

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 21(1) January-April 2023]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar



ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Mabolica Das Trakroo, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India
Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India
Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India
Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A
Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India
Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India
Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium
Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IIRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines
Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India
Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India
Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India
Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India
Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India
Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India
Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia
Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.
Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India
Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India
Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.
Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.
Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India
Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

CONTENTS

Selection parameters and heterosis for grain yield and yield contributing traits in yellow sarson (<i>Brassica rapa</i> var. yellow sarson)	1-9
SAMEER CHATURVEDI, NEHA DAHIYA, ANU SINGH, A. S. JEENA and USHA PANT	
Analysis of Morpho-Agronomic Variation and Genetic Divergence of French Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) in Mid Hills of Uttarakhand	10-13
BHAWANA MAMGAIN, ASHISH MAMGAIN, B.P. NAUTIYAL, REKHA DHANI, NEELIMA and HITASHI KURIYAL	
Variability studies in fenugreek [<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> L.]	14-18
PRIYANKA KHAIRIYA, J.P. SINGH and DHIRENDRA SINGH	
Quantitative estimation of chlorophyll and caretenoid contents in endangered medicinal plants <i>Gentiana kurroo</i> Royle and <i>Swertia chirayita</i> (Roxb.) H. Karst	19-26
NEETIKA NAUDIYAL and VANDANA A. KUMAR	
Integrated Nutrient Management for growth and yield enhancement of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) under irrigated conditions of Doon Valley, Uttarakhand	27-31
PRIYANKA JUYAL, HIMANSHU VERMA and PRIYANKA DHAPOLA	
A study on potato mini-tuber and first-generation seed production using micro-plants of Kufri girdhari and K. giriraj	32-35
ANJULI AGARWAL	
Monitoring of insect pests infesting cowpea, <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> at Pantnagar	36-39
SUNIL KUMAR YADAV, AMIT KUMAR KAUSHIK and POONAM SRIVASTAVA	
Studies on flower morphological characters of different oilseed <i>Brassica</i> species and their effect on the abundance of bee species	40-44
RAHUL SAJWAN, M. S. KHAN, LAXMI RAWAT / and MANOJ JOSHI	
The effect of different formulations of herbal fumigant on progeny production of stored grain insect pest, <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus)	45-52
DEEPA KUMARI and S. N. TIWARI	
A study on constraints faced by apple growers in production and marketing of apple in Uttarakhand	53-57
RAHUL NEGI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	
Genetic polymorphism of leptin gene in Badri cattle of Uttarakhand	58-61
P. DEORI, R.S. BARWAL, B.N. SHAHI and A.K. GHOSH	

Study on bottleneck analysis in Udaipuri goat of Uttarkhand MOMI SARMA, B. N. SHAHI, D. KUMAR, R. S. BARWAL and SUNDIP KUMAR	62-66
Bio -prediction of body weight from zoometric traits in Sirohi goats in southern Rajasthan SHASHIKANT, R.K. NAGDA and C.V. SINGH	67-73
Effect of different indigenous breeds of poultry layers on production and morphological egg quality traits in western U.P. GIRIDHAR VASANT USENDI, SHIWANSHU TIWARI, RAJ KUMAR, D.S. SAHU, S.P. YADAV and KARTIK TOMAR	74-80
Common diseases after wars AJAY KUMAR UPADHYAY, MANSHI KHULBE, SOURABH SWAMI, SHAKSHI THAPLIYAL and MAANSI	81-85
Effect of nano zinc on haematological parameters of Wistar Rats ABHIVYAKTI PATHAK, SEEMA AGARWAL and R.S. CHAUHAN	86-92
Performance evaluation of battery-operated push type pigeon pea stem cutter S. A. GAIKWAD, K. B. JHALA, ABHISHEK PANDEY and JAGRITI CHOUDHARY	93-98
Assessing the feasibility and economics of tractor-drawn round straw balers for paddy and wheat crop harvesting KUMUDINI VERMA, ATUL KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA and AVINASH GAUTAM	99-104
A Review- Cold Storage System for small and marginal farmers ABHISHEK MISHRA, RAJ NARAYAN PATERIYA, ALAKNANDA ASHOK, ANSHU SAXENA, VISHNU JI AWASTHI and PHALPHALE KETAN BIBHISHAN	105-109
A review on friction stir welding parameters and their effect on microstructure behavior of weld joint KUMUD JOSHI and R.S. JADOUN	110-117
Content analysis and readability assessment of Indian Farmers Digest POOJA GOSWAMI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	118-122
Decision making power of women in Raebareli and Pratapgarh district of Uttar Pradesh SEEMA KANAUIA and SANGHAMITRA MOHAPATRA	123-128

Studies on flower morphological characters of different oilseed *Brassica* species and their effect on the abundance of bee species

RAHUL SAJWAN, M. S. KHAN, LAXMI RAWAT^{1*} and MANOJ JOSHI

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar- 263145 (U. S. Nagar, Uttarakhand), ¹Plant Pathology Division, College of Forestry, Ranichauri, Tehri Garhwal - 249 199, VCSG UHF (Uttarakhand)

*Corresponding author's email: laxmirawat1401@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Oilseed *Brassica* is an important source of oil for people worldwide. Oilseed *Brassica* crops largely attract hymenopterans because *Brassica* flowers are well adapted to generalist insect pollinators; they produce large amounts of pollen and nectar throughout the entire flowering period, which draws the attention of most of the insects. In the current study, we studied flower morphological characters of different oilseed *Brassica* species in relation to floral visitors along with their abundance rate at Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre in Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand) during the *rabi* season 2020-21. Results revealed that *Apis mellifera* had the highest relative abundance (42.11%) in oilseed *Brassica*, whereas, among oilseed *Brassica* cultivars, *B. nigra* reported the highest bee abundance (19.05%). However, among bee species and different *Brassica* cultivars, *A. mellifera* (5.06 bees/m²/5min) showed the highest abundance in *B. carinata* followed by *Halictus* spp. (3.78 bees /m²/5min) in *B. nigra*. Large size flower structures were found in *B. napus* (variety- GSC-6) and *B. carinata* while small flowers were found in *B. nigra*, therefore *A. mellifera* showed positive significant correlation with flower morphological characters viz., petal length ($r = 0.791^*$) and outer stamen ($r = 0.732^*$) and *Halictus* spp. reported negative significant correlation with flower morphological characters viz. sepal length ($r = -0.823^*$) and inner stamen ($r = -0.799^*$). The results suggested *A. mellifera* species could be effective pollinators of large flower sized *Brassica* cultivar, and *Halictus* spp. could be effective pollinators of small flowers sized *Brassica* cultivar. This association also occurs between other bee species and *Brassica* variety, except in case of *A. florea*. On the other hand, the activity of *A. florea* in oilseed *Brassica* is independent of floral morphological characteristics and may be influenced by other factors like as weather conditions, nectar availability of pollen etc.

Key words: *Apis mellifera*, *Brassica* species, *Halictus* spp., nectar, pollen

Floral biology refers to the understanding of the structure, sexual system and morphological adaptations of the flowers in relation to the breeding system and pollination ecology. Thus, a careful study of the floral biology of a plant is important for discerning the mode of pollination (self or cross), types of pollinators and mechanisms of pollination involved (Belavadi and Ganeshiah, 2013). The Brassicaceae family can be differentiated by the appearance of conduplicate cotyledons (i.e., cotyledons that are longitudinally folded around the radical) and two-segmented fruits (siliquae) with seeds in one or both divisions. In India, *B. campestris*, *B. juncea*, *B. rapa* syn., *B. napus*, *B. carinata*, *B. nigra* and *B. oleracea* are the important and widely cultivated oilseed *Brassica* species. *B. juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss, commonly referred to as "Indian mustard" (Jat *et al.*, 2019). *Brassica* species is an ideal research crop for analyzing

patterns relay heavily on insects for pollination (Stewart, 2002). Different *Brassica* flowers have a different flower shape that is well suited to generalist insect pollinators these flowers, with colorful petals, large volumes of pollen, fragrance production, and nectar production throughout the flowering period makes them attractive to pollinators for feed (Free, 1970). *B. juncea* was considered as self-compatible, but also an insect-pollinated crop. *B. napus* flowers are bisexual, with four sepals and four petals, four longer stamens and two shorter stamens (Kunjwal *et al.*, 2014). *Apis florea*, *A. dorsata*, *A. mellifera*, *Andrena ilderda*, and *A. leaena* are the dominant visitors while wasps, solitary bees, Dipterans and Lepidopterans are non-dominant visitors of *Brassica* crops (Abrol, 2012). *B. campestris* flowers are visited by *A. cerana indica* F., *A. florea* F., *A. dorsata* F. (Naim and Bisht, 1993). Pollinators like honeybee visited on Indian mustard

cultivars RH-30, *B. carinata* cv. Carinata, *B. campestris* variety Brown Sarson (cv. BSH-1), (Choudhary, 2001).

The pollinating abundance of anthophilous insects is intimately related to the floral biology of the many species (Menzel, 2002). Thompson (2001) quantified how the visitation rates of different pollinators to a multiple *Brassica* species vary in relation to variation in floral design and floral display. After going through aforesaid literature the current research was directed to study the impact of floral structure of different species of oilseed *Brassica* on abundance of different bee species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigations were carried out at Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre in Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand) during the rabi season 2020-21 with the oilseed *Brassica* cultivar *B. campestris* (var. BSH-1), *B. rapa* (var. YST-151), *B. juncea* (var. Varuna), *B. nigra*, *B. juncea* (var. PM-31), *B. napus* (var. GSC-6), *B. alba* and *B. carinata*.

Flower morphological characters

While observing the flower structure, it is important to record special modifications to attract flower visitors. The length and number of anthers, the length of inner and outer stamen, and the length of the style were all observed in flower structure of oilseed *Brassica* crops. Each floral character was determined using a Vernier caliper after measurements were taken from 5 fully opened flowers from an individual variety of oilseed *Brassica*.

Abundance of bee species

A total number of visiting insects/m² of randomly selected crop area was counted for five minutes in three replications. The relative abundance of dominant pollinator species was calculated by three-way ANOVA performed using SPSS software Version 20 and expressed as per centage (Srikanta and Shashidhar, 2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Flower morphological characters

Flower of oilseed *Brassica* has 4 small greenish sepals, 4 yellowish petals arranged in across formation pattern. There are 6 stamens in a flower, 4 long and 2 short along with a slender pistil. The size of flower structures was significantly different in different varieties of oilseed *Brassica* presented in Table 1. Average petal length of oilseed *Brassica* species was 10.53 mm whereas, *B. carinata* had maximum petal length (13.79 mm), which was statistically similar to *B. napus* var. GSC-6 (13.47 mm) but higher than other *Brassica* species. Petal breadth was significantly maximum in *B. napus* var. GSC-6 (7.92 mm) followed by *B. campestris* var. BSH-1 (6.92 mm) and minimum was observed in *B. nigra* (3.33 mm). *Brassica* flowers are bisexual, sepals are oblong with different length. Average length of sepals in oilseed *Brassica* was 6.15 mm and sepal size in *B. napus* var. GSC-6 (7.82 mm) was found to be similar with sepal length size of *B. carinata* (7.49 mm) being statistically higher than others while sepal length was minimum in *B. nigra* (3.85 mm). The length of style was maximum in *B. carinata* (9.57 mm) which was statistically at par with *B. napus* var. GSC-6 (9.56 mm) and *B. rapa* var. YST-151 (8.80 mm). Length of inner filament in *B. napus* var. GSC-6 (9.75 mm) was significantly higher than other *Brassica* species followed by *B. carinata* (8.53 mm). Whereas, the minimum size of the inner filament was found in *B. nigra* (5.86 mm). *B. napus* var. GSC-6 had higher length of outer stamen (8.17) which was statistically similar with *B. carinata* (7.86 mm) while smallest length was found in *B. juncea* var. PM-31 (4.41 mm) which was statistically at par with and *B. nigra* (4.50 mm).

Abundance of different bees on different oilseed *Brassica* species

The relative abundance of insect visitor's viz., *A. mellilera* L. *A. cerana indica*, *A. dorsata*, *A. florea* and *Halictus* spp. were recorded on flowers of 8 species of oilseed *Brassica* crop on day hour. The observations were recorded in Table 2. Data further indicated that mean relative abundance of *A.*

Table 1: Flower morphological characters of different oilseed *Brassica* species

Species/Variety	Flower structures (size in mm)					
	Petal length	Petal breadth	Sepal length	Style length	Inner stamen	Outer stamen
<i>B. campestris</i> (var.BSH-1)	10.19	6.92	6.46	7.28	8.18	5.10
<i>B. rapa</i> (var.YST-151)	10.48	4.02	6.58	8.8	6.90	5.78
<i>B. juncea</i> (var. Varuna)	8.14	4.3	5.61	6.67	7.77	5.44
<i>B. juncea</i> (var.PM-31)	10.38	5.44	5.83	5.87	6.86	4.41
<i>B. alba</i>	9.97	5.55	5.59	6.42	7.73	6.13
<i>B. nigra</i>	7.82	3.33	3.85	4.55	5.86	4.50
<i>B. napus</i> (var. GSC-6)	13.79	7.92	7.82	9.56	9.75	8.17
<i>B. carinata</i>	13.47	6.63	7.49	9.57	8.53	7.86
Mean	10.53	5.52	6.15	7.34	7.7	5.92
CV	8.911	11.56	8.67	15.21	8.232	11.89
SEM	0.42	0.285	0.239	0.499	0.283	0.315
CD 5%	1.222	0.825	0.69	1.446	0.825	0.917

Table 2: Abundance of different bees on different oilseed *Brassica* species

<i>Brassica</i> species / Bee species	No. of bee/m ² /5 min					Mean	Relative abundance (%)
	<i>A. mellifera</i>	<i>A. cerana</i>	<i>A. dorsata</i>	<i>A. florea</i>	<i>Halictus</i> spp.		
<i>B. campestris</i> (var.BSH-1)	2.54	2.46	0.21	0.88	0.83	1.39	13.44
<i>B. rapa</i> (var.YST-151)	1.83	0.92	0.21	0.17	1.13	0.85	8.22
<i>B. juncea</i> (var. Varuna)	2.08	0.83	0.13	0.5	0.71	0.85	8.22
<i>B. juncea</i> (var.PM-31)	2.56	1.33	0.22	1.33	1.78	1.44	13.93
<i>B. alba</i>	2	0.38	0.55	0.08	0.29	0.66	6.38
<i>B. nigra</i>	2.11	1.78	0.5	1.67	3.78	1.97	19.05
<i>B. napus</i> (var. GSC-6)	3.54	1.83	1.42	0.13	0.42	1.47	14.22
<i>B. carinata</i>	5.06	0.44	0.22	2.61	0.22	1.71	16.54
Mean	2.72	1.25	0.43	0.92	1.14		
Relative abundance (%)	42.11	19.35	6.66	14.24	17.65		

CD at 5% Bee species (0.221), *Brassica* species (0.28), Bee species X *Brassica* species (0.626)

Table 3: Correlation of bees' abundance with floral morphological characters in oilseed *Brassica*

Bee Abundance	Petal length (mm)	Petal Breadth (mm)	Sepal length (mm)	Style length (mm)	Length of Inner stamen (mm)	Length of outer stamen (mm)
<i>A. mellifera</i>	0.791*	0.649	0.647	0.655	0.601	0.732*
<i>A. cerana</i>	-0.109	0.261	-0.098	-0.111	0.043	-0.283
<i>A. dorsata</i>	0.516	0.502	0.347	0.267	0.552	0.57
<i>A. florea</i>	0.096	-0.001	-0.109	-0.004	-0.189	-0.003
<i>Halictus</i> spp.	-0.633	-0.638	-0.823*	-0.669	-0.799*	-0.669

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

mellifera (42.11%) in various species of oilseed *Brassica* was significantly higher than other bee species, followed by *A. cerana* (19.35%) and *Halictus* spp. (17.65%) (Statistically same). Whereas, least abundance recorded by *A. dorsata* (6.66%) which was at par with *A. florea* (14.24%). Similarly, irrespective of bee species, *B. nigra* (19.05%) had significantly higher abundance among eight varieties of oilseed *Brassica* followed by *B.*

carinata (16.54%). The minimum mean relative abundance of bee species was recorded in *B. alba* (6.38% bees /m²/5min). However, the interaction between bee species and *Brassica* species, showed that the abundance of *A. mellifera* (5.06 bees /m²/5min) in *B. carinata* was maximum and highly significant than other bee species followed by *Halictus* spp. (3.78 bees /m²/5min) in *B. nigra* which was statistically similar to *A. mellifera* (3.54 bees /

m²/5min) in *B. napus* var. GSC-6.

Correlation of bee's abundance with floral morphological characters in oilseed *Brassica*

The data on correlation of insect visitor's abundance with floral morphometric in oilseed *Brassica* crop is presented in Table 3. Data revealed that abundance of *A. mellifera* had positive correlation with all morphometric characters of *Brassica* flower while its significant correlation with petal length ($r = 0.791^*$) and length outer stamen ($r = 0.737^*$). Abundance of *A. cerana* had positive correlation with petal breadth ($r = 0.261$) and inner stamen length ($r = 0.043$) and negative correlation with other flower structures. Whereas in *A. dorsata* all floral morphometric characters showed positive with their abundance. Flower structures had negative correlation with *A. florea* abundance except for floral size ($r = 0.045$) and petal length ($r = 0.096$). All floral morphometric characters of oilseed *Brassica* showed a non-significant negative correlation with the abundance of *Halictus* spp. except for sepal length ($r = -0.823^*$), and length of inner stamen ($r = -0.789^*$) which had a significant negative correlation as presented in Table 3.

In mustard (*B. juncea*), Devi *et al.* (2017) found a significant variation in petal and sepal size, as well as a moderate difference in style length and inner anther filament length. Correlation between bee abundance and flower structures revealed that among the five major bee pollinators in oilseed *Brassica* only *A. mellifera* and *A. dorsata* showed positive association with all floral morphometric characters whereas *A. cerana*, *A. florea* and *Halictus* spp. showed mostly negative association as depicted in Figure 3 and supported by works of Nevard (2017). This indicates that more is the length of floral morphometric characters more will be the abundance of *A. mellifera* and *A. dorsata* and minimum length of floral morphometric characters more will be the abundance of *Halictus* spp., *A. cerana* and *A. florea* which was found similar as described by Koetz (2013). This statement would be concluded by the data of individual bee abundance on individual *Brassica* species, because among bee species *A. mellifera* (large size bee) showed higher abundance

in *B. carinata* (large size flower) as found similar with works of Cornman *et al.* (2015). Whereas *A. cerana* (medium size), *A. dorsata* (large size bee) and *Halictus* spp. (small size bee) showed higher abundance in *B. compestris* var. BSH-1 (medium size flower), *B. napus* var. GSC-6 (large-size flower) and *B. nigra* (small-size flower), respectively (Raghuandan and Basavarajappa, 2014). On the other hand, *A. florea* (small-size bee) was an exception because it had higher abundance of in *B. carinata* (large-size flower) and showed a negative correlation with floral morphometric characters.

CONCLUSION

The present study provides information regarding the correlation between flowers and their pollinators, wherein the attraction of bees towards flowers is not solely dependent on their color and fragrance, but also on the structural features of the flowers and their varying sizes, which in turn attract specific bee species of corresponding sizes. The *B. napus* var. GSC-6 and *B. carinata* varieties exhibit a positive correlation between their morphological characteristics and the attraction of the large-sized bee species (*A. mellifera*), while *B. nigra* displays a negative correlation with the small-sized bee species (*Halictus* spp.), owing to its relatively shorter morphological characteristics. This correlation is observed across various bee species and oilseed *Brassica* varieties, with the exception of *A. florea*. After conducting such analysis, we found that flower morphological characteristics influenced the abundance of bee species except for the *A. florea*. However, the activity of *A. florea* in oilseed *Brassica* is independent of floral morphological characteristics and may be influenced by other factors like as weather conditions, nectar availability of pollen and nectar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are highly thankful to the Head and technical staff of the Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand) for providing necessary facilities.

Supervision provided by Officer In-Charge, Plant Pathology Division, College of Forestry, Ranichauri cannot be neglected as without her continuous motivation and support this manuscript could not be completed.

REFERENCES

- Abrol, D.P. (2012). Applied pollination: present scenario. In: 'Abrol, D.P. (Eds.) *Pollination biology: Biodiversity conservation and agricultural production*'. Springer, Dordrecht, Pp. 55-83.
- Choudhary, O. P. (2001). Abundance of wind pollinators on rapeseed and mustard. *Insect Environ.*, 7 (3): 141-142.
- Devi, M., Sharma, H.K., Rana, K. and Mehta, D.K. (2017). Studies on Flower Biology and Pollination in Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Int. J. Sci. Nat.*, 8(1): 35-39.
- Free, J.B. (1970). Cross-pollination Efficiency of Insect Pollinators (*Eristalis cerealis*) in Rapeseed, *Brassica napus* L. *Japan J. Breed.*, 38(4): 91-102.
- Belavadi, V.V. and Ganeshaiah, K.N. (2013). Effects of climate change on pollinator populations. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research*, Pp. 316-21.
- Cornman, R.S., Otto, C.R., Iwanowicz, D. and Pettis, J.S. (2015). Taxonomic characterization of honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) pollen foraging based on non-overlapping paired-end sequencing of nuclear ribosomal loci. *PloS one*, 10(12): 1-26.
- Jat, R.S., Singh, V.V., Sharma, P. and Rai, P.K. (2019). Oilseed *Brassica* in India: Demand, supply, policy perspective and future potential. *OCL - Oilseeds and Fats, Crops and Lipids*, 26: 125-137.
- Koetz, A. (2013). The Asian honey bee (*Apis cerana*) and its strains-with special focus on *Apis cerana* Java genotype. Department of Agriculture, Fisheries, and Forestry, State of Queensland, Australia, 58p.
- Kunjwal, N., Kumar, Y. and Khan, S.M. (2014). Flower visiting insect pollinators of Brown mustard *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and cross and their foraging behaviour under caged and open pollination. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.*, 9: 1278-1286.
- Menzel, A. (2002). Phenology: its importance to the global change community. *Climatic Change*, 54: 379-385.
- Naim, M. and Bisht, D.S. (1993). Role of honeybees in pollination of a self-pollinated variety Dys-2 of sarson, *Brassica campestris*. *Indian J. Entomol.*, 55(3): 288-290.
- Nevard, L. (2017). Foraging behaviour and niche differentiation in two South Asian bee species. Thesis, M.Sc. University of Exeter, Cornwall, United Kingdom, 125p.
- Raghunandan, K.S. and Basavarajappa, S. (2014). Bio-ecology of Asian giant honeybee, *Apis dorsata* F. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) at Arid, semi-Arid and Malnad regions of South-Western Karnataka, India. *Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences* (JBES), 4: 205-224.
- Srikanta, N. and Shashidhar, V. (2010). Pollinator fauna of sunflower and their relative abundance. *Karnataka J. Agril. Sci.*, 23(3): 517-518.
- Stewart, A.V. (2002). Review of *Brassica* species, cross-pollination and implications for pure seed production in New Zealand. *Agronomy New Zealand*, 32: 63-81.
- Thompson, J. D. (2001). How do visitation patterns vary among pollinators in relation to floral display and floral design in a generalist pollination system? *Oecologia*, 126: 386-394.

Received: April 11, 2023

Accepted: April 22, 2023