

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 21(1) January-April 2023]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar



ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Mabolica Das Trakroo, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India
Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India
Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India
Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A
Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India
Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India
Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium
Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IIRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines
Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India
Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India
Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India
Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India
Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India
Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India
Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia
Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.
Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India
Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India
Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.
Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.
Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India
Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

CONTENTS

Selection parameters and heterosis for grain yield and yield contributing traits in yellow sarson (<i>Brassica rapa</i> var. yellow sarson)	1-9
SAMEER CHATURVEDI, NEHA DAHIYA, ANU SINGH, A. S. JEENA and USHA PANT	
Analysis of Morpho-Agronomic Variation and Genetic Divergence of French Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) in Mid Hills of Uttarakhand	10-13
BHAWANA MAMGAIN, ASHISH MAMGAIN, B.P. NAUTIYAL, REKHA DHANI, NEELIMA and HITASHI KURIYAL	
Variability studies in fenugreek [<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> L.]	14-18
PRIYANKA KHAIRIYA, J.P. SINGH and DHIRENDRA SINGH	
Quantitative estimation of chlorophyll and caretenoid contents in endangered medicinal plants <i>Gentiana kurroo</i> Royle and <i>Swertia chirayita</i> (Roxb.) H. Karst	19-26
NEETIKA NAUDIYAL and VANDANA A. KUMAR	
Integrated Nutrient Management for growth and yield enhancement of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) under irrigated conditions of Doon Valley, Uttarakhand	27-31
PRIYANKA JUYAL, HIMANSHU VERMA and PRIYANKA DHAPOLA	
A study on potato mini-tuber and first-generation seed production using micro-plants of Kufri girdhari and K. giriraj	32-35
ANJULI AGARWAL	
Monitoring of insect pests infesting cowpea, <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> at Pantnagar	36-39
SUNIL KUMAR YADAV, AMIT KUMAR KAUSHIK and POONAM SRIVASTAVA	
Studies on flower morphological characters of different oilseed <i>Brassica</i> species and their effect on the abundance of bee species	40-44
RAHUL SAJWAN, M. S. KHAN, LAXMI RAWAT / and MANOJ JOSHI	
The effect of different formulations of herbal fumigant on progeny production of stored grain insect pest, <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus)	45-52
DEEPA KUMARI and S. N. TIWARI	
A study on constraints faced by apple growers in production and marketing of apple in Uttarakhand	53-57
RAHUL NEGI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	
Genetic polymorphism of leptin gene in Badri cattle of Uttarakhand	58-61
P. DEORI, R.S. BARWAL, B.N. SHAHI and A.K. GHOSH	

Study on bottleneck analysis in Udaipuri goat of Uttarkhand MOMI SARMA, B. N. SHAHI, D. KUMAR, R. S. BARWAL and SUNDIP KUMAR	62-66
Bio -prediction of body weight from zoometric traits in Sirohi goats in southern Rajasthan SHASHIKANT, R.K. NAGDA and C.V. SINGH	67-73
Effect of different indigenous breeds of poultry layers on production and morphological egg quality traits in western U.P. GIRIDHAR VASANT USENDI, SHIWANSHU TIWARI, RAJ KUMAR, D.S. SAHU, S.P. YADAV and KARTIK TOMAR	74-80
Common diseases after wars AJAY KUMAR UPADHYAY, MANSHI KHULBE, SOURABH SWAMI, SHAKSHI THAPLIYAL and MAANSI	81-85
Effect of nano zinc on haematological parameters of Wistar Rats ABHIVYAKTI PATHAK, SEEMA AGARWAL and R.S. CHAUHAN	86-92
Performance evaluation of battery-operated push type pigeon pea stem cutter S. A. GAIKWAD, K. B. JHALA, ABHISHEK PANDEY and JAGRITI CHOUDHARY	93-98
Assessing the feasibility and economics of tractor-drawn round straw balers for paddy and wheat crop harvesting KUMUDINI VERMA, ATUL KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA and AVINASH GAUTAM	99-104
A Review- Cold Storage System for small and marginal farmers ABHISHEK MISHRA, RAJ NARAYAN PATERIYA, ALAKNANDA ASHOK, ANSHU SAXENA, VISHNU JI AWASTHI and PHALPHALE KETAN BIBHISHAN	105-109
A review on friction stir welding parameters and their effect on microstructure behavior of weld joint KUMUD JOSHI and R.S. JADOUN	110-117
Content analysis and readability assessment of Indian Farmers Digest POOJA GOSWAMI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	118-122
Decision making power of women in Raebareli and Pratapgarh district of Uttar Pradesh SEEMA KANAUIA and SANGHAMITRA MOHAPATRA	123-128

Bio -prediction of body weight from zoometric traits in Sirohi goats in southern Rajasthan

SHASHIKANT^{1*}, R.K. NAGDA¹ and C.V. SINGH²

¹*Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Science Navania, Vallabhnagar, Udaipur (Rajasthan),* ²*Department of Genetics and Animal Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145 (U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand)*

**Corresponding author: shashikantmyl@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT: The live body weight of farm animals is a major factor in determining a number of traits that are significant economically. Birth weight, weaning weight, yearling weight, and the effectiveness of weight gains are growth parameters that are economically significant in relation to the cost of production. Data on body weight and zoometric characteristics were gathered over a 12-year period from the Sirohi goat AICRP project in Udaipur, India (2007 - 2019). The least-square means at birth to 12month of age of body height were 30.36 ± 0.288 cm to 64.00 ± 0.998 cm, body length 29.15 ± 0.321 cm to 58.89 ± 1.168 cm, body girth 30.46 ± 0.284 cm to 64.13 ± 0.995 cm, body weight 2.53 ± 0.034 kg to 22.38 ± 0.53 kg, respectively. At various stages of life, it was shown that there were positive, low to medium-level (0.258 to 0.762) phenotypic associations between body weight and zoometric features. The best fit regression equation was developed using a combination of body height, body length, and body girth at birth, 3, 6, and, 12 months age, based on the largest R^2 value (0.694). Result revealed that combination of body height, body length, and body girth required for best regression equation and this combination also positive association with zoometric traits.

Key words: Body measurement, body weight, goat, GDP, Sirohi

The goat (*Capra hircus*), the third-largest species of livestock, is a prominent species among small ruminants (Livestock census, 2019). One of the first farm animals that humans domesticated was the goat in Asia and Europe (Ensminger and Parker, 1986). India has the second-largest goat population in the world, with 148.88 million goats overall and 3.08 million Sirohi goats (DAHDF, 2014). In the presence of 34 breeds, India provides a significant source of goat genetic resources (NBAGR, 2020). Goats comprise roughly 19% of the nation's meat production in India (BAHFS, 2015). In the calendar year 2018–19, India exported 18425 MT of sheep and goat meat to foreign markets for a total of Rs 790.64 crores (APEDA, 2019). In terms of the nation's overall goat population, the state comes first rank with 20,84 million goats (Livestock Census, 2019). About 8% of the state's overall GDP is provided by livestock. The Sirohi goat is the most common breed of goat in Rajasthan, making over 60% of all goats in the state (Animal Husbandry Department Rajasthan, 2016). Sirohi, a district in

Rajasthan, is where the breed derives its name. Most of the Aravalli hills and surrounding areas in central and southern Rajasthan are habitat to animals of this breed. The breed, which is primarily raised for meat and milk, is also known as Parbatsari, Devgarhi, and Ajmeri. The Sirohi goat is distinguished by its brilliant body colour, elegant appearance, and effective field performance. The ears are modest in size, flat, and drooping. Triplets are extremely uncommon in Sirohi goat populations; however, singles and twins are rather frequent.

The live body weight of farm animals, especially those with economic value, is a key element in determining a number of their traits. Birth weight, weaning weight, yearling weight, and weight gain effectiveness are growth characteristics that are economically significant in relation to the cost of production. The growth of kids is an indicator of its economic viability. The weaning body weight gives a fair idea about the future performance of the kid. Weight gained after birth and weight at various physiological phases of life are positively correlated.

The relationship between body weight and linear body measurements of goats is important for the estimation of the size and shape of goats suitable for breeding, slaughter and to predict body weight from linear body measurements in goats (Kamarudin *et al.*, 2011). Estimation of live weight using body measurement is a practical, faster, easier, and economical method especially in rural conditions where insufficient resources place constraints in the identification of superior animals in terms of body weight (Tyagi *et al.*, 2015). Body weight is the core economic characteristic of goats that directly affects their market value, therefore measuring zoometric traits gives farmers a gross calculation of body weight, which helps them obtain a reasonable price for the goat kids, by selling them in the market.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Over a period of 12 years (2007 - 2019), information on Sirohi kid's body weight was gathered through the AICRP Project in Udaipur, India. The body weights were measured at various periods, including birth and three, six, nine, and 12 months. At different ages, including birth and three, six, nine, and twelve months, the zoometric characteristics (height, length, and girth) were also noted. Location, birth year, season, kid's sex, dam's parity, and kind of birth were used to categorise the data. Four periods were created based on the birth years. A mixed-model least-squares analysis of fitting constants was used to analyse the data (Harvey, 1990).

Zoometric traits (body weight, body height, and length) were determined using Pearson correlation coefficients. Additionally, the correlation between body weight and zoometric traits was determined for goats in various age groups (0-3 months, 3-6 months, 6-9 months, 9-12 months, and more than 12 months). The correlation is the measure of the degree of association between the observed values of the two traits. The correlation was estimated by using the following formula:

$$r_{xy} = \frac{Cov_{x,y}}{\sqrt{(\sigma_x^2)(\sigma_y^2)}}$$

Where,
 $Cov_{x,y}$ = covariance between trait X and Y

σ_x^2 and σ_y^2 = phenotypic variance of trait X and Y

The standard error of phenotypic correlations calculated as:

$$SE(r) = [1 - r_{(xy)}^2] / \sqrt{[N - 1]}$$

Where,

$r_{(xy)}$ = correlation between trait X and Y

N-1 = Degree of freedom

The statistical significance of correlations was tested through the 'z test.

Regression:

Multiple regression analysis was carried out to develop a prediction equation for body weights on the basis of zoometric traits.

$$Y = a + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i$$

Where,

Y = dependent variable (body weight)

a = intercept / constant

b_i = coefficient of regression of y on x_i (i=1, 2, 3)

x_i = Zoometric traits viz. body height, body length, body girth

Using the standard analysis of variance approach for multiple regressions, the coefficient of the determinant (R^2) was determined. R^2 is the percentage of the sum of squares of the deviations of the Y estimate from its mean that are attributable to regression. For males, females, and overall measures, separate prediction equations were created. Using the method proposed by Di Bucchianico (2008), the coefficient of determination of each effect, which indicates the % contribution of variability, was calculated as follows:

$$R^2 = \left[1 - \frac{\text{Sum of squares of deviation due to effect}}{\text{Total sum of squares}} \right] \times 100$$

Prediction equation:

Linear regression model was arrived at, to develop a prediction equation for body weight. The following mathematical model was used for developing the prediction equation:

Table 1: Phenotypic correlation between body weight and zoometric traits in Sirohi goats at different age

Correlation Zoometric traits /body weight	Body height	Body length	Body girth
At birth	0.278**	0.277*	0.258**
At 3months	0.739**	0.686**	0.762**
At 6months	0.723**	0.588**	0.717**
At 9months	0.741**	0.575**	0.702**
At 12months	0.696**	0.614**	0.697**

Note: * and ** indicate the Significance of values at $P<0.05$ and $P<0.01$, respectively. NS- Non-significant

Table 2: Least-squares means and S.E. for zoometric traits and body weight of Sirohi goats at different age

Traits	Age of animals				
	Birth	3 months	6 months	9 months	12 months
Body height (cm)	30.36±0.288(7814)	49.46±0.577(6828)	54.55±0.676(5067)	54.62±0.989(3920)	64.00±0.998(2690)
Body length (cm)	29.15±0.321(7814)	44.72±0.537(6828)	49.34±0.805(5067)	58.76±0.851(3920)	58.89±1.168(2690)
Body girth (cm)	30.46±0.284(7814)	49.43±0.504(6828)	55.12±0.620(5067)	59.36±0.816(3920)	64.13±0.995(2690)
Body weight (kg)	2.53±0.034(7814)	11.51±0.291(6828)	15.04±0.348(5067)	17.93±0.450(3920)	22.38±0.531(2690)

The number of observations are given in parentheses, estimates with different subscripts differ significantly. ** highly significant ($p<0.01$), * significant ($p<0.05$), NS=non- significant, SE= standard error, BH=body height, BL= body length, BG=body girth, BW= body weight

Table 3: Bio-prediction equation of body weight and coefficient of determination (R^2) at different age

Age group		Equations pooled	MSE	Adjusted R^2
At birth	Pooled	$Y = -3.037 + 0.085X_1 + 0.123X_2 - 0.02X_3$	0.143	0.526
3months	Pooled	$Y = -11.872 + 0.102X_1 + 0.108X_2 + 0.224X_3$	2.710	0.616
6months	Pooled	$Y = -16.419 + 0.250X_1 - 0.057X_2 + 0.383X_3$	3.638	0.662
9months	Pooled	$Y = -16.820 + 0.418X_1 - 0.138X_2 + 0.315X_3$	4.367	0.649
12months	Pooled	$Y = -13.296 + 0.080X_2 + 0.505X_3$	8.517	0.546
Age group		Equations male	MSE	Adjusted R^2
At birth	Male	$Y = -3.571 + 0.095X_1 + 0.123X_2 - 0.014X_3$	0.153	0.509
3months	Male	$Y = -11.800 + 0.105X_1 + 0.074X_2 + 0.313X_3$	2.784	0.640
6months	Male	$Y = -18.325 + 0.300X_1 - 0.043X_2 + 0.358X_3$	4.005	0.687
9months	Male	$Y = -21.012 + 0.453X_1 - 0.101X_2 + 0.322X_3$	5.626	0.694
12months	Male	$Y = -23.322 + 0.157X_1 + 0.180X_2 + 0.422X_3$	9.997	0.644
Age group		Equations female	MSE	Adjusted R^2
At birth	Female	$Y = -3.102 + 0.061X_1 + 0.116X_2 + 0.009X_3$	0.125	0.508
3months	Female	$Y = -11.083 + 0.094X_1 + 0.137X_2 + 0.248X_3$	2.569	0.559
6months	Female	$Y = -12.765 + 0.183X_1 - 0.073X_2 + 0.397X_3$	2.975	0.616
9months	Female	$Y = -11.345 + 0.355X_1 - 0.170X_2 + 0.311X_3$	3.750	0.610
12months	Female	$Y = -8.483 + 0.285X_1 - 0.051X_2 + 0.256X_3$	5.701	0.522

NOTE: Y= body weight, X_1 =body height, X_2 = body length and X_3 = body girth

$$Y = a + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3$$

Where,

Y is the body weight

b_1, b_2, b_3 are the partial regression coefficients

x_1, x_2, x_3 are the body height, body girth and body length respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phenotypic correlations

Body weight with zoometric traits at different ages

Phenotypic correlations of body weight with height at birth, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months of age were observed 0.278, 0.739, 0.723, 0.741, and 0.696, respectively (Table 1). Lower phenotypic correlations were reported by Chauhan (2018) in Marwari goats at birth, 6, 9, and 12 months of age. Whereas, higher estimates of phenotypic correlation were reported by Alex *et al.* (2010) in Malabari goats at birth and 3 months of age.

Table 4: Actual body weight (kg) and predicated body weight (kg) of Sirohi goats at different age

Age group		Equations pooled	Predicted body weight	Actual body weight	Significance
At birth	Pooled	$Y = -1.176 + 0.133X_1$	3.07	2.54	*
		$Y = -2.021 + 0.157X_2$	2.53	2.54	NS
		$Y = -1.963 + 0.142X_3$	2.53	2.54	NS
		$Y = -3.645 + 0.083X_1 + 0.122X_2$	2.54	2.54	NS
		$Y = -2.503 + 0.073X_1 + 0.085X_3$	2.51	2.54	*
		$Y = -2.961 + 0.117X_2 + 0.067X_3$	2.55	2.54	*
		$Y = -3.037 + 0.085X_1 + 0.123X_2 - 0.02 X_3$	2.60	2.54	*
	Male	$Y = -1.559 + 0.131X_1$	2.67	2.68	NS
		$Y = -1.814 + 0.153X_2$	2.68	2.68	NS
		$Y = -1.640 + 0.135X_3$	2.68	2.68	NS
		$Y = -3.612 + 0.088X_1 + 0.118X_2$	2.69	2.68	NS
		$Y = -2.237 + 0.080X_1 + 0.073X_3$	2.68	2.68	NS
		$Y = -2.777 + 0.114X_2 + 0.066X_3$	2.68	2.68	NS
		$Y = -3.571 + 0.095X_1 + 0.123X_2 - 0.014 X_3$	2.66	2.68	*
	Female	$Y = -1.417 + 0.121X_1$	2.39	2.39	NS
		$Y = -1.862 + 0.149X_2$	2.38	2.39	NS
		$Y = -1.846 + 0.135X_3$	2.38	2.39	NS
		$Y = -3.230 + 0.070X_1 + 0.119X_2$	2.37	2.39	NS
		$Y = -2.297 + 0.057X_1 + 0.092X_3$	2.39	2.39	*
		$Y = -2.711 + 0.112X_2 + 0.061X_3$	2.39	2.39	NS
		$Y = -3.102 + 0.061X_1 + 0.116X_2 + 0.009X_3$	2.41	2.39	*
3 months	Pooled	$Y = -9.227 + 0.430X_1$	13.04	13.4	NS
		$Y = -7.589 + 0.450X_2$	13.04	13.4	NS
		$Y = -10.775 + 0.461X_3$	13.07	13.4	NS
		$Y = -10.662 + 0.300X_1 + 0.178X_2$	13.03	13.4	NS
		$Y = -11.273 + 0.143X_1 + 0.327X_3$	13.03	13.4	NS
		$Y = -11.694 + 0.134X_2 + 0.360X_3$	13.05	13.4	NS
		$Y = -11.872 + 0.102X_1 + 0.108X_2 + 0.224X_3$	13.24	13.4	NS
	Male	$Y = -9.328 + 0.435X_1$	13.53	13.55	NS
		$Y = -7.565 + 0.454X_2$	13.56	13.55	NS
		$Y = -10.974 + 0.468X_3$	13.56	13.55	NS
		$Y = -10.558 + 0.323X_1 + 0.154X_2$	13.58	13.55	NS
		$Y = -11.390 + 0.132X_1 + 0.343X_3$	13.52	13.55	NS
		$Y = -11.648 + 0.100X_2 + 0.392X_3$	13.55	13.55	NS
		$Y = -11.800 + 0.105X_1 + 0.074X_2 + 0.313X_3$	13.57	13.55	NS
	Female	$Y = -8.164 + 0.405X_1$	12.49	12.51	NS
		$Y = -6.641 + 0.424X_2$	12.50	12.51	NS
		$Y = -9.614 + 0.434X_3$	12.48	12.51	NS
		$Y = -9.882 + 0.266X_1 + 0.196X_2$	12.53	12.51	NS
		$Y = -10.245 + 0.146X_1 + 0.301X_3$	12.52	12.51	NS
		$Y = -10.857 + 0.160X_2 + 0.317X_3$	12.50	12.51	NS
		$Y = -11.083 + 0.094X_1 + 0.137X_2 + 0.248X_3$	12.52	12.51	NS
6 months	Pooled	$Y = -14.642 + 0.551X_1$	17.22	17.25	NS
		$Y = -6.516 + 0.460X_2$	17.23	17.25	NS
		$Y = -14.779 + 0.555X_3$	17.26	17.25	NS
		$Y = -14.845 + 0.487X_1 + 0.077X_2$	17.29	17.25	NS
		$Y = -16.397 + 0.234X_1 + 0.349X_3$	17.28	17.25	NS
		$Y = -14.766 - 0.008X_2 + 0.562X_3$	17.26	17.25	NS
		$Y = -16.419 + 0.250X_1 - 0.057X_2 + 0.383X_3$	17.20	17.25	NS
	Male	$Y = -16.71 + 0.592X_1$	17.99	17.98	NS
		$Y = -8.764 + 0.511X_2$	17.99	17.98	NS
		$Y = -16.50 + 0.590X_3$	18.01	17.98	NS
		$Y = -16.91 + 0.521X_1 + 0.083X_2$	17.97	17.98	NS

9 months	Female	$Y = -18.305 + 0.287X_1 + 0.333X_3$	18.00	17.98	NS
		$Y = -16.538 + 0.020X_2 + 0.572X_3$	17.97	17.98	NS
		$Y = -18.325 + 0.300X_1 - 0.043X_2 + 0.358 X_3$	17.94	17.98	NS
		$Y = -10.776 + 0.479X_1$	16.58	16.57	NS
		$Y = -2.696 + 0.378X_2$	16.56	16.57	NS
		$Y = -11.441 + 0.491X_3$	16.55	16.57	NS
		$Y = -10.983 + 0.427X_1 + 0.062X_2$	16.56	16.57	NS
		$Y = -12.757 + 0.162X_1 + 0.352X_3$	16.56	16.57	NS
	Pooled	$Y = -11.353 - 0.041X_2 + 0.527X_3$	16.59	16.57	NS
		$Y = -12.765 + 0.183X_1 - 0.073X_2 + 0.397 X_3$	16.59	16.57	NS
		$Y = -15.897 + 0.593X_1$	20.78	20.82	NS
		$Y = -5.378 + 0.470X_2$	20.84	20.82	NS
		$Y = -14.510 + 0.572X_3$	20.83	20.82	NS
		$Y = -15.854 + 0.637X_1 - 0.049X_2$	20.82	20.82	NS
		$Y = -16.677 + 0.370X_1 + 0.238X_3$	20.92	20.82	NS
		$Y = -14.450 - 0.062X_2 + 0.627X_3$	20.83	20.82	NS
	Male	$Y = -16.820 + 0.418X_1 - 0.138X_2 + 0.315 X_3$	20.80	20.82	NS
		$Y = -19.942 + 0.666X_1$	21.72	21.75	NS
		$Y = -9.753 + 0.558X_2$	21.76	21.75	NS
		$Y = -18.872 + 0.650X_3$	21.74	21.75	NS
		$Y = -19.920 + 0.677X_1 - 0.012X_2$	21.76	21.75	NS
		$Y = -20.979 + 0.416X_1 + 0.286X_3$	22.92	21.75	*
		$Y = -18.857 - 0.009X_2 + 0.658X_3$	21.75	21.75	NS
		$Y = -21.012 + 0.453X_1 - 0.101X_2 + 0.322 X_3$	21.74	21.75	NS
12 months	Female	$Y = -10.491 + 0.499X_1$	20.10	20.08	NS
		$Y = -0.194 + 0.367X_2$	20.07	20.08	NS
		$Y = -9.067 + 0.476X_3$	20.07	20.08	NS
		$Y = -10.471 + 0.571X_1 - 0.080X_2$	20.11	20.08	NS
		$Y = -11.092 + 0.301X_1 + 0.208X_3$	20.10	20.08	NS
		$Y = -8.992 - 0.112X_2 + 0.576X_3$	20.09	20.08	NS
		$Y = -11.345 + 0.355X_1 - 0.170X_2 + 0.311 X_3$	20.07	20.08	NS
		$Y = -13.955 + 0.527X_1$	20.73	24.72	*
	Pooled	$Y = -5.694 + 0.510X_2$	24.70	24.72	NS
		$Y = -13.223 + 0.576X_3$	24.70	24.72	NS
		$Y = -13.954 + 0.479X_1 + 0.119X_2$	24.70	24.72	NS
		$Y = -15.315 + 0.275X_1 + 0.333X_3$	24.73	24.72	NS
		$Y = -13.296 + 0.080X_2 + 0.505X_3$	24.72	24.72	NS
		$Y = -18.31 + 0.518X_1 - 0.319X_2 + 0.425 X_3$	24.80	24.72	NS
		$Y = -20.265 + 0.697X_1$	26.50	26.48	NS
		$Y = -15.013 + 0.685X_2$	26.49	26.48	NS
	Male	$Y = -21.813 + 0.721X_3$	26.49	26.48	NS
		$Y = -21.402 + 0.408X_1 + 0.338X_2$	26.45	26.48	NS
		$Y = -23.308 + 0.218X_1 + 0.525X_3$	26.49	26.48	NS
		$Y = -22.339 + 0.220X_2 + 0.529X_3$	26.43	26.48	NS
		$Y = -23.322 + 0.157X_1 + 0.180X_2 + 0.422X_3$	26.38	26.48	NS
		$Y = -7.578 + 0.481X_1$	23.84	23.86	NS
		$Y = -0.924 + 0.417X_2$	23.72	23.86	NS
		$Y = -6.075 + 0.458X_3$	23.81	23.86	NS
	Female	$Y = -7.515 + 0.451X_1 + 0.027X_2$	23.54	23.86	*
		$Y = -8.285 + 0.262X_1 + 0.230X_3$	23.83	23.86	NS
		$Y = -6.075 + 0.024X_2 + 0.436X_3$	23.80	23.86	NS
		$Y = -8.483 + 0.285X_1 - 0.051X_2 + 0.256 X_3$	23.82	23.86	NS

NOTE: Y= body weight, X1=body height, X2= body length and X3= body girth

Phenotypic correlations of body weight with length at birth, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months of age were observed 0.277, 0.686, 0.588, 0.575, and 0.614, respectively (Table 1). Lower phenotypic correlations were

reported by Chauhan (2018) in Marwari goats at birth, 6, 9, and 12 months of age. Whereas, higher estimates of phenotypic correlation were reported by Alex *et al.* (2010) in Malabari goats at birth.

Phenotypic correlations of body weight with body girth at birth, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months of age were observed 0.258, 0.762, 0.717, 0.702, and 0.697, respectively (Table 1). Lower phenotypic correlations were reported by Chauhan (2018) in Marwari goats at birth, 3, 6, and 12 months of age. Whereas, higher estimates of phenotypic correlation were reported by Alex *et al.* (2010) in Malabari goats at birth, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months of age.

A positive and significant phenotypic correlation was observed between different zoometric traits (body height, body girth, and body length) and body weight. Among all zoometric traits (body height, body girth, and body length), the maximum correlation was obtained between body height and body weight at birth, 6 and 9 months (0.278, 0.723, and 0.741) while the correlation between body weight and body girth was maximum 0.762 and 0.697 at 3 and 12 months age respectively (Table 1).

Among all body measurements, body girth had a maximum correlation with body weight followed by height and length. It was concluded that body girth is to be a fair prediction of body weight. The variation of body weight due to body measurements differed between the age group. The association of body weights with body measurements (zoometric traits) was of course due to contribution in body weight by the body measurements. The prediction of body weight also uses the correlation of zoometric traits in each group.

Bio-prediction equations of body weight and coefficient of determination (R^2)

The overall, least-squares means of body weight and zoometric traits of the different age groups of Sirohi goats are given in Table 2. Among the different prediction equations developed from zoometric traits, the prediction equation for combination with body height, body length, and body girth was

observed to be most fit based on R^2 values and this trend was noticed up to 12 months of age (Table 3). Thus, different prediction equations were developed to provide a good estimate for the prediction of live weight in Sirohi goat keeping in view the high correlation observed in the present study. The different regression equations for the prediction of body weight based on different zoometric traits (body height, body girth, and body length) and coefficient of determination are presented in Table 3. The correspondence of actual body weight and predicted body weight was statistically analysed for different groups and traits and is presented in Table 4.

The combination of body height, body length, and body girth were found to be the most suitable to predict the body weight based on R^2 values in pooled groups, males, and females respectively at birth, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months of age (Table 3). Similar report with the combination of body height, body length, and body girth as most suitable for prediction of body weight observed by Tyagi *et al.* (2013) in Surti goat and Dudhe *et al.* (2015) in Sirohi goats at birth, 3, 6, 9 months of age.

It was clear that the maximum value of R^2 was obtained for a combination of more than one estimate of zoometric traits so this indicated that body weight can be predicted more accurately by the combination of two or more than two factors than only one factor. The variation of body weight due to body measurements differed between the age group. The association of body weights with body measurements was of course due to contribution in body weight by the body measurements.

Actual body weight (kg) and predicated body weight (kg) of Sirohi goats at different age

In most of all the age groups, there was no significant difference between actual body weight and predicted body weight by Z-test (table IV). Similar reports at non-significant differences were also found between predicated body weight and actual body weight in pooled, male, and female groups by Chauhan *et al.* (2018) in Marwari goat.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, it was concluded that regression equations having zoometric traits as the independent variable may be a reliable method for the prediction of body weight, and the combination of body height, body length, and body girth offers the best-fitted equation. It is hereby, recommended that a combination of body height, body length, and body girth measurement would be the best to estimate the body weight of Sirohi goats.

REFERENCES

- Alex, R., Raghavan, K.C. and Mercey, K.A. (2010). Prediction of body weight of Malabari goats from body measurements under field conditions. *Journal of Veterinary Animal Science*, 41: 21-27.
- Animal Husbandry Department Rajasthan. (2016). <http://www.animalhusbandry.Rajasthan.Govt.in>.
- APEDA (2019). Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority, India. *Annual report*, 2019.
- BAHFS (2015). Basic Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Statistics, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries. *Ministry of Agriculture*, Government of India.
- Bucchianico, Di. (2008). Coefficient of determination (R^2), in: cyclopedia of statistics in quality and reliability, *wiley online library*.
- Chauhan, H. (2018). Study on body morphometric measurements and their application in predicting live body weight in Marwari goats under field condition. M.V.Sc. Thesis, submitted to *RAJUVAS*, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
- DAHDF. (2014). Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying & Fisheries. National Livestock Policy, New Delhi: *Ministry of Agriculture*, Government of India.
- Dudhe, S.D. (2015). Studies on growth, productive and reproductive performance of Sirohi goats around Udaipur District of Rajasthan under field condition. M.V.Sc. Thesis, submitted to *RAJUVAS*, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
- Ensminger, M.E. and Parker, R.O. (1986). *Sheep & Goat Science* (5th Edn.). The Interstate Printers & Publishers, Inc., Australia.
- Harvey, H.R. (1990). User's guide for LSMLMW PC-2 Version mixed model Least Squares and Maximum Likelihood computer programme. *Iowa State University*, U.S.A.
- Kamarudin, N.A., Mohamed, A.O. and Murugaiyah, M. (2011). Relationship between body weight and linear body measurements in Boer goats. *6th proceedings of the seminar on Veterinary Science*, 11: 68-73.
- Livestock Census (2019). DADF. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- NBAGR. (2020). Annual calendar on animal breeds. *NBAGAR*, Karnal, Haryana.
- Tyagi, K.K., Patel, M.D., Sorathiya, L.M., Kshirsagar, D.P., Patel, M.V. and Thakor, R.B. (2015). Growth traits and their interrelationship in Surti goats. *Animal science report*, 9: 105-113.
- Tyagi, K.K., Sorathiya, L.M., Patel, M.D. and Fulsunder A.B. (2013). Determination of age and sex dependent best-fitted regression model for predicting body weight in Surti kids. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants*, 19, 79-82.

Received: April 3, 2023

Accepted: April 30, 2023