

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 21(1) January-April 2023]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar



ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Mabolica Das Trakroo, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India
Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India
Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India
Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A
Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India
Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India
Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium
Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IIRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines
Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India
Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India
Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India
Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India
Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India
Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India
Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia
Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.
Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India
Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India
Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.
Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.
Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India
Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

CONTENTS

Selection parameters and heterosis for grain yield and yield contributing traits in yellow sarson (<i>Brassica rapa</i> var. yellow sarson)	1-9
SAMEER CHATURVEDI, NEHA DAHIYA, ANU SINGH, A. S. JEENA and USHA PANT	
Analysis of Morpho-Agronomic Variation and Genetic Divergence of French Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) in Mid Hills of Uttarakhand	10-13
BHAWANA MAMGAIN, ASHISH MAMGAIN, B.P. NAUTIYAL, REKHA DHANI, NEELIMA and HITASHI KURIYAL	
Variability studies in fenugreek [<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> L.]	14-18
PRIYANKA KHAIRIYA, J.P. SINGH and DHIRENDRA SINGH	
Quantitative estimation of chlorophyll and caretenoid contents in endangered medicinal plants <i>Gentiana kurroo</i> Royle and <i>Swertia chirayita</i> (Roxb.) H. Karst	19-26
NEETIKA NAUDIYAL and VANDANA A. KUMAR	
Integrated Nutrient Management for growth and yield enhancement of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) under irrigated conditions of Doon Valley, Uttarakhand	27-31
PRIYANKA JUYAL, HIMANSHU VERMA and PRIYANKA DHAPOLA	
A study on potato mini-tuber and first-generation seed production using micro-plants of Kufri girdhari and K. giriraj	32-35
ANJULI AGARWAL	
Monitoring of insect pests infesting cowpea, <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> at Pantnagar	36-39
SUNIL KUMAR YADAV, AMIT KUMAR KAUSHIK and POONAM SRIVASTAVA	
Studies on flower morphological characters of different oilseed <i>Brassica</i> species and their effect on the abundance of bee species	40-44
RAHUL SAJWAN, M. S. KHAN, LAXMI RAWAT / and MANOJ JOSHI	
The effect of different formulations of herbal fumigant on progeny production of stored grain insect pest, <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus)	45-52
DEEPA KUMARI and S. N. TIWARI	
A study on constraints faced by apple growers in production and marketing of apple in Uttarakhand	53-57
RAHUL NEGI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	
Genetic polymorphism of leptin gene in Badri cattle of Uttarakhand	58-61
P. DEORI, R.S. BARWAL, B.N. SHAHI and A.K. GHOSH	

Study on bottleneck analysis in Udaipuri goat of Uttarkhand MOMI SARMA, B. N. SHAHI, D. KUMAR, R. S. BARWAL and SUNDIP KUMAR	62-66
Bio -prediction of body weight from zoometric traits in Sirohi goats in southern Rajasthan SHASHIKANT, R.K. NAGDA and C.V. SINGH	67-73
Effect of different indigenous breeds of poultry layers on production and morphological egg quality traits in western U.P. GIRIDHAR VASANT USENDI, SHIWANSHU TIWARI, RAJ KUMAR, D.S. SAHU, S.P. YADAV and KARTIK TOMAR	74-80
Common diseases after wars AJAY KUMAR UPADHYAY, MANSHI KHULBE, SOURABH SWAMI, SHAKSHI THAPLIYAL and MAANSI	81-85
Effect of nano zinc on haematological parameters of Wistar Rats ABHIVYAKTI PATHAK, SEEMA AGARWAL and R.S. CHAUHAN	86-92
Performance evaluation of battery-operated push type pigeon pea stem cutter S. A. GAIKWAD, K. B. JHALA, ABHISHEK PANDEY and JAGRITI CHOUDHARY	93-98
Assessing the feasibility and economics of tractor-drawn round straw balers for paddy and wheat crop harvesting KUMUDINI VERMA, ATUL KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA and AVINASH GAUTAM	99-104
A Review- Cold Storage System for small and marginal farmers ABHISHEK MISHRA, RAJ NARAYAN PATERIYA, ALAKNANDA ASHOK, ANSHU SAXENA, VISHNU JI AWASTHI and PHALPHALE KETAN BIBHISHAN	105-109
A review on friction stir welding parameters and their effect on microstructure behavior of weld joint KUMUD JOSHI and R.S. JADOUN	110-117
Content analysis and readability assessment of Indian Farmers Digest POOJA GOSWAMI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	118-122
Decision making power of women in Raebareli and Pratapgarh district of Uttar Pradesh SEEMA KANAUIA and SANGHAMITRA MOHAPATRA	123-128

Study on bottleneck analysis in Udaipuri goat of Uttarakhand

MOMI SARMA, B. N. SHAHI*, D. KUMAR, R. S. BARWAL and SUNDIP KUMAR¹

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, ¹Department of Molecular Biology and Genetic Engineering, College of Basic Science and Humanities, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar- 263145 (U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand)

**Corresponding author's email id: bijendranshahi@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT: This study was undertaken for genetic diversity and bottleneck analysis in Udaipuri goat found in Pauri Garhwal district of Uttarakhand using a set of twenty microsatellite markers. Blood samples were collected from 30 unrelated animals from the breeding tract. All the twenty microsatellite loci were amplified successfully and a total of 96 alleles were observed across all the loci. When bottleneck analysis was performed, sign test revealed the observed heterozygosity excess was higher than the expected utilizing IPM, TPM and SMM. The expected heterozygosity (H_e) values were 11.31, 11.66 and 11.85 while the observed heterozygosity (H_o) were 20, 18 and 14 for IAM, TPM and SMM, respectively. Under standardized test, T_2 values were positive (4.958, 3.766 and 2.032 utilizing the IAM, TPM and SMM, respectively) indicating a gene diversity excess that is caused by reduction in effective population size.

Key words: Bottleneck analysis, genetic diversity, microsatellite markers, Udaipuri goat

Udaipuri is another breed existing in Uttarakhand which is not yet characterized and also not recognized. This is a small, meat type goat surviving in the Western Himalayan region of Uttarakhand. The native tract of this goat is Ajmer and Udaipur palties (from Dugadda to Yamkeshwar) in Pauri district of Garhwal division of Uttarakhand. The size of the goat is small and the body is compact and of tan colour, covered with short hairs. The head is smaller in length with small to medium forehead. The contour of head is convex and the ears are medium and pendulous. They have a tapering muzzle with a roman nose. Their horns are curved and turned backward. The top line is almost straight and the flank is moderate in size. Their legs are small, lean and straight. The tail is short and thin (Sarma, *et al.*, 2018). The genetic diversity analysis of Chaugarkha goat of Uttarakhand was done by the Ganie *et al.* (2017).

In the absence of information about the genetic aspects of each breed accessible for a breeding programme, improvement of local breeds is often ignored which favours the introduction of germplasm from exotic breeds, for which more information is available. Therefore, there is a need to characterize indigenous goat populations

genetically to further utilize the genetic resource effectively. The present study was undertaken to examine the pattern of microsatellite variation within Udaipuri goat population and has also tried to highlight the detailed information to access the knowledge on the genetic diversity and differentiation of the breed. This study has been a made in such a way to provide a comprehensive database of genetic variation among goat population, to identify group representing homogenous population and also to identify the genetically distinct groups. This study may help in extracting inferences regarding planning of sustainable improvement and conservation programme for Udaipuri goat at local or national level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study aimed at assessing the genetic diversity within the Udaipuri breed of goat which is found in the Pauri Garhwal district of Uttarakhand. The experiment materials used for the investigation considered 30 unrelated goats from Udda, Jiyadhamraag, Bithyani, Thanger, Kandi, Kosli, Manjhera villages of Pauri Garhwal of Uttarakhand. Under sterile conditions, 10 ml of venous blood was collected aseptically from jugular

vein of each animal in a 15 ml polypropylene centrifugation tube containing 2 ml ACD as anticoagulant. The tubes were gently inverted about four to five times to facilitate the proper mixing of blood and the anticoagulant. The blood samples were transported from field to the laboratory in an icebox containing icepacks. Utmost care was taken to avoid the exposure to direct sunlight during transportation. The samples were stored in the refrigerator at -20°C till DNA isolation. The isolation of genomic DNA from blood samples was done using the protocol described by Sambrook and Russel (2001). In this study, 20 microsatellite primers were used including ILSTS-058, ILSTS-059, ILSTS-34, ILSTS-005, ILSTS-019, ILSTS-049, ILSTS-008, ILSTS-087, ILSTS-033, ILSTS-044, ILSTS-030, ILSTS-002, ILSTS-065, ILSTS-029, ILSTS-082, RM-088, OarFCB-304, OarJMP-29, RM-4 and ETH-225 which are belonging to different chromosomes. Typical polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing was carried out under these conditions: 100ng/ µl of target DNA was used in 20-µl PCR reaction containing 10x PCR buffer (with MgCl₂ 20mM), dNTPs (200 mM each), forward primer (20 pmol/ µl), reverse primer (20 pmol/ µl), Taq DNA polymerase (5U/ µl) and DNase free water. The PCR protocol used included initial denaturation 95°C for 5 min, denaturation 95°C for 1 min, Primer annealing at 52-60°C for 30 seconds extension at 72°C for 1 min, 30 cycles of denaturation to extension step, then a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Until the PCR amplicons were taken out from the thermocycler, and was hold at 4°C. The conformation of PCR amplification was done by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. Eight per cent Urea Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (PAGE) was employed to resolve the Microsatellite alleles. Polyacrylamide gels are impregnated with soluble silver ion (Ag⁺) and developed by treatment with a reductant. Following the gel documentation on a gel-doc system and capturing of the images, scoring of the alleles was done manually accomplished by the joint observation of two independent observers. This was done to avoid any false calling of alleles. Using different colour markers, marking of the bands over the gel, which was wrapped in transparent sheet, was done in bright light. The electronic Vernier Calliper

was used to get the size of the bands in millimeter over the gels. Size of the alleles was determined by using the INCHWORM (version 1.02) software. A careful amalgam of manual as well as machine logic was applied by the independent observers so as to get the scoring very accurately. After scoring, the genotyping table was prepared in M.S Excel format which was processed further for feeding into the population analysis software (POPGENE software version 1.31).

Statistical Analysis

The population variability parameters taken out from molecular study was derived using software POPGENE32 developed by Francis C. Ye and Rongcai Yang, University of Alberta and Tim Boyle, Centre for International forestry Research, in August 1999 (downloaded from https://www.ualberta.ca/~fyeh/popgene_download.html).

Bottleneck Analysis

The Bottleneck (Version 1.2.02) was used to test for departure from mutation drift equilibrium based on heterozygosity excess or deficit. This analysis was performed to know whether the population has suffered any bottleneck (reduction in number) in the past (Piry *et al.*, 1999)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study, considering 20 microsatellite loci and three test, the Sign Test, Standardized Test and Wilcoxon Test under which comes the three mutation models- Infinite Allele Model (IAM), Two Phase Model (TPM) and Stepwise Mutation Model (SMM) were studied (Table 1).

Under the Sign test the expected heterozygosity excess (Hee) were 11.31, 11.66 and 11.85, while the observed heterozygosity was 20, 18 and 14 for IAM, TPM and SMM models respectively. If a population shows higher observed heterozygosity than expected for majority of loci, than it is considered to experience a recent bottleneck or reduction (Sharma *et al.*, 2008^b). The results under these models showed that the observed heterozygosity was higher than the expected. The excess heterozygosity in Udaipuri

goat could be attributed to recent population reduction. Under the standardized test (T_2 test), the T_2 values utilizing the IAM, TPM and SMM are 4.958, 3.766 and 2.032, respectively. The positive values of Standardized test under bottleneck analysis suggests the gene diversity excess that is caused by reduction in effective population size, while negative values reveal an expansion in the population (Mahmoudi *et al.*, 2013). Under the Wilcoxon test the probability values for heterozygosity excess are 0.00, 0.00 and 0.01812 for IAM, TPM and SMM, respectively. These values were found to be less than 0.05 ($P < 0.05$) for all the three models. Wilcoxon Test revealed that the population has undergone recent bottleneck assuming all the three mutation models.

Similar observation for observed heterozygosity excess were reported by Aggarwal *et al.* (2007) in Mehsana goat under IAM and TPM whereas the H_e values were 15.01 and 14.88, while H_e were 17 and 15 respectively. Whereas under model SMM, there was heterozygosity deficiency with H_e value of 14.75 and H_e value 4.00. Under Wilcoxon test considering the IAM model showed value 0.03335 ($P < 0.05$) and they stated the Mehsana goat population has undergone bottleneck utilizing IAM. While the Standardized test utilizing SMM revealed significant heterozygote deficiency (T_2 values = -8.748, $P < 0.01$). However, all the test utilizing SMM

and mutation drift equilibrium utilizing TPM showed significant heterozygosity deficiency and they safely concluded that Mehsana goat population has not suffered any bottleneck.

Sharma *et al.* (2008^a) reported in Barbari goat the Sign test, Standardized test and Wilcoxon test showed significant departure from mutation drift equilibrium and concluded that the population has not undergone any bottleneck in the past.

Sharma *et al.* (2008^b) examined the Beetal goat for bottleneck analysis. Under Sign test utilizing IAM and TPM H_e was reported significantly higher than H_e . Though for SMM H_e was significantly lower than H_e . Under Standardized test utilizing IAM, TPM and SMM, T_2 values were -0.703, -1.576 and -5.418, respectively, negative thus accepted the null hypothesis of mutation drift equilibrium. Under Wilcoxon test P value (for one tail for heterozygosity excess) were 0.245, 0.524 and 0.952 utilizing IAM TPM and SMM respectively which was more than 0.05 ($P > 0.05$) and reported the absence of bottleneck in Beetal goat population.

Dixit *et al.* (2013) studied the Surti goat and reported under sign test significant heterozygosity excess utilizing IAM and TPM ($P < 0.001$) but non-significant heterozygosity excess utilizing SMM. Under standardized test positive T_2 values were

Table 1: Mutation drift equilibrium, heterozygosity excess/deficit under different models in Udaipuri goat

Models	Sign Test	Standardized	Wilcoxon Test
IAM	$H_e = 11.31$	$T_2 = 4.958$	P (One tail of H deficiency): 1.0000
	$H_d = 0$	$P = 0.00$	P (One tail of H excess): 0.0000
	$H_e = 20$		P (Two tail of H excess and deficiency): 0.0000
	$P = 0.00001$		
TPM	$H_e = 11.66$	$T_2 = 3.766$	P (One tail of H deficiency): 1.0000
	$H_d = 2$	$P = 0.00008$	P (One tail of H excess): 0.0000
	$H_e = 18$		P (Two tail of H excess and deficiency): 0.0000
	$P = 0.00228$		
SMM	$H_e = 11.85$	$T_2 = 2.032$	P (One tail of H deficiency): 0.98362
	$H_d = 6$	$P = 0.02109$	P (One tail of H excess): 0.01812
	$H_e = 14$		P (Two tail of H excess and deficiency): 0.03623
	$P = 0.22849$		

Parameters for T.P.M: Variance = 30.00 Probability = 70.00%; Estimation based on 1000 replication; IAM: Infinite Allele Model; TPM: Two Phase Model; SMM: Stepwise Mutation Model; H_e : Heterozygosity excess expected; H_d : heterozygosity deficiency; H_e : heterozygosity excess (observed); P : Probability.

observed. Utilizing IAM, TPM and SMM T_2 values were 5.220 ($P < 0.001$), 3.781 ($P < 0.001$) and 1.762 ($P < 0.001$). All the test under sign test except SMM showed heterozygosity excess in Surti goat population. They concluded that the heterozygosity excess could be attributed to recent population reduction and further reported that the population had suffered bottleneck.

Mahmoudi *et al.* (2013) analyzed the Najdi goat for bottleneck and found the H_e to be 7.52, 7.65 and 7.71 while H_e 13, 11 and 10 for IAM, TPM and SMM respectively. The results under these models showed that the observed heterozygosity (H_o) was higher than the expected (H_e). Under standardized test T_2 values were positive that indicated gene diversity excess which they reported to cause from reduction in effective population size. Under Wilcoxon Test probability values were significantly lower than 0.01 ($P < 0.01$) utilizing IAM and TPM. Though utilizing the SMM $P > 0.01$ and thereby stating the population to deviate from mutation drift equilibrium.

Kharkar *et al.* (2015) reported a heterozygosity excess under IAM and TPM models, while mutation drift equilibrium under SMM model in Berari goat. The standardized test revealed significant heterozygosity deficiency under SMM with $T_2 = -4.873$, $P < 0.01$ in Berari goat population. There results under Sign test indicated the absence of bottle neck for IAM and TPM.

The Udaipuri goat has been brought by the migrants from Udaipur, Rajasthan. These migrants while moving and settling in Uttarakhand, took few goats along with them. Years of evolutionary forces has led these goats to develop into a unique breed and now better called as Udaipuri. Bottleneck or reduction in the population size may have caused due to colonization event. As a new population was developed from a small subset of the ancestral population, consequently the gene frequencies have varied from that of the ancestral population as a whole. This might have been the cause for the reduction in the population size observed in Udaipuri goat.

CONCLUSION

Under Wilcoxon test, the probability (P) values for heterozygosity excess were 0.00, 0.00 and 0.01812 for IAM, TPM and SMM, respectively. These values were found to be less than 0.05 ($P < 0.05$) for all the three models. Wilcoxon Test showed that the population has undergone recent bottleneck assuming all the three mutation models. So, from these findings we can conclude that bottleneck analysis under sign test, standardized test and Wilcoxon test utilizing the three models viz., IAM, TPM and SMM, Udaipuri goat revealed to suffer a reduction in the population size and there is substantial genetic variability across all the studied loci in Udaipuri goat population in spite of heterozygote deficiency at Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and genetic bottleneck analysis.

REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, R.A.K., Dixit, S.P., Verma, N.K., Ahlawat, S.P.S., Kumar, Y., Kumar, S., Chandra, R. and Singh, K.P. (2007). Population genetic analysis of Mehsana goat breed on microsatellite markers. *Current Sci.* 92: 1133-1137.
- Dixit, S.P., Aggarwal, R.A.K., Dangi, P.S., Verma, N.K., Vyas, M.K., Rana, J., Sharma, A., Kharadi, V.B., Sabapara, G.P. and Deshpande, S.B. (2013). Phenotypic characterization, management, performance and genetic variability in Surti breed of goat. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 82 (4): 423-427.
- Ganie, Z.A., Kumar, D., Kumar, Sundip, Shahi, B.N. and Ghosh, A.K. (2017). Genetic diversity analysis of Chaugarkha goat using microsatellite markers. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 87(1): 122-124.
- Kharkar, K., Kuralkar, S.V. and Kuralkar, P. (2015). Molecular genetic characterization of Berari breed of goat using microsatellite markers. *Indian J. Anim. Res.*, 49 (4): 423-428.
- Mahmoudi, B., Habibi, R., Montazeri, S. and Babayev, Sh.M. (2013). Bottleneck analysis and microsatellite based genetic diversity in Najdi Goat population. *J. Anim. Sci. Adv.*, 3

- (10): 544-550.
- Piry S, Luikart G, Cornuet JM. (1999). BOTTLENECK: A program for detecting recent effective population size reductions from allele frequency data. *Journal of Heredity*.90: 502–503, 1999.
- Sambrook, J. and Russel, D.W. (2001) . Molecular cloning- A Laboratory Manual. 3rd edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. New York.
- Sarma, Momi, Shahi, B.N., Kumar, D., Kumar, Sundip and Barwal, R.S. (2018). Assessing genetic variability in Udaipuri goat using micosatellite markers. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 88 (5), 620-622.
- Sharma, R., Kumar, D., Pandey, A.K., Dixit, S.P. and Ahlawat, S.P.S. (2008^a). Genetic variability and bottlenecks analysis of Barbari goat population using microsatellite markers. *Indian J. Aim. Sci.* 78 (4): 391-396.
- Sharma, R., Pandey, A.K., Kumar, D., Alam, S. and Ahlawat, S.P.S. (2008^b). Genetic variability in Beetal goat breed assessed by microsatellite DNA profiling. *Indian J. Aim. Sci.* 78 (5): 505-509.
- Ye, F.C., Yang, R.C. and Boyle, T. (1999). POPGENE Version 1.31: Microsoft Windows- based Freeware for Population Genetics Analysis Quick User Guide. University of Alberta and Centre for International Forestry Research. Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

Received: April 8, 2023

Accepted: April 24, 2023