Print ISSN: 0972-8813 e-ISSN: 2582-2780 [Vol. 21(2) May-August 2023]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN: 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India **Members**

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. J.P. Jaiswal, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Malobica Das Trakroo, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India

Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

 $Prof.\ Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department\ of\ Zoology, University\ of\ Lucknow, India$

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.

Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

 $Prof.\ V.D.\ Sharma, Ph.D., Dean\ Academics, SAI\ Group\ of\ Institutions, Dehradun, India$

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A& M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 21(2) May-August, 2023

CONTENTS

Evaluation of seed quality parameters in forage oat (<i>Avena sativa</i> l.) germplasm HARSHITA NEGI, VAIBHAV BIST, AKIRTI BALLABH and BIRENDRA PRASAD	129
Mepiquat Chloride: An effective plant growth regulator to improve growth and productivity of rice in North-Western Himalayan region of India S. K. YADAV, D. K. SINGH, KIRTI SHARMA, PRATIMA ARYA, SUPRIYA TRIPATHI and YOGESH SHARMA	135
Performance of Integrated Nutrient Management for yield and Net Income of lentil (Lens culinaris Medik) KUMARI ANJALI and HIMANSHU VERMA	141
Potential and scope of Agarwood (<i>Aquilaria malaccensis</i> lamk.) cultivation in India SNEHA DOBHAL, DURGA BAHUGUNA, REETIKA BINJOLA, GARIMA BHATT, RAJ KUMAR, AYUSH JOSHI, KANICA UPADHYAY and NEELAM CHAUHAN	145
Effect of transplanting date on incidence of insect pests of rice R. DOGRA and A. K. PANDEY	154
Measuring the antixenosis responses of <i>Spodoptera litura</i> larvae to different soybean germplasms by leaf choice method ASHUTOSH and NEETA GAUR	17 0
Long term efficacy of different herbal fumigants against <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i> (Fabricius) and <i>Tribolium castaneum</i> (Herbst) DEEPA KUMARI and S. N. TIWARI	174
Screening of different combinations of <i>Trichoderma harzanium and Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> for growth promotion activity in rice plants under glass house conditions SAPNA, BHUPESH CHANDRA KABDWAL and ROOPALI SHARMA	186
Role of Fungal Effector Proteins for Disease Expression in Plants HINA KAUSAR, GEETA SHARMA and BHAGYASHREE BHATT	191
Effect of biostimulants and biofertilizer on performance of rose cv. Rose Sherbet LOLLA RACHANA, V. K. RAO and D. C. DIMRI	203
A Review-Tomato quality as influenced by preharvest factors H.N. PRASAD, BANKEY LAL, SUNITA BHANDARI, RAKESH BHARGAVA, VIPUL PRATAP SINGH and ANSHU KAMBOJ	209
Effect of ZnO Nanoparticles on Macronutrients Content of <i>Pleurotus sajar- caju</i> (Oyster Mushroom) LEEMA and H. PUNETHA	218
Nutritional, sensory and shelf-life analysis of pearl millet-based value-added biscuits enriched with <i>jamun</i> seed powder SAVITA, AMITA BENIWAL, VEENU SANGWAN and ASHA KAWATRA	224
Quality characteristics of low salt functional chicken meat patties incorporated with Barnyard Millet DEEPSHIKHA SINGH, ANITA ARYA, P. PRABHAKARAN, P.K. SINGH, SHIVE KUMAR, N.C. HAHI and A.K. UPADHYAY	234

Effect of supplementation of tulsi (<i>Ocimum sanctum</i>) leaf powder on growth performance in commercial broiler SURAJ GAJANAN MADAVI, RAJKUMAR1, KARTIK TOMAR, SHIWANSHU TIWARI, D.S. SAHU,	239
S.P. YADAV and GULAB CHANDRA	
Combating antimicrobial resistance through gene silencing BEENU JAIN, ANUJ TEWARI, ANUPRIYA MISRA and YASHOVARDHAN MISRA	246
Effect of aluminium nano particles on humoral immune response of wistar rats SHODHAN K.V, SEEMA AGARWAL and R S CHAUHAN	256
Effect of nano zinc on body weight and behaviour of Wistar rats ABHIVYAKTI PATHAK, SEEMA AGARWAL and R.S. CHAUHAN	262
The growth potential of thermophilic Campylobacters on various culture media NAWAL KISHOR SINGH, A. K. UPADHYAY, MAANSI, AMAN KAMBOJ and AJAY KUMAR	267
Meta-analysis of rabies diagnostic tests in dogs A. K. UPADHYAY, R. S. CHAUHAN, MAANSI and N. K. SINGH	271
Growth Performance of <i>Schizothorax richardsonii</i> fingerlings with different feeding strategies TOSHIBAA, DIKSHAARYA, SUMIT KUMAR, H.C.S BISHT and N.N. PANDEY	274
Observation of fish mortality in the mudflat of Siruthalaikadu Creek, Palk Bay, Southeast Coast of India ABINAYA R, KANISHKAR A and SAJEEVAN MK	279
Physiochemical properties of pretreated tomato powder from different drying technique SHRADDHA SETHI and NEERAJ SETH	282
A Review: Energy analysis of different fodder crop production in India RAHUL KUMAR YADAV, RAVI PRATAP SINGH, ANIL KUMAR and SAURABH KUMAR SINGH	29 0
A review on current scenario of paddy straw management machineries: Viable solution for in-situ residue management	297
VISHNU JI AWASTHI, RAJ NARAYAN PATERIYA, ABHISHEK MISHRA, KETAN BHIBHISHAN PHALPHALE and ABHINAV KUMAR	
Field evaluation of Tractor-Operated Pneumatic Planter for maize crop planting AMIT KUMAR, JAYAN P R and VISHNU JI AWASTHI	305
Assessing flood inundation for breach of Jamrani Dam, Uttarakhand using HEC-RAS 2D JYOTHI PRASAD, LOVEJEET SINGH and SHIVA PRASAD H.J	314
Attitude and constraints faced by the beneficiaries of Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchayee Yojana in Garhwal region of Uttarakhand TRIPTI KHOLIA and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	320
Effectiveness of participatory newsletter on honey production: A study in Nainital district of Uttarakhand MALIK, AAFREEN, ANSARI, M.A. and AMARDEEP	327
Food habits of farm women and their heamoglobin level REETA DEVI YADAV, S.K. GANGWAR, CHELPURI RAMULU and ANUPAMA KUMARI	322

Effect of supplementation of tulsi (Ocimum sanctum) leaf powder on growth performance in commercial broiler

SURAJ GAJANAN MADAVI¹, RAJKUMAR¹, KARTIK TOMAR², SHIWANSHU TIWARI³*, D.S. SAHU¹, S.P. YADAV¹ and GULAB CHANDRA⁴

¹Department of Animal Husbandry, College of Agriculture, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Modipuram-250110, Meerut (U.P.), ²ICAR-Central Institute for Research on Cattle, Meerut Cantt, ³Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar- 263145 (U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand), ⁴Department of Veterinary Physiology, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Modipuram-250110, Meerut (U.P.) *Corresponding author's email Id: shiwanshutiwaridiscover@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The present study aimed to explore the effect of supplementation of tulsi leaf powder on growth performance in commercial broilers. A total of 160, day-old chicks (Ven-Cobb-430Y strain) were used for this study purpose and divided into four groups (Control, T1, T2 and T3), and each group was divided into four replications with 10 chicks in each replicate. The control was offered a basal diet without any supplementation, whereas the T1, T2, and T3 groups were offered basal diet supplemented with dried tulsi leaf powder at 5.0, 10.0, and 15 g/kg feed, respectively for the 42 days of the study period. Body weight, weight gain, and feed consumption were recorded. We conclude that supplementation of dried tulsi leaf powder at 5g/kg feed in the broilers diets shows higher body weight, body weight gain, and improves feed conversion ratio compared to other treatment groups.

Key words: Broiler, feed, growth, tulsi leaf powder

Poultry farming is a type of animal husbandry in which domesticated birds are raised for producing meat or eggs for human use. Poultry is the most efficient converter of low value food into high-value nutritional food and its meat is a good source of protein, minerals, and vitamins, and it can help to meet the protein needs of India's rising population. India is the world's third-largest egg producer, followed by China and the United States and the fourth-largest chicken producer, next to China, Brazil and the United States of America (Singh, 2020). The present per-capita availability of eggs is 54, while chicken meat consumption is 2.2 kg, whereas the ICMR recommendation is the consumption of 180 eggs and 10.8 kg poultry meat per person per annum (ICAR- Directorate of Poultry Research, Vision 2050). Improved poultry breeds account for 59% of the overall bird population and 89% of total egg production in the country (Vetrivel and Chandrakumar, 2012). In 2019, India's total poultry population is 851.81 million, which is increased by

16.8% over previous census. Global egg production has risen by 150 % in the last three decades. The total number of backyard poultry is 317.07 million, which is increased by 45.8% and the total number of commercial chickens is 534.74 million, which is increased by 4.5% over the previous Census (GOI, 20th livestock census). In developing nations, nearly 80% of rural households raise poultry (FAO, 2021).

Antibiotics removal has led to poultry performance problems, feed conversion increases and a rise in the incidence of certain animal diseases, such as (subclinical) necrotic enteritis (Dibner and Richards, 2005). The main objective of adding feed additives is to boost animal performance by increasing their growth rate, better-feed conversion efficiency, greater livability and lowered mortality in poultry birds. Tulsi has attracted worldwide attention due to its vast range of medicinal properties without showing any adverse effects. Tulsi also promotes growth and feed efficiency of birds because of their

antibacterial properties (WHO, 1997). Tulsi contains eugenol, a phenolic compound and ursolic acid having pharmacological effects (Prakash and Gupta, 2005). The plant is reported to possess anti-infertility, anticancer, antibacterial (Joshi *et al.*, 2009), antidiabetic, antifungal, antimicrobial, hepatoprotective, cardioprotective, antiemetic, antioxidant (Subramanian *et al.*, 2005), antispasmodic, analgesic, anti-ulcerogenic and ulcer healing properties, adaptogenic (Singh *et al.*, 2012) and diaphoretic actions (Mondal *et al.*, 2009).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted at the Poultry Research and Training Centre, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (Uttar Pradesh). One hundred and sixty, dayold chicks of Ven-Cobb-430Y synthetic strain were purchased. All chicks were weighed for observing body weight and kept at brooding room for one week. After one week, all the chicks were individually weighed and randomly divided into four groups (Control, T1, T2 and T3) of 40 chicks each having similar average body weight. Each group was further subdivided into four replicates with 10 chicks in each replicate. The ISO certified basal feed in the form of broiler starter and broiler finisher was procured from the market as per the requirement. The birds were offered starter (0-2 weeks) and finisher (3-6 weeks) diet fed ad libitum. The chicks fed with the basal diet were maintained as control group. The treatment group diet T1 supplemented with dry tulsi leaf powder @ 5 g/kg feed, T2 supplemented with dry tulsi leaf powder @ 10 g/kg feed and T3 supplemented with dry tulsi leaf powder @ 15 g/kg feed. On day 1, jaggery juice was given to birds and from 2nd day fresh and clean drinking water was provided daily in the morning and evening to the birds throughout the experimental period. The treatment group diet T1 supplemented with dry tulsi leaf powder @ 5 g/kg feed, T2 supplemented with dry tulsi leaf powder @ 10 g/kg feed and T3 supplemented with dry tulsi leaf powder @ 15 g/kg feed. The body weight, weight gain and feed consumption are the measured parameters.

Statistical analysis

Data obtained was subjected to statistical analysis using completely randomized design with the simple analysis of variance technique (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Body weight

The average body weight of chicks in the control group was weighed 44.38g and T1, T2 and T3 groups were weighed 45.25g, 44.95g and 45.08g, respectively, are presented in Table 1. Statistical analysis showed that the body weight differences between the groups were found to be non-significant (P<0.05) at day-old age. The average body weight of three-week-old Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler chicks of all the treatment groups are presented in Table 2. The average body weight of three-week-old chicks were recorded for the Control, T1, T2 and T3 groups which weighed 633.50g, 670.50g, 669.13g and 669.75g respectively. The average body weight of six-week-old Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds of all the treatment groups are presented in table 3. The body weight of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds ranged from 1752.50g (control) to 1900.75g (T1). Statistical analysis showed that the average body weight in the T1 group was significantly (P<0.05) higher than the control, T2 and T3 groups. Body weight was significantly improved in tulsi leaf powder supplemented groups as compared to control group. These findings were agreeing with the findings of Lanjewar et al. (2008) reported live body weight increased significantly (P<0.01) with the supplementation of Tulsi Leaf Powder. Hasan et al. (2016) reported that Tulsi leaf extract supplementation group has significantly (at 1% level) increased live body weight than that of the control group. Islam et al. (2021), Shende et al. (2021) also reported higher body weight in chicks fed a diet containing dried TLP. Similar to present findings, Abbas (2010) reported higher BW in chicks supplemented with dried TLP at the rate of 3 g/kg feed over a 42 days experimental period.

Table 1: Body weight (g) of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler chicks at day-old

Treatments		Number of	Mean	SEM		
	R1	R2	R3	R4		
Control	45.00	44.40	44.10	44.00	44.38ª	0.23
T1	45.50	46.50	45.00	44.00	45.25a	0.52
T2	44.80	45.00	46.00	44.00	44.95ª	0.41
T3	45.00	46.00	44.00	45.30	45.08a	0.42
				Overall mean	44.91	0.39

^{* (}n=10 chicks) a, b, c: Means with different superscripts in each column Differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 2: Effect of TLP supplementation on body weight (g) of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler at 3rd week

Treatments		Number of replications				
	R1	R2	R3	R4		
Control	637.00	636.00	631.00	630.00	633.50a	1.76
T1	671.00	678.00	663.00	670.00	670.50^{b}	3.07
T2	682.00	679.50	659.00	656.00	669.13 ^b	6.76
T3	685.00	659.00	660.00	675.00	669.75 ^b	6.26
				Overall mean	660.72	4.46

^{* (}n=10 chicks) a, b, c: Means with different superscripts in each column Differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 3: Effect of TLP supplementation on body weight (g) of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler at 6th week

Treatments		Number of	Mean	SEM		
	R1	R2	R3	R4		
Control	1740.00	1762.00	1749.00	1759.00	1752.50 ^a	5.01
T1	1909.00	1901.00	1890.00	1903.00	1900.75 ^b	3.97
T2	1852.00	1850.00	1840.00	1848.00	1847.50^{ab}	2.63
T3	1806.00	1811.00	1796.00	1790.00	1800.75^{ab}	4.75
				Overall mean	1825.38	4.09

^{* (}n=10 chicks) a, b, c: Means with different superscripts in each column Differ significantly (P<0.05).

Body Weight Gain

The body weight gain during 0-1 week of age in Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler chicks of all the treatment groups are presented in Table 4. The body weight gain during 0-1 week of age were recorded for the Control, T1, T2 and T3 groups which weighed 119.63g, 126.50g, 127.68g and 126.05g, respectively. The body weight gain during 3-4 week of age in Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds of all the treatment groups are presented in Table 5. The body weight gain during 3-4 week of age were recorded for the Control, T1, T2 and T3 groups which weighed 352.00g, 378.25g, 369.00g and 352.00g respectively. The body weight gains up to 6 weeks of age in Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds of all the treatment groups

are presented in table 6. The body weight gains up to 6 weeks of age were recorded for the Control, T1, T2 and T3 groups which weighed 1708.13g, 1909.13g, 1831.00g and 1802.75g respectively. The average body weight in the control group was significantly (P<0.05) lower than the T1 and T2 groups and did not show any significant (P<0.05) difference with the T3 group. In the present study, body weight gain was improved in broiler chicks receiving treatment diets as compared to the control group birds. These findings are agreeing with the Gupta and Charan (2007) where they reported maximum weight gain was observed in group of chickens treated with 200 mg of dried leaves powder as compared to control group. Lanjewar et al. (2008) also reported that weekly body weight increased

^{*} T1= TLP @ 5g/kg feed, T2= TLP @ 10g/kg feed, T3= TLP @ 15 g/kg feed.

^{*} T1= TLP @ 5g/kg feed, T2= TLP @ 10g/kg feed, T3= TLP @ 15 g/kg feed.

^{*}T1=TLP @ 5g/kg feed, T2=TLP @ 10g/kg feed, T3=TLP @ 15 g/kg feed

significantly (P<0.01) with the supplementation of TLP. Similar to these findings, Sheoran *et al.* (2017) also reported that mean body weight gain was improved (P<0.05) in broilers fed with holy basil leaf powder incorporated diets compared with the control group.

Feed Consumption

The feed consumption during 3-4 week of age in Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds of all the treatment groups are presented in Table 7. The feed consumption during 3-4 week of age were recorded for the Control, T1, T2 and T3 groups which weighed 636.18g, 640.00g, 637.23g and 638.58g respectively.

The feed consumption up to 6 weeks of age in Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds of all the treatment groups are presented in table 8. The feed consumption up to 6 weeks of age were recorded for the Control, T1, T2 and T3 groups which weighed 3306.40g, 3368.43g, 3330.43g and 3317.78g respectively. The feed consumption, higher up to 6 weeks of broiler birds of all the treatment groups differ significantly (P<0.05) to each other. These findings are in agreeing with the Pandian *et al.* (2013), who reported feed consumption between treatments and control groups differ significantly (Pd''0.01). In contrast to present findings, Onwurah *et al.* (2011) reported feed intake was not significantly (P>0.05) different between groups.

Table 4: Effect of TLP supplementation on body weight gain (g) of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler chicks during 0-1 week of age

Treatments		Number of	Mean	SEM		
	R1	R2	R3	R4		
Control	120.00	119.60	118.90	120.00	119.63ª	0.26
T1	126.50	128.50	126.00	125.00	126.50^{b}	0.74
T2	126.20	129.50	128.00	127.00	127.68 ^b	0.71
T3	126.00	124.00	127.00	127.20	126.05 ^b	0.73
				Overall mean	124.96	0.61

^{* (}n=10 chicks) a, b, c: Means with different superscripts in each column Differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 5: Effect of TLP supplementation on body weight gain (g) of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds during 3-4 week of age

Treatments		Number o	Mean	SEM		
	R1	R2	R3	R4		
Control	348.00	353.00	350.00	357.00	352.00a	1.96
T1	378.00	374.00	382.00	379.00	378.25^{b}	1.65
T2	363.00	370.00	371.00	372.00	369.00^{b}	2.04
T3	342.00	359.00	361.00	346.00	352.00^{a}	4.71
				Overall mean	362.81	2.59

^{* (}n=10 chicks) a, b, c: Means with different superscripts in each column Differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 6: Effect of TLP supplementation on body weight gain (g) of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds up to 6 weeks of age

Treatments		Number o	Mean	SEM		
	R1	R2	R3	R4		
Control	1695.00	1717.60	1704.90	1715.00	1708.13ª	5.16
T1	1899.00	1896.50	1909.00	1932.00	1909.13°	8.09
T2	1783.00	1845.00	1850.00	1846.00	1831.00bc	16.04
T3	1796.00	1805.00	1799.00	1811.00	1802.75ab	3.33
				Overall mean	1812.75	8.15

^{* (}n=10 chicks) a, b, c: Means with different superscripts in each column Differ significantly (P<0.05).

^{*}T1=TLP @ 5g/kg feed, T2=TLP @ 10g/kg feed, T3=TLP @ 15 g/kg feed

^{*} T1= TLP @ 5g/kg feed, T2= TLP @ 10g/kg feed, T3= TLP @ 15 g/kg feed

^{*} T1= TLP @ 5g/kg feed, T2= TLP @ 10g/kg feed, T3= TLP @ 15 g/kg feed

Feed Conversion Ratio

The feed conversion ratio of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds from all the four different treatment groups are calculated at the end of 6th week and it was presented in Tables 9. The Feed conversion ratios were recorded for The Control, T1, T2 and T3 groups which weighed 1.94, 1.78, 1.83 and 1.85 respectively. The feed conversion ratio of the T1, T2 and T3 groups was significantly (P<0.05) increased than control group, whereas, The T1, T2 and T3 groups did not show any significance (P<0.05) difference with each other. In the present study, feed consumption ratio improved significantly (P<0.05) in tulsi leaf powder supplemented groups

than the control group. These findings are similar with the results of Prajapat *et al.* (2018) where they found lower FCR in Tulsi supplemented groups as compared to control groups. Hossain *et al.* (2021) found that the feed conversion ratio (FCR) of treatment group B was (1.88) better than the control group (2.15).

CONCLUSION

We conclude that supplementation of dried Tulsi leaf powder at an inclusion rate of 5g/kg feed in the broilers' diets had significant effect on body weight, body weight gain, feed consumption and feed conversation ratio of commercial broiler hence the

Table 7: Effect of TLP supplementation on Feed consumption (g) of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds during 3-4 week of age

Treatments		Number of	Mean	SEM		
	R1	R2	R3	R4		
Control	635.20	635.50	636.50	637.50	636.18ª	0.52
T1	641.50	640.50	638.50	639.50	640.00°	0.65
T2	638.50	637.50	635.50	637.40	637.23ab	0.63
T3	639.10	639.50	638.20	637.50	638.58 ^{bc}	0.45
				Overall mean	637.99	0.56

^{* (}n=10 chicks) a, b, c: Means with different superscripts in each column Differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 8: Effect of TLP supplementation on Feed consumption (g) of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds up to 6 weeks of age

Treatments		Number o	Mean	SEM		
	R1	R2	R3	R4		
Control	3302.30	3305.50	3307.50	3310.30	3306.40a	2.03
T1	3378.50	3369.40	3360.80	3365.00	3368.43 ^d	3.79
T2	3336.20	3331.10	3324.50	3329.90	3330.43°	2.40
T3	3320.00	3323.10	3315.40	3312.60	3317.78 ^b	2.34
				Overall mean	3330.83	2.64

^{* (}n=10 chicks) a, b, c: Means with different superscripts in each column Differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 9: Effect of TLP supplementation on Feed consumption ratio of Ven-Cobb-430Y broiler birds at the end of 6 weeks of age

Treatments		Number of replications					
	R1	R2	R3	R4			
Control	1.95	1.93	1.95	1.94	1.94 ^b	0.00	
T1	1.79	1.79	1.77	1.76	1.78^{a}	0.01	
T2	1.88	1.82	1.81	1.82	1.83ª	0.02	
T3	1.87	1.85	1.85	1.84	1.85ª	0.01	
				Overall mean	1.85	0.01	

^{* (}n=10 chicks) a, b, c: Means with different superscripts in each column Differ significantly (P<0.05).

^{*} T1= TLP @ 5g/kg feed, T2= TLP @ 10g/kg feed, T3= TLP @ 15 g/kg feed

^{*} T1= TLP @ 5g/kg feed, T2= TLP @ 10g/kg feed, T3= TLP @ 15 g/kg feed

^{*} T1= TLP @ 5g/kg feed, T2= TLP @ 10g/kg feed, T3= TLP @ 15 g/kg feed

inclusion of tulsi leaf powder at the rate of 5g/kg feed proves beneficial and economical for the poultry farmers.

REFERENCES

- Abbas R. (2010). Effect of using fenugreek, parsley and sweet basil seeds as feed additives on the performance of broiler chickens. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 9(3): 278-282.
- Dibner J.J. and Richards J.D. (2005). Antibiotic growth promoters in agriculture history and mode of action. *Poult. Sci.*, 84(4): 634-643.
- FAO (2021). Gateway to poultry production and products. https://www.fao.org/poultry production-products/production/en/.
- Gupta G. and Charan S. (2007). Exploring the potential of *Ocimum sanctum* (shyama tulsi) as feed supplement for its growth promoter activity in broiler chickens. *Indian J. Poult. Sci.*, 42(2): 140-143.
- Hasan M.N., Mostofa M., Sorwar M.G., Hasan M.T., Das K. and Hossain D.M.N. (2016). Effects of tulsi leaf extract on body weight gain in broiler production. *Bangladesh J. Vet. Med.*, 14(1): 21-25.
- Hossain M.S., Faruk M.A.Z., Das D. and DasbS. (2021). Effects of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and tulsi (*Ocimum sanctum*) extract in the growth performance of broiler with economics of production. *J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 4(1): 1066.
- Islam M.T., Faruk M.A.Z., Hossain M.S. and Das D. (2021). Efficacy as a growth promoter of Tulsi leaves extract in broiler production with carcass characteristics and hematological profile. *N.A. J. Adv. Res. Rev.*, 11(3): 272-279.
- Joshi B., Lekhak S. and Sharma A. (2009). Antibacterial property of different medicinal plants: Ocimum sanctum, Cinnamomum zeylanicum, Xanthoxylum armatum and Origanum majoran. *Kathmandu University Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 5(1): 143-50.
- Lanjewar R.D., Zanzad A.A., Ramteke B.N. and Deshmukh G.B. (2008). Effect of dietary

- supplementation of tulsi (O. sanctum) leaf powder on the growth performance and serum lipid profile in broilers. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.*, 25(4): 395-397.
- Mondal S., Bijay R.M. and Sushil C.M. (2009). The science behind sacredness of Tulsi (*Ocimum sanctum* Linn.). *Indian J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, 53(4): 291-306.
- Onwurah F.B., Ojewola G.S. and Akomas S. (2011). Effect of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* 1.) On coccidial infection in broiler chicks. *Acad. Res. Int.*, 1(3): 438-442.
- Pandian C., Sundaresan A., Omprakash A.V., Babu M. and Prabakaran R. (2013). Effect of phytobiotics on production performance in Rhode Island Red (RIR) chicken. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.*, 30(2): 188-190.
- Prajapat U.K., Jain D., Dhuria R.K., Sharma T., Bothra T., Nehra R. and Kumar M. (2018). Effect of supplementation of tulsi (*Ocimum sanctum*) leaf powder and fenugreek (Trigonella foenum graecum L.) seed powder on growth performance in broilers. *Vet. Pract.*, 19(1): 144-146.
- Prakash P. and Gupta N. (2005). Therapeutic uses of Ocimum sanctum L. (Tulsi) with a note on eugenol and its pharmacological actions: a short review. *Indian J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, 49(2): 125-131.
- Shende K.A., Dhuria R.K., Goklaney D. and Barolia Y.K. (2021). Effect of supplementation of tulsi (*Ocimum sanctum*) leaf and Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) powder as feed additive on daily weight gain, comparative economics and mortality percent of broiler chicks. *Int. J. Bio-Resour. Stress Manag.*, 12(1): 1-6.
- Sheoran N., Kumar R., Kumar A., Batra K., Sihag S., Maan S. and Maan N.S. (2017). Nutrigenomic evaluation of garlic (*Allium sativum*) and holy basil (*Ocimum sanctum*) leaf powder supplementation on growth performance and immune characteristics in broilers. *Vet. World*, 10(1): 121-129.
- Singh N., Verma P., Pandey B.R. and Bhalla M. (2012). Therapeutic potential of *Ocimum sanctum* in prevention and treatment of

- cancer and exposure to radiation: An overview. *Int J. Pharm Sci Res.*, 4(2): 97-104
- Singh R.K. (2020). Current scenario and challenges of poultry sector of India. https://www.pashudhanpraharee.com/current-scenario-challenges-of-poultrysector- of-india/.
- Snedecor G.W. and Cochran W.G. (1994). Statistical methods. 9th edition. The Iowa, State University Press, Ames, Iowa.
- Subramanian M., Chintalwar G.J. and Chattopadhyay S. (2005). Antioxidant and

- radioprotective properties of an *Ocimum* sanctum polysaccharide. Redox Rep., 10(5): 257-64.
- Vetrivel S.C. and Chandrakumar M. (2012). The role of poultry industry in Indian economy. *Braz. J. Poult. Sci.*, 15(4): 287-294
- WHO (1997). Antibiotic use in food-producing animals must be curtailed to prevent increased resistance in humans. *Press Release* WHO/73, October 20th, 1997.

Received: July 12, 2023 Accepted: August 25, 2023