 2023 Accepted: April 16, 2023

Studies on flower morphological characters of different oilseed *Brassica* species and their effect on the abundance of bee species

RAHUL SAJWAN, M. S. KHAN, LAXMI RAWAT1* and MANOJ JOSHI

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar- 263145 (U. S. Nagar, Uttarakhand),¹Plant Pathology Division, College of Forestry, Ranichauri, Tehri Garhwal - 249 199, VCSG UUHF (Uttarakhand) *Corresponding author's email: laxmirawat1401@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Oilseed Brassica is an important source of oil for people worldwide. Oilseed Brassica crops largely attract hymenopterans because Brassica flowers are well adapted to generalist insect pollinators; they produce large amounts of pollen and nectar throughout the entire flowering period, which draws the attention of most of the insects. In the current study, we studied flower morphological characters of different oilseed Brassica species in relation to floral visitors along with their abundance rate at Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre in Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand) during the rabi season 2020-21. Results revealed that Apis mellifera had the highest relative abundance (42.11%) in oilseed Brassica, whereas, among oilseed Brassica cultivars, B. nigra reported the highest bee abundance (19.05%). However, among bee species and different Brassica cultivars, A. mellifera (5.06 bees/m²/5min) showed the highest abundance in B. carinata followed by Halictus spp. (3.78 bees /m²/5min) in B. nigra. Large size flower structures were found in B. napus (variety-GSC-6) and B. carinata while small flowers were found in B. nigra, therefore A. mellifera showed positive significant correlation with flower morphological characters viz., petal length ($r = 0.791^*$) and outer stamen ($r = 0.732^*$) and Halictus spp. reported negative significant correlation with flower morphological characters viz. sepal length ($r = -0.823^*$) and inner stamen ($r = -0.799^*$). The results suggested A. mellifera species could be effective pollinators of large flower sized Brassica cultivar, and Halictus spp. could be effective pollinators of small flowers sized Brassica cultivar. This association also occurs between other bee species and Brassica variety, except in case of A. florea. On the other hand, the activity of A. florea in oilseed Brassica is independent of floral morphological characteristics and may be influenced by other factors like as weather conditions, nectar availability of pollen etc.

Key words: Apis mellifera, Brassica species, Halictus spp., nectar, pollen

Floral biology refers to the understanding of the structure, sexual system and morphological adaptations of the flowers in relation to the breeding system and pollination ecology. Thus, a careful study of the floral biology of a plant is important for discerning the mode of pollination (self or cross), types of pollinators and mechanisms of pollination involved (Belavadi and Ganeshaiah, 2013). The Brassicaceae family can be differentiated by the appearance of conduplicate cotyledons (i.e., cotyledons that are longitudinally folded around the radical) and two-segmented fruits (siliquae) with seeds in one or both divisions. In India, B. campestris, B. juncea, B. rapa syn., B. napus, B. carinata, B. nigra and B. oleracea are the important and widely cultivated oilseed Brassica species. B. juncea (L.) Czern and Coss, commonly referred to as "Indian mustard" (Jat et al., 2019). Brassica species is an ideal research crop for analyzing patterns relay heavily on insects for pollination (Stewart, 2002). Different Brassica flowers have a different flower shape that is well suited to generalist insect pollinators these flowers, with colorful petals, large volumes of pollen, fragrance production, and nectar production throughout the flowering period makes them attractive to pollinators for feed (Free, 1970). B. juncea was considered as self-compatible, but also an insect-pollinated crop. B. napus flowers are bisexual, with four sepals and four petals, four longer stamens and two shorter stamens (Kunjwal et al., 2014). Apis florea, A. dorsata, A. mellifera, Andrena ilerda, and A. leaena are the dominant visitors while wasps, solitary bees, Dipterans and Lepidopterans are non-dominant visitors of Brassica crops (Abrol, 2012). B. compestris flowers are visited by A. cerana indica F., A. florea F., A. dorsata F. (Naim and Bisht, 1993). Pollinators like honeybee visited on Indian mustard

41 Pantnagar Journal of Research

cultivars RH-30, *B. carinata* cv. Carinata, *B. compestris* variety Brown Sarson (cv. BSH-1), (Choudhary, 2001).

The pollinating abundance of anthophilous insects is intimately related to the floral biology of the many species (Menzel, 2002). Thompson (2001) quantified how the visitation rates of different pollinators to a multiple *Brassica* species vary in relation to variation in floral design and floral display. After going through aforesaid literature the current research was directed to study the impact of floral structure of different species of oilseed *Brassica* on abundance of different bee species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigations were carried out at Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre in Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand) during the rabi season 2020-21 with the oilseed *Brassica* cultivar *B. campestris* (var. BSH-1), *B. rapa* (var. YST-151), *B. juncea* (var. Varuna), *B-nigra*, *B. juncea* (var. PM-31), *B. napus* (var. GSC-6), *B. alba* and *B. carinata*.

Flower morphological characters

While observing the flower structure, it is important to record special modifications to attract flower visitors. The length and number of anthers, the length of inner and outer stamen, and the length of the style were all observed in flower structure of oilseed *Brassica* crops. Each floral character was determined using a Vernier caliper after measurements were taken from 5 fully opened flowers from an individual variety of oilseed *Brassica*.

Abundance of bee species

A total number of visiting insects/m² of randomly selected crop area was counted for five minutes in three replications. The relative abundance of dominant pollinator species was calculated by three-way ANOVA performed using SPSS software Version 20 and expressed as per centage (Srikanta and Shashidhar, 2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Flower morphological characters

Flower of oilseed Brassica has 4 small greenish sepals, 4 yellowish petals arranged in across formation pattern. There are 6 stamens in a flower, 4 long and 2 short along with a slender pistil. The size of flower structures was significantly different in different varieties of oilseed Brassica presented in Table 1. Average petal length of oilseed Brassica species was 10.53 mm whereas, B. carinata had maximum petal length (13.79 mm), which was statistically similar to B. napus var. GSC-6 (13.47 mm) but higher than other Brassica species. Petal breadth was significantly maximum in B. napus var. GSC-6 (7.92 mm) followed by B. compestris var. BSH-1 (6.92 mm) and minimum was observed in B. nigra (3.33 mm). Brassica flowers are bisexual, sepals are oblong with different length. Average length of sepals in oilseed Brassica was 6.15 mm and sepal size in B. napus var. GSC-6 (7.82 mm) was found to be similar with sepal length size of B. carinata (7.49 mm) being statistically higher than others while sepal length was minimum in B. nigra (3.85 mm). The length of style was maximum in B. carinata (9.57 mm) which was statistically at par with B. napus var. GSC-6 (9.56 mm) and B. rapa var. YST-151 (8.80 mm). Length of inner filament in B. napus var. GSC-6 (9.75 mm) was significantly higher than other Brassica species followed by B. carinata (8.53 mm). Whereas, the minimum size of the inner filament was found in *B. nigra* (5.86 mm). B. napus var. GSC-6 had higher length of outer stamen (8.17) which was statistically similar with B. carinata (7.86 mm) while smallest length was found in B. juncea var. PM-31(4.41 mm) which was statistically at par with and B. nigra (4.50 mm).

Abundance of different bees on different oilseed *Brassica* species

The relative abundance of insect visitor's viz., A. mellilera L. A. cerana indica, A. dorsata, A. florea and Halictus spp. were recorded on flowers of 8 species of oilseed Brassica crop on day hour. The observations were recorded in Table 2. Data further indicated that mean relative abundance of A.