Print ISSN: 0972-8813 e-ISSN: 2582-2780 [Vol. 22(2) May-August 2024]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN: 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 22(2) May-August 2024

CONTENTS

Impact of Zea nicaraguensis introgression on Kernel Trait Variability in maize lines23SENTHILKUMAR V., PRIYA GARKOTI., THOTLA NARESH, MAYANK TIWARI, ANIRUDH T. V.and NARENDRA KUMAR SINGH	31
Improving Brassica juncea performance through hybrid breeding strategies: a focus on combining ability and heterosis analysis ANU SINGH, USHA PANT, PREETI LOHANI, A. S. JEENA and ANIL KUMAR	14
Study of Nano Urea application under graded n rates on growth, productivity and nitrogen use efficiency of transplanted rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) S.K.YADAV , D.K.SINGH, PRATIMA ARYA and YUVRAJ SINGH	51
Isolation, screening and characterization of Drought tolerant Plant Growth Promoting bacteria from Indian Himalayas PRIYANKA KHATI, PANKAJ KUMAR MISHRA and LAKSHMI KANT	51
Impact of Glomalin-Related Soil Proteins on in vitro Finger Millet (Eleusine coracana (L.) Gaertn.) seed germination AMIT SINGH RANA, SUGANDHA PANT, ASHOK KUMAR VERMA and ASHUTOSH DUBEY	72
Rating scale of pedological development in humid moisture regime of guava growing soils in north-east region of Haryana DHARAM PAL and DINESH	79
Coating micronized elemental sulphur powder on prilled urea: process and product evaluation P. O. SURESH, N. R. PATEL, R. JAT, R. A. PANIA, A. K. MISHRA, P. B. VAISHNAV	36
Multi-year temporal analysis of sheath blight incidence in rice using geostatistical technique AMIT BIJLWAN, RAJEEV RANJAN, MANENDRA SINGH, RAJ KUMAR SINGH, RAJEEV KUMAR SRIVASTAVA, KRISHNA PRATAP SINGH and RAVINDRA KUMAR SINGH RAJPUT	₽7
Efficiency assessment of classifiers for sugarcane area mapping: A machine learning approach with Google Earth Engine POOJA YADAV, AJEET SINGH NAIN and SHIVANK DEVLIYAL)5
Calibration and performance evaluation of the APSIM and CERES-Wheat model in the foot hills of Western Himalayas NEHA PAREEK, A.S. NAIN, P. K. SINGH, HEMANT KUMAR, SHRUTI V. SINGH, MANJARI SINGH, PRIYANKA SWAMIand SANTOSH KUMAR	19
Population dynamics of major insect pests of sesame and their correlation with meteorological factors BHUMIKA RAWAT, M. S. KHAN, ASHUTOSH and DEEPIKA JEENGAR	30
In-vitro screening of Trichoderma isolates for their antagonistic potential against Rhizoctonia solani causing aerial blight of Soybean ARUNKUMAR, BHUPESH CHANDRA KABDWAL and ROOPALI SHARMA	35
Physiological and biochemical responses of okra seed (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) to botanicals and containers during storage SUNIL KUMAR, S. S. JAKHAR, ANIL KUMAR MALIK and AXAY BHUKER	50
Effect of integrated weed management practices on growth parameters in vegetable pea (Pisum sativum L.) NEELIMA RAWAT, MANOJ RAGHAV, DHIRENDRA SINGH, ALKA VERMA, NAVNEET PAREEK, HITAISHI KURIYALand IMAMUDDIN SHAH	57

Maximizing Chrysanthemum (<i>Dendranthema gradiflora</i> T.) growth and yield: Unveiling the superiority of Black Polythene Mulch HARSHITA BORA, MAMTA BOHRA and K. C. SINGH	360
Utilization of ultrasonicated edible coating to prolong shelf life of fresh cut- onion NEHA RAWAT, SATISH KUMAR SHARMA, ANIL KUMAR, NAVIN CHANDRA SHAHI, ASHUTOSH DUBEY, CHARU BISHT, ARCHANA GANGWAR	368
Effect of cooperative societies on food security status of cassava farming households in delta state, Nigeria IZEKOR, O.Band OKOROR O.T.	378
Strategies for Improving Agricultural practices: A case study of tomato growers from Uttarakhand TAMANNA JOSHI and ASHUTOSH SINGH	388
Physico-functional and sensory qualities of instant custard powder incorporated with resistant starch from Grand Naine banana SRUTHY. P. M., SHARON. C. L., SEEJA THOMACHAN PANJIKKARAN, A. N. JYOTHI, ANEENA E. R.and LAKSHMI P. S.	398
Development and quality evaluation of rice-based meal replacer with chocolate flavour for adults ATHIRA RAJ, SUMAN K.T., BEENA A. K., SEEJA THOMACHAN PANJIKKARAN, SHARON C. L., LAKSHMY P. S., DELGI JOSEPH C.and SREELAKSHMI A. S.	404
Effect of bleaching on optical properties of dhaincha (Sesbania aculeata) pulp SURABHI DAS, ANITA RANI, MANISHA GAHLOT, SAKSHI and NIDHI SISODIA	411
Evaluation of genetic and non-genetic factors affecting first lactation traits in crossbred cattle NAYLA FRAZ, B. N. SHAHI, R. S. BARWAL, C. V. SINGH and A. K. GHOSH	421
Mushroom (<i>Agaricus bisporus</i>) waste as a replacement for deoiled rice bran and its impact on immunocompetence against Ranikhet (Newcastle) disease virus in Rhode Island Red Chicken MANAS ARORA, R. KUMAR, A. TEWARI, A. KUMAR, J. PALOD and B.C MONDAL	426
Effect of <i>Aloe vera</i> leaf extract on pathological lesions of <i>Escherichia coli</i> infected broiler chickens MAMTA KUMARI, RAJENDAR P. GUPTA, DEEPIKA LATHER, PREETI BAGRI, RENU SINGH, SARVAN KUMARand KOMAL	433
Effect of metronidazole on hematological parameters in Common Carp (Cyprinus carpio) ANIKA SHARMA, MADHU SHARMA, TARANG SHAH and PRASANJIT DHAR	443
Reproductive and productive performances of Japanese Quails (Coturnix japonica) under agro-climatic	449
conditions of Assam DEBAJIT DEKA, ARFAN ALI, ASHIM KUMAR SAIKIA, MRIDUL DEKA, UTPAL JYOTI SARMA, MANORANJAN NEOG and RANJIT KUMAR SAUD	
Performances of Turkey birds under backyard system in agro-climatic condition of Assam DEBAJIT DEKA, ARFAN ALI, ASHIM KUMAR SAIKIA, MRIDUL DEKA, MANORANJAN NEOG, RANJIT KUMAR SAUD and UTPAL JYOTI SARMA	454
Nutraceutical supplements for managing pain and inflammation: A special focus on palmitoylethanolamide and astaxanthin AKHTER RASOOL, DIVYA CHAVAN, PULI VISHNUVARDHAN REDDY, JAN MOHD MUNEEB and IRTIQA MANZOOR	459
Characterization and use of hydrochars from wheat straw, fruit peels, and sewage sludge: A potential biofuel source KARAN SATHISH and SHWETA SARASWAT	470
Battery assisted single wheel weeder for medicinal plants SANDEEP KUMAR SAROJ and JAYANT SINGH	479
Chat GPT: Perception of students towards AI tool ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL and POOJA GOSWAMI	486

Evaluation of genetic and non-genetic factors affecting first lactation traits in crossbred cattle

NAYLA FRAZ, B. N. SHAHI*, R. S. BARWAL, C. V. SINGH and A. K. GHOSH

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145 (U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand)
*Corresponding author's email id: bijendranshahi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The present study was undertaken on crossbred cattle utilizing the records of 567 daughters of 57 sires, spread over a period of 30 years from 1990 to 2019, maintained at Instructional Dairy Farm of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand to study the inheritance pattern of different economic traits. The least squares mean (LSM) along with their standard errors of age at first calving (AFC), first service period (FSP), first dry period (FDP), first lactation length (FLL), first calving interval (FCI), first lactation peak yield (FLPY), days to attend peak yield (DPY), first lactation milk yield (FLMY) and first lactation 305-day milk yield (FL305DMY) were observed as 1268.85 ±16.75 days, 267.51 ± 1.93 days, 91.56 ± 1.86 days, 369.41 ± 6.68 days, 465.80 ± 6.64 days, 13.88 ± 0.17 kg, 39.76 ± 0.78 days, 3294.64 ± 77.93 kg and 2570.74 ± 38.30 kg, respectively. Significant effects of sire were found on AFC, FLPY, DPY, FLMY and FL305DMY. Significant effect of period of calving was observed on AFC, FSP, DPY, FLMY, and FL305DMY while non-significant effect was found on FDP, FLL, and FCI. The effect of season of calving was found non-significant for all the first lactation traits under study. The heritability estimates for the traits under study AFC, FSP, FDP, FLL, FCI, FLPY, DPY, FLMY and FL305DMY were observed as 0.34 ± 0.21, 0.10 ± 0.1, 0.12 ± 0.11, 0.45 ± 0.16, 0.32 ± 0.17, 0.42 ± 0.22, 0.40 ± 0.20, 0.54±0.19 and 0.59±0.20, respectively. Thus, it can be asserted that sire and non-genetic factors have significant effect on the production and reproduction trait in crossbred cattle. It is pertinent to pre adjust the data of environmental factors for evaluating genetic parameters of economically important traits.

Key words: Crossbred cattle, first lactation traits, genetic and non-genetic factors

Enhancing dairy animal production can be accomplished through the improvement of environmental conditions, the enhancement of the population's average breeding values, or a combination of both approaches. The key to determining the actual progress achievable lies in partitioning the total phenotypic variance of economically important traits into genetic and nongenetic components. Accurate measurement of milk production per cow during lactation is of major interest in genetic improvement programmes. Using these measures, cumulative 305 days milk production is calculated and used not only in genetic evaluations but also in herd management as a decision-making tool (e.g., culling or feeding management).

The performance of a herd is determined by the animal's average milk and reproductive performance. The interaction of the cow's genetic

composition and environmental factors at the specified time and age results in cow milk production (Yadav et al., 2018). It is, therefore, crucial to provide information on non-genetic factors throughout the genetic assessment of performance characteristics in milk-specified cows (Nyamushamba et al., 2013). Non-genetic factors comprise those environmental factors that have non-measurable effects, such as diseases as well as ecological factors that have measurable effects, such as lactation stage, cow age, parity, season, and year of calving, all of which are important when planning breeding programmes (Javed et al., 2004).

The current study on crossbred cattle uses first lactation milk records as its primary data source. First lactation features are influenced by variety of genetic and non-genetic factors, therefore, accounting for these aspects is crucial for an objective assessment of an animal's genetic value.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source and collection of data

The present study was undertaken utilizing the records of 567 daughters of 55 sires, distributed over a period of 30 years from 1990 to 2019. The data were collected from cattle history sheets and milk record registers of crossbred cattle maintained at Instructional Dairy Farm of GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand.

Location and climatic condition of the farm

Pantnagar is located at the foothills of the Himalayas (Shiwalik range) and geographically falls in the humid sub-tropical climate zone. It is situated at 290 North latitude, 79.30 East longitudes and an altitude of 236.54 meters above the mean sea level. The region is popularly known as 'Tarai' due to its subtropical humid climate. The minimum temperature falls to 0.8°C (33.4°F) in January which is the coldest month whereas the maximum temperature goes up to 43.5°C (110.3°F) in May and June, which are the hottest months in this region. The average annual rainfall recorded in Pantnagar is 1699 mm. Most of the rainfall occurs in the months of July and August. The relative humidity ranges from 28% in the month of April to a high of 80% in the month of August.

Grouping of data

The data were classified according to the season and period of calving. The data spread over 30 years (1990-2019) for crossbred cattle was grouped into five periods. Each year has been divided into three seasons based on rainfall, temperature and humidity over the year's (Table 1).

Table 1: Distribution of observations according to period and season of calving

Period	Year of calving	Name of season	Group of months
1	1990-1995	Summer	March to June
2	1996-2001	Monsoon	July to October
3	2002-2007	Winter 1	November to February
4	2008-2013		
5	2014-2019		

Least squares analysis

Since the sub-class numbers were unequal and disproportionate, therefore, the data on various performance traits was subjected to Least-squares analysis by fitting constants to estimate magnitude of various genetic and non-genetic sources of variations on these traits. The analysis was carried out by using model-2 and model-8 of mixed model least-squares maximum likelihood (LSMLMW) computer programme designed by Harvey (1990). For estimation of genetic parameters and breeding values, the sire having progeny less than three were not included. The data was suitably classified to study the effects of non-genetic factors like period and season of birth. For this study the model was $\begin{aligned} Yijkl &= \mu + S_{_i} + G_{_j} + P_{_k} + e_{_{ijkl}} \text{ the observation on } l^{th} \\ \text{progeny of } i^{th} \text{ sire of } j^{th} \text{ season in } K^{th} \text{ period where,} \end{aligned}$ μ = Population mean; S_i = Random effect of ith sire (i=1,2,3,4.....57); Gj = Fixed effect of jth season of calving (j=1,2,3); $P_k = Fixed$ effect of k^{th} period of calving (k=1,2....5); $e_{ijkl} = Random error assumed$ to be normally and independently distributed with mean zero and constant variance i.e., NID $(0, \sigma^2)$

Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT)

The statistical significance of various fixed effects (period and season of calving) in the least squares model was determined by 'F' test. For significant effects, the differences between pairs of levels of effects were tested by DMRT as modified by Kramer (1957).

Estimation of heritability

Heritability estimates for different traits were obtained from sire component of variances using paternal half-sib correlation method (Becker, 1975). The sires with three or more than three progenies were included for the estimation of heritability. The model used to estimate the heritability was: $Y_{ij} = \mu + s_i + e_{ij}$; Where, $Y_{ij} = \text{Observation of the } j^{th}$ progeny of the i^{th} sire; $\mu = \text{Overall mean}$; $s_i = \text{Effect}$ of the i^{th} sire, $e_{ij} = \text{Random error NID} (0, \sigma^2_e)$; The s_i and e_{ij} were assumed to be independent of each other.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The overall least squares mean along with standard

Table 2: Leas	t squa	Table 2: Least squares means along with standard errors for first lactation traits	vith standard er	rors for first l	actation traits					
Effect		AFC	FSP	FDP	FLL	FCI	FLPY	DPY	FLMY	FL305DMY
Overall	567	1268.85±16.75	267.51±1.93	91.56±1.86	369.41±6.68	465.80±6.64	13.88±0.17	39.76±0.78	567 1268.85±16.75 267.51±1.93 91.56±1.86 369.41±6.68 465.80±6.64 13.88±0.17 39.76±0.78 3294.64±77.93 2570.74±38.30	2570.74±38.30
P 1(period)	89	1206.21 ± 58.52^{a}	255.61 ± 6.75^{ab}	90.36 ± 6.51	90.36 ± 6.51 385.89 ± 23.34 512.17 ± 23.20 11.69 ± 0.60 ^a	512.17 ± 23.20	11.69 ± 0.60^{a}	40.42 ± 2.72	$40.42 \pm 2.72 2763.1 \pm 5272.24^{a} 1974.06 \pm 133.78^{a}$	1974.06 ± 133.78^{a}
P 2	146	146 1236.73±47.68 ^a	274.37±5.50 ^b	83.25 ± 5.30	391.17 ± 19.02	496.51 ± 18.90	13.40 ± 0.49^{a}	42.51 ± 2.21	$83.25 \pm 5.30 391.17 \pm 19.02 496.51 \pm 18.90 13.40 \pm 0.49^{\circ} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 221.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 2.21.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 2.21.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21 3754.66 \pm 2.21.81^{b} 2788.65 \pm 109.00^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21.81^{b} 42.51 \pm 2.21.8$	2788.65 ± 109.00^{b}
P 3	160	160 1200.63±41.67 ^b	268.54 ± 4.81^{b}	90.53 ± 4.63	368.93 ± 16.62	475.06 ± 16.52	16.04 ± 0.43^{b}	39.78 ± 1.93	$268.54 \pm 4.81^{b} 90.53 \pm 4.63 368.93 \pm 16.62 475.06 \pm 16.52 16.04 \pm 0.43^{b} 39.78 \pm 1.93 3575.36 \pm 193.83^{b} 2817.90 \pm 95.25^{b} 2817$	2817.90±95.25 ^b
P 4	111	11 1267.16±51.09 ^b	274.61±5.89 ^b	94.02 ± 5.68	363.43 ± 20.38	482.24 ± 20.25	14.84 ± 0.53^{b}	38.94 ± 2.37	$274.61 \pm 5.89^{\flat} 94.02 \pm 5.68 363.43 \pm 20.38 482.24 \pm 20.25 14.84 \pm 0.53^{\flat} 38.94 \pm 2.37 3235.49 \pm 237.68^{\flat} 2647.66 \pm 116.80^{\flat}$	2647.66 ± 116.80^{b}
P 5	82	1433.54 ± 93.07^{b}	264.44 ± 10.73^{a}	99.62 ± 10.35	264.44 ± 10.73 ^a 99.62 ± 10.35 337.61 ± 37.12 363.02 ± 36.89 13.43 ± 0.96 ^b	363.02 ± 36.89	13.43 ± 0.96^{b}	37.14 ± 4.32	37.14±4.32 3144.52±432.95° 2625.41±212.75°	2625.41±212.75°
S 1 (season)	180	180 1225.25±24.91 ^a	270.04 ± 2.87	92.40 ± 2.77	367.86 ± 9.94	$367.86 \pm 9.94 465.43 \pm 9.88 13.66 \pm 0.26^{a}$	13.66 ± 0.26^{a}	38.53 ± 1.16	38.53 ± 1.16 3192.5 ± 8115.90 2524.25 ± 56.95	2524.25±56.95
S 2	145	.45 1282.07±26.57 ^{ab}	266.67 ± 3.06	91.80 ± 2.96	91.80 ± 2.96 366.28 ± 10.60 464.72 ± 10.53 13.62 ± 0.27 ^a	464.72 ± 10.53	13.62 ± 0.27^{a}	40.60 ± 1.23	40.60±1.23 3346.71±123.60 2603.35±60.74	2603.35 ± 60.74
S 3	242	1299.24±22.74 ^b	265.83 ± 2.62	90.47±2.53	374.08±9.07	467.24 ± 9.01	14.36±0.23 ^b	40.15 ± 1.06	$242 1299.24 \pm 22.74^{b} 265.83 \pm 2.62 90.47 \pm 2.53 374.08 \pm 9.07 467.24 \pm 9.01 14.36 \pm 0.23^{b} 40.15 \pm 1.06 3344.62 \pm 105.79 2584.61 \pm 51.98 2584.61 \pm 1.08 2584.61 \pm 1.$	2584.61±51.98

errors of AFC, FSP, FDP, FLL, FCI, FLPY, DPY, FLMY and FL305DMY were observed as 1268.85 ± 16.75 days, 267.51 ± 1.93 days, 91.56 ± 1.86 days, 369.41 ± 6.68 days, 465.80 ± 6.64 days, 13.88 ± 0.17 kg, 39.76 ± 0.78 days, 3294.64 ± 77.93 kg and 2570.74 ± 38.30 kg, respectively. The LSM and standard errors of production and reproduction traits in different classes of calving seasons, and periods are presented in Table 2. The similar findings were reported by Kumar et al. (2017) and Kumari et al. (2019) in crossbred cattle for AFC and for FSP very same estimates were reported by Shahi and Kumar (2010) in crossbred cattle.

Effect of Sire on First Lactation Traits

The least squares analysis of variance showed that sire had a highly significant impact on AFC, FLPY, DPY, FLMY and FL305DMY. However, in the present investigation, the effect of sire on FSP, FDP, FLL and FCI was found to be non-significant.

The genetic contribution of sires to their offspring can vary significantly. If the sires have diverse genetic backgrounds, this variation can lead to significant differences in traits like milk production, growth rate, fertility, and other reproductive traits. Environmental factors such as nutrition, housing, and management practices can influence production and reproduction traits. If these factors are not consistent across all sires' offspring, it might mask or amplify the genetic effects, leading to nonsignificant results. Traits with higher heritability are more likely to show significant sire effects because a larger portion of the trait variation is due to genetic factors. Traits with low heritability might not show significant sire effects because environmental factors play a major role in phenotypic expression. There could be interactions between sires and other factors such as dams, specific management practices, or even the specific environment. These interactions can complicate the interpretation of the significance of the sire effect. So, the significance of the sire effect on production and reproduction traits in cattle can be attributed to a combination of genetic variation, environmental consistency, trait's heritability, and potential interactions.

These results were consistent with those of Shahi and Kumar (2010), Lodhi et al. (2016), Jadhav et al. (2019) and Girimal et al. (2020) studied the effect of sire on production and reproduction traits in crossbred cattle.

Effect of period and season of calving on First Lactation Traits

Significant effect of period of calving was observed on AFC, FSP, DPY, FLMY, and FL305DMY while non-significant effect was found on FDP, FLL, and FCI. These results were consistent with those of Shahi and Kumar (2010), Puhle et al. (2015), Singh et al. (2015), Lodhi et al. (2016), Kokati et al. (2017), Gaikwad et al. (2018), Verma et al. (2018) and Girimal et al. (2020). However, the effect of season of calving was found non-significant for all the first lactation traits under study. Non-significant effect of season of calving on various first lactation traits were also reported by Tiwari et al. (2010), Divya et al. (2014), Gaikwad et al. (2018), Basak and Das (2018) and Jadhav et al. (2019). The reason for the significant and non-significant effects of the period and season of calving on first lactation traits could be due to variations in management practices, genetic traits of the cows, dietary consistency, and regional climate conditions.

Heritability Estimates of Different First Lactation Traits

The heritability estimates for the traits under study, AFC, FSP, FDP, FLL, FCI, FLPY, DPY, FLMY and FL305DMY were observed as 0.34 ± 0.21 , 0.10 ± 0.1 , 0.12 ± 0.11 , 0.45 ± 0.16 , 0.32 ± 0.17 , 0.42 ± 0.22 , 0.40 ± 0.20 , 0.54 ± 0.19 and 0.59 ± 0.20 , respectively. Heritability estimates for the first lactation traits in the present study were found to be low to medium except for FLMY and FL305DMY which were on higher side. The h^2 estimates were as expected according to the traits which indicated that traits with sufficient additive genetic variability can be improved by selection whereas those with low to medium h^2 can be improved by adopting better management practices.

The medium to high heritability for first lactation peak yield were reported by Kumar *et al.* (2017); for first lactation milk yield by Kumar *et al.* (2008) and Kharat *et al.* (2008); for first lactation 305 days milk yield by Singh and Gurnani (2004), Ambhore *et al.* (2017) and Girimal *et al.* (2020). Medium to low heritability for reproduction traits were reported by Lodhi *et al.* (2016), Kuchekar *et al.* (2021) and Rajeev and Kumar (2021) in cross bred cattle.

CONCLUSION

Productive and reproductive traits are affected by genetic and non-genetic factors. Evaluation of these factors provides the basic information for establishing sound breeding programmes for genetic improvement of the animal population. It helps in selecting animals with superior genetic merits based on their high breeding values. However, it assists in early prediction of genetic merit and reducing the generation interval which results in increasing the amount of genetic gain. The non-genetic factors have

an important bearing on these traits and directly obscure recognition of genetic potential. Moreover, the performance records of an animal should be corrected for classifiable non-genetic sources of variation, which is essential for obtaining precise estimates of genetic parameters. The heritability of a trait plays an important role in formulating the genetic improvement programme and predicting genetic gain (response to selection).

REFERENCES

- Ambhore, G.S., Singh, A., Deokar, D.K., Gupta, A.K., Singh, M. and Prakash, V. (2017). First lactation production and reproduction performance of Phule Triveni cattle in hot arid region of Maharashtra *Indian J Anim Sci.*, 87(1): 105–108.
- Basak, S. and Das, N.D. (2018). Effect of parity, period and season of calving on production and reproduction traits on Deoni Cattle. *J Anim. Health Prod.*, 6: 1-4.
- Becker, W.A. (1975). *Manual of Quantitative Genetics*. 3rd Edition, Washington State University, Washington, USA.
- Divya, P., Singh, A., Gandhi, R.S. and Singh, R.K. (2014). Estimation of breeding values of first lactation 305-day milk yield from and multi-trait animal models in Karan Fries cattle. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 84(10): 1085-1089.
- Gaikwad, U., Dengre, R., Deokar, D. and Bhoite U. (2018). Studies on first lactation production traits of HF x Gir Halfbreds. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Science*, 5(2): 12-15.
- Girimal, D.G., Kumar, D., Shahi, B.N., Ghosh, A.K. and Kumar, S. (2020). Studies on some reproduction and first lactation milk yield traits in Sahiwal and crossbred cattle. *J. Vet. Med. Animal Sci.*, 3(1): 1019.
- Harvey, W.R. (1990). User's guide for LSMLMW and MIXMDL PC-2 version. Mixed model least-squares and maximum likelihood computer program, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA.
- Jadhav, S.S., Deokar, D.K., Fulpagare, Y.G., Bhoite, U.Y., Mandkmale, S.D. and C.V. Nimbalker

- (2019). Effect of genetic and non-genetic factors on first lactation production and reproduction traits in HF × Gir cattle. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 8(1): 45-51.
- Javed, K., Afzal, M., Sattar, A. and Mirza, R.H. (2004). Environmental factors affecting milk yield in Friesian cows in Punjab. *Pak Vet J.*, 24(2): 58–61.
- Kharat, A.S., Kuralkar, S.V. and Ali, S.Z. (2008). Genetic studies on first lactation and lifetime traits in Holstein Friesian crossbred cows. *Ind. J. Anim. Res.*, 42: 261-263.
- Kokati, P., Panchal, B.D., Patel, A.C., Bahuguna,
 P.K., Joshi, R.S. and Rank, D.N. (2017).
 Genetic parameters of production and reproduction traits and factors affecting it in Frieswal cattle. *Int. J Livestock Res.*, 7.
- Kramer, C.R. (1957). Extension of multiple range tests to group correlated means. *Biometrics.*, 13: 13-18.
- Kuchekar, H.D., Bhoite, U.Y., Bhoite, S.U., Shinde, K.P. and Pachpute, S.T. (2021). Effect of genetic and non-genetic factors on first lactation reproduction and production traits in HF x Gir Halfbred. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 10(03): 927-932.
- Kumar, A., Mandal, A., Gupta, A.K., Ratwan, P. and Kumar, N. (2017). Genetic evaluation of reproductive traits in Jersey crossbred heifers at an organized farm of eastern India. *Indian J Anim. Res.*, 51: 619-624.
- Kumar, S., Singh, Y.P. and Kumar, D. (2008). Genetic studies on performance traits in Frieswal cattle. *Ind. J. Anim. Sci.*, 78: 107-110.
- Kumari, S., Narang, R., Malhotra, P., Sharma, N. and Mehrotra, A. (2019). Evaluation of reproductive performance of crossbred cattle maintained at an organized farm in Punjab. *The Pharma Innovation*, 8: 159-162.
- Lodhi, G., Singh, C.V., Barwal, R.S. and Shahi, B.N. (2016). Genetic and phenotypic parameters of first lactation and lifetime traits in crossbred cattle, *Int. J. Agric. Pol. Res.*, 4 (8): 143-148.
- Nyamushamba, G.B., Halimani, T.E., Imbayarwo-Chikosi V.E., Tavirimirwa, B. and Zishiri,

- O.T. (2013). Effect of within herd environmental factors on milk, fat and protein yields in Red Dane cattle in Zimbabwe. *Online J Anim Feed Res.*, 3(5): 202–204.
- Puhle, K.J., Mehla, R.K. and Intiwati Bhat, S.A. (2015). Effect of non-genetic factors on various economic traits in Karan Fries crossbred cattle. *Ind. J. of Dairy Sci.*, 68(2): 163-169.
- Rajeev and Kumar, R. (2021). Genetics and phenotypic analysis of first lactation production, reproduction and part lactation traits in Frieswal cattle. *Agric. Sci. Digest.* 4: 252-255.
- Shahi, B.N. and Kumar, D. (2010). Genetic variability in first lactation and herd life traits in Sahiwal and Jersey × Sahiwal cattle. *Indian Vet. J.*, 87 (11):1168-1170.
- Singh, A., Dubey, P.P., Kaur, S., Malhotra, P., Kumar, A. and Dash, S.K. (2015). Genetic analysis of first lactation traits in cattle. *Progressive Res. Int. J.*, 10(4): 2243-2246.
- Singh, M.K. and Gurnani, M. (2004). Performance evaluation of Karan Fries and Karan Swiss cattle under closed breeding system. *Australasian J. Anim. Sci.*, 17(1): 1-6.
- Tiwari, M., Kumar D., Singh, C.V., Singh, B. and Gupta, H.P. (2010). Estimation of genetic parameters of first lactation and lifetime traits in Sahiwal and its crossbreds. *Indian Vet. J.*, 87: 572-574.
- Verma, U.K., Kumar, S., Yousuf, S., Ghosh, A.K. and Aswal, A.P.S. (2018). Genetic and phenotypic parameters of first lactation traits in red sindhi × jersey crossbred cattle. *J. Exp. Zool. India.*, 21(1): 201-204.
- Yadav, A., Shukla, A.K. and Sharma, M.K. (2018). Prediction of future milk yield from early lactation traits in crossbred cattles using multiple linear regression models. *Plann. Prac.Res.*, 13: 613-618.

Received: July 05, 2024 Accepted: July 26, 2024