Print ISSN: 0972-8813 e-ISSN: 2582-2780 [Vol. 23(2) May-August 2025]

# Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN: 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

#### ADVISORY BOARD

#### **Patron**

**Prof. Manmohan Singh Chauhan**, Ph.D., Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India **Members** 

Prof. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Jitendra Kwatra, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. S.S. Gupta, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. A.H. Ahmad, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Community Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Lokesh Varshney, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Avdhesh Kumar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Subhash Chandra, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India Prof. Ramesh Chandra Srivastava, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B.P.U.A.T., Pantnagar, India

#### **EDITORIAL BOARD**

#### Members

**A.K. Misra**, Ph.D., Ex-Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India & Ex-Vice Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Honorary Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Ex-Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Principal Scientist, ICAR National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKVV, Raipur, Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Ex-Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR, Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Omkar, Ph.D., Professor (Retd.), Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor (Redd.), Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Soil Contaminant Chemist, CSIRO, Australia

Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, United States

R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Ex-Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Jowa State University, U.S.A.

S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor Emeritus, Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.

Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Honorary Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Life Sciences, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad, India

**Vijay P. Singh**, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Texas A & M University, U.S.A.

#### **Editor-in-Chief**

Manoranjan Dutta, Ph.D., Ex Head, Germplasm Evaluation Division, National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

#### **Managing Editor**

S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor (Retd.) & Ex-Director Research

G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

#### Assistant Managing Editor

Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

#### **Technical Manager**

S.D. Samantaray, Ph.D., Professor & Head, Department of Computer Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

#### **Development**

Dr. S.D. Samantaray, Professor & Head

Brijesh Dumka, Developer & Programmer

### PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 23(2) May-August, 2025

### CONTENTS

Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in soils and <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> leaf grown around a river bank and dump site ORHUE, E. R., EMOMU, A., JUDAH-ODIA, S. A., AIGBOGHAEBHOLO, O. P. and NWAEKE, I. S.	139
<b>Evaluation of maize cultivars for spring season in Indo-Gangetic plain of India</b> AMIT BHATNAGAR, N. K. SINGH and R. P. SINGH	149
Weed management approaches for improving maize productivity in <i>Tarai</i> Belt of India AKHILESH JUYAL and VINEETA RATHORE	157
Effect of <i>Aloe vera</i> based composite edible coatings in retaining the postharvest quality of litchi fruits ( <i>Litchi chinensis</i> Sonn. ) cv. Rose Scented GOPAL MANI, OMVEER SINGH and RATNA RAI	163
Effect of chemical treatments on seed yield and quality in parthenocarpic cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) DHIRENDRA SINGH and UDIT JOSHI	178
Assessment of chrysanthemum ( <i>Dendranthema grandiflora</i> Tzvelev) varieties for their suitability for flower production under <i>Tarai</i> region of Uttarakhand PALLAVI BHARATI and AJIT KUMAR KAPOOR	183
Population dynamics of brown planthopper and mirid bug in relation to weather factors in the <i>Tarai</i> region DEEPIKA JEENGAR and AJAY KUMAR PANDEY	194
Influence of weather parameters on the population dynamics of Papaya mealybugs, <i>Paracoccus marginatus</i> and its natural enemies in Pantnagar, Uttarakhand DIPTI JOSHI and POONAM SRIVASTAVA	200
In vitro phosphate solubilizing and phyto stimulating potential of Rhizospheric Trichoderma from Hilly areas of Kumaun Region DIVYA PANT and LAKSHMI TEWARI	208
<b>Economics of interventions and diversifications in existing farming systems in hills of Uttarakhand</b> DINESH KUMAR SINGH, AJEET PRATAP SINGH and ROHITASHAV SINGH	221
Brucellosis surveillance and reproductive performance in an organized dairy herd of Uttarakhand: A seven-year retrospective analysis (2018–2024) ATUL YADAV, SHIVANGI MAURYA, MAANSI and AJAY KUMAR UPADHYAY	227
Effects of nanosilver administration on immune responses in Wistar Rats NEHA PANT, R. S. CHAUHAN and MUNISH BATRA	230

Antibacterial activity of Clove bud extract on MDR bacteria KANISHK A. KAMBLE, B. V. BALLURKAR and M. K. PATIL	240
Effect of iron oxide and aluminium oxide nanoparticles on biochemical parameters in Wistar rats NISHA KOHLI and SEEMA AGARWAL	247
Comprehensive case report of a mast cell tumor in a dog: clinical, cytological and histopathological analysis SWASTI SHARMA, SONALI MISHRA and GAURAV JOSHI	257
Evaluation of <i>In vitro</i> digestibility, functional and sensory characteristics of pre-digested corn and mungbean composite flour MANISHA RANI and ANJU KUMARI	261
Prevalence and public health correlates of constipation among adults in U. S. Nagar, Uttarakhand AKANKSHA SINGH, RITA SINGH RAGHUVANSHI and APURVA	270
Formulation and quality assessment of cheeses enriched with sapota pulp DELGI JOSEPH C. and SHARON, C. L.	279
<b>Application of RSM for optimizing 7-day fermentation conditions in rice wine production</b> RIYA K ZACHARIA, ANEENA E. R and SEEJA THOMACHAN	289
Investigating the mechanical properties and water absorption behavior of hemp-based natural fiber-reinforced bio-composites for humidity-resistant applications DEEPA SINGH and NEERAJ BISHT	303
Evaluating the performance of a forced convection solar drying system for chhurpi: A comparative analysis with traditional drying techniques SYED NADEEM UDDIN, SANDEEP GM PRASAD and PRASHANT M. DSOUZA	317
Digitization of G. B. Pant University Herbarium (GBPUH) and development of Virtual Herbarium Pantnagar, Uttarakhand (INDIA) RUPALI SHARMA, DHARMENDRA SINGH RAWAT and SANGEETA JOSHI	326
Constraints grappled with by rural communities during the implementation of Viksit Krishi Sankalp Abhiyan 2025 in Udham Singh Nagar District ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL, B. D. SINGH, AJAY PRABHAKAR, SWATI and MEENA AGNIHOTRI	332

#### Effects of nanosilver administration on immune responses in Wistar Rats

NEHA PANT, R. S. CHAUHAN and MUNISH BATRA\*

Department of Veterinary Pathology, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263 145 (U. S. Nagar, Uttarakhand)
\*Corresponding author's email id: batramunish74@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** The present study was conducted to know the effects of nanosilver administration at NOAEL dose on immune responses in Wistar rats for a period of 90 days. Thirty-five, 6-week-old, Wistar rats of both the sexes were divided randomly in two groups. Group 1 with 20 rats served as control. Group II comprising of 15 rats were administered silver nanoparticle through distilled water *per os* at NOAEL dose rate of 30 mg/kg body weight/day from 0 day of experiment till 90<sup>th</sup> days post treatment (DPT). Five rats from each group (I and II) were slaughtered at 0<sup>th</sup> (5 rats from group I only, was slaughtered), 30<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> DPT and blood and spleen were collected at these intervals to study the effect of nano-silver on both HIR and CMI. In group II, reduced titre of HI and ELISA test values, significant decrease in serum gamma globulin values at 90<sup>th</sup> DPT showed the deleterious effect of nanosilver on the humoral immune response. Decreased thickness of the DNFB applied skin in delayed type hypersensitivity reaction and NBT positive cells in macrophage function test in the test rats showed harmful effects of the nano-silver on the cell mediated immunity. Significant decrease in ΔOD during the lymphocytes timulation test performed on splenocytes of the test rats using Con-A,PHA-M and LPS mitogens also showed lymphocyto toxic effect against both mature and immature T lymphocytes and B lymphocytes. It was concluded from the present studies that nanosilver exerted adverse effects on immune responses in Wistar rats at NOAEL dose for a period of 90 days.

Key words: Immune responses, nanosilver, NOAEL dose, Wistar rats

There is a surge of interest in the emerging fields of nanoscience and nanotechnology at the turn of the century as nanotechnology has provided us with solutions for early disease detection and treatment of metabolic disorders (Sanhai et al., 2008). Nanoparticles (NPs) display unique, physical, and chemical properties and represent an increasingly important material in the development of novel drugs and medicines (Zhang and Saltzman, 2013). The popularity of nanotechnology is increasing due to its beneficial effects, but there must be serious concerns about its possible health risks (Ema et al., 2010). Although humans have been exposed to nanoparticles throughout history, it increased dramatically during the industrial revolution. Water, soil, and air are all pathways through which NPs infiltrate the environment when humans engage in various activities. Animals and human beings are knowingly/unknowingly exposed to various synthetic or natural nanoparticles present in our micro-environment. In addition, studies have shown that NPs can enter organisms by ingestion or inhalation and can

travel throughout the body to numerous organs and tissues where they can exert their reactive toxicological effects. Toxicological effects of metallic nanoparticles (MNPs) on both animal and plant cells are currently under investigations. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are increasingly used in various fields, including medical, food, health care, consumer, and industrial purposes, due to their unique physical and chemical properties (Natsuki et al., 2015). Unfortunately, most of the interactions of the silver nanoparticles with the human body are still unclear; as a consequence, the most desirable characteristics for the silver nanoparticles do not seem to be well established. Silver (particularly in the form of soluble silver compounds) has toxic effects in both animals and humans, in addition to its antibacterial properties. The toxic effects are particularly more in people working in the silver mining, manufacturing, or packaging industries (Al Gurabi et al., 2015). Keeping in view the above facts, the present study was conducted to investigate the effects of nanosilver administration on immune responses in Wistar rats

at a NOAEL dose of 30mg/kg body weight per day (Kim et al., 2010) for a period of 90 days.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Apparently healthy, six weeks old, 35 Wistar rats of both the sexes were procured from Laboratory Animal Resources, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, India. The rats were maintained in Experimental Animal House of Department of Veterinary Pathology, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pantnagar under standard managemental conditions. The project was approved by Institutional Animal Ethics Committee vide letter no. IAEC/CVASc/VPP/420 dated 12-12-2020. All the rats were acclimatized for a period of seven days prior to experiment. Rats were randomly divided in two groups, group I with 20 rats as control group and group II with 15 rats as treatment group. The silver nitrite nanoparticles used in this study were having aerodynamic particular size of less than 90 nm and molecular weight is 107.87 was purchased from Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., India. It was suspended in distilled water and working samples of recommended dose formulation was prepared on daily basis during the entire period of study. It was homogenized by sonication just prior to administration and were gavaged once daily for 90 days, at the NOAEL dose of 30mg/kg body weight/day (Kim et al, 2010). The rats were vaccinated with 0.1 ml of R2B strain of Newcastle Disease vaccine intraperitoneally at 0th days post treatment (DPT) and 30th DPT. The experimental design in tabular form is given in Table 1.

Five rats from each group (I and II) were slaughtered at 0<sup>th</sup> (5 rats from group I only, was slaugh-

Table 1: Experimental design

Days post	Control group (GI)	Treatment group (GII)
treatment	(Normal feed and water)	(AgNP+ Normal feed and water)
-	,	reca and water)
0	5 Rats	-
$30^{\text{th}}$	5 Rats	5 Rats
$60^{ m th}$	5 Rats	5 Rats
$90^{ m th}$	5 Rats	5 Rats
Total	20 Rats	15 Rats

tered), 30<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> DPT as shown in the Table 1. Blood and spleen were collected. Various immunological parameters for studying both humoral and cell mediated immune responses are as under.

#### **Humoral Immunity**

Humoral immunity was studied by determination of serum gamma globulins, serum antibody titres by haemagglutination inhibition test (HA and HI tests), ELISA and B-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay applied on splenocytes, at the above said intervals.

#### Determination of serum gamma globulins

Serum gamma globulin concentration was estimated by the protocol mentioned by Chauhan, 1998. Briefly, serum was mixed with the ammonium sulfate and sodium chloride solution. It was kept in ice bath for overnight and then it was centrifuged to separate the precipitate. Precipitate obtained was then dissolved in the NSS, mixed with biuret reagent and then optical density was read against 555 nm.

#### Determination of antibody tire

Antibody titre was assessed in serum by employing the haemagglutination inhibition test (HA and HI tests) and ELISA at the above said intervals.

#### Haemagglutination Inhibition Test

Humoral immune response was assessed by using HA and HI tests on the serum separated by using protocol as mentioned by Allan and Gough, 1974. Briefly,  $50~\mu l$  of phosphate buffer saline was added in all columns of the round bottom microtitre plate. In first well of each column,  $50~\mu l$  of virus antigen (New Castle Disease Vaccine R2B strain) was added and mixed thoroughly 2 fold dilution. From the eleventh well,  $50~\mu l$  content was discarded. Then, 1% chicken erythrocyte suspension was prepared in phosphate buffer saline. For preparation of this suspension, chicken blood was collected in Alsever's solution and centrifuged. After centrifugation it was washed 3 times with phosphate buffer saline. A 0.1~m l of RBC suspension was added in each well from

1<sup>st</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> column and mixed by rotating the plate. It was kept inside the incubator at 37°C for 1 hr and was examined after 15 minutes past incubation for better results.

#### Haemagglutination Inhibition test procedure

In this, persistent virus concentrations and diluted serum sample was used. A 50 µl of serum sample was placed from each sample into the respective wells of round bottom 96 wells microtitre plate. Then two-fold dilution of the serum was made in normal saline solution, starting from 1:2 to 1:1024. After that, 50 µl virus suspension containing 4 HA unit virus was added to each well and was mixed well by manual shaking and the plate was incubated at 37°C temperature for 1 hour duration. Finally, 50 µl of 0.5% chicken erythrocytes was added to each well and the plate was kept at 40°C for the settlement of RBCs. The results were observed after 1 hour duration.

The HI titre was calculated by the following formula: HI titre = Reciprocal of the end point showing complete inhibition of haemagglutination is directly expressed as HI titre of the serum.

## Determination of antibody tire by Enzyme Linked ImmunosorbantAssay

This was done as per the protocol of Joshi and Chauhan, 2012. In this, round bottom wells of microplates were coated with 100 µl antigen diluted in coating buffer (0.05 M carbonate bicarbonate buffer pH 9.6, dilution 1:24). Plates were kept at 4°C overnight. Next day, the plates were washed thrice with washing solution (0.2 M PBS containing 0.05% tween-20 solution) followed by tapping against a towel to get rid of any unadsorbed material in the wells. Blocking of the remaining unsaturated sites of polystyrene plates was done using by 5% skim milk powder and the suspension was kept 37°C for 1 hr. Plates was again washed using washing solution and tapped thrice. A 100 µl of diluted serum (1:1000) was added in duplicate wells and the plates were kept at 37°C for 2 hours. Washing with PBStween and tapping was done thrice each. Thereafter,

100  $\mu$ l of diluted anti IgG conjugate (1:1000) was added in all wells and incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. Washing and tapping was done three times each. Substrate to be used i. e., Ortho phenylenediaminedihydrochloride (OPD) was prepared (8 mg in 15 ml of 0.1 M citric acid phosphate buffer containing 5  $\mu$ l 30% hydrogen peroxide). After washing of plate again, 100  $\mu$ l of the OPD was added in each well and after 30 minute reaction was stopped with stopping reagent containing 1M  $_2$ SO<sub>4</sub> and absorbance was read at 492 nm.

Calculation for ELISA values was done by the following formula:

ELISA value = 
$$\frac{\text{OD of Test}}{\text{OD of Control}}$$

#### **B-Lymphocyte Blastogenesis Assay**

This was done using protocol of Joshi and Chauhan, 2012. Briefly, single cell suspension of the lymphocytes was made by the grinding of the spleen and then separation of the cell is done by using density gradient centrifuge. Harvested lymphocytes were then cultured in RPMI-1640 medium. Survivability of cells was checked using the technique of Trypan blue dye exclusion (Azadmehr et al., 2016). By using 100 µl cell cultures, 3 sets of triplicate wells were prepared. First set of 3 wells was used as control by putting 100 µl of RPMI-1640 in each well. In second set of 3 wells, 50 µl of LPS along with 50 µl of RPMI-1640 was added, respectively. After proper sealing of the plate, it was incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After 68 hrs of incubation, 50 μl of MTT dye was added in wells and the plate was again incubated for 4 hrs at 37°C with 5% CO, After 4 hours of incubation, the clear fluid was discarded and 100 µl of acid isopropanol was added in the wells and mixed properly. The absorbance was taken at 570 nm using ELISA reader. For calculating the B lymphocyte stimulation index, graph was plotted for OD of LPS mitogen.

#### Cell mediated immunity

Cell mediated immunity was studied by using T-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay, Macrophage function test at the above said intervals and the delayed type hypersensitivity reaction (DTH).

#### T-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay

This was done using protocol of Joshi and Chauhan, 2012 as described above in the B-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay except Con A or PHA-M was used in place of LPS and for calculating the T lymphocyte stimulation index, graph was plotted for OD of Con-A or PHA-M mitogen.

#### Macrophage Function Test

This test was performed using heparinized blood using NBT reduction assay as per the protocol of Joshi and Chauhan (2012). Briefly, peripheral blood (1 ml) was collected in heparin coated vials and equal amount of RPMI-1640 was added. A 5 ml of Histopaque-1119 (Himedia-LSM 1119) was taken in another centrifuge tube and the blood-media mixture was gradually overlaid without mixing the materials. The tube was centrifuged at 400 g, for 10-15 minutes and the upper opaque layer was formed containing most of the phagocytic cells. A mixture of 0.3 ml of 0.2 per cent NBT in PBS, 0.2 ml of cell suspension which contains 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml, and 0.1 ml of activated plasma (activated plasma was prepared by combining 1 ml of plasma + 15 µl LPS) was prepared. The mixture was then incubated in water bath at 37°C for 30 minutes. Then the reaction was stopped by adding cold PBS. The cell suspension was then centrifuged at 500g for 5 minutes and supernatant was discarded. The cells were resuspended in 0.5 ml PBS. A drop of cell suspension was placed on clean dry glass slide and smear was made. The smear was air dried and fixed in methanol for 2 minutes. The cells were counter-stained with Safranin (0.5%) for 30 sec. The slides were air dried and observed under oil immersion. NBT positive cells were counted. Positive cells appeared black in color due to blue-black color of NBT dye.

#### Delayed Type Hypersensitivity Test

For delayed type hypersensitivity reaction, an area of 1cm<sup>2</sup> of skin was shaved, cleaned and sterilized

and then sensitized with 25 µl of 1% DNFB at 72<sup>th</sup> DPT. Rats were again challenged with 25 µl of 0.1%DNFB at 87<sup>th</sup> DPT. Skin thickness was measured at 0, 12, 24, 36,48 and 72 hours post challenge (HPC) (Curzytek *et al.*, 2013).

The data generated during the course of experiment was subjected to statistical analysis by using two way ANOVA (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results pertaining to immune responses, both humoral and cell mediated, measured by various parameters are as under:

# Humoral Immunity Serum gamma globulin

Mean serum gamma globulin values of experimental rats in both the groups at different time intervals expressed in g/dl and are presented in Table 2. Mean values in group I rats were 0.45±0.06, 0.47±0.05, 0.42±0.04 and 0.44±0.04g/dl at 0, 30th, 60th and 90th DPT, respectively. In group II rats, these values were  $0.45\pm0.07$ ,  $0.46\pm0.03$ ,  $0.38\pm0.04$  and  $0.34\pm0.03$ g/dl at 0, 30th, 60th and 90th DPT, respectively. Mean serum gamma globulin value of group II rats was found to decrease by 2.3%, 9.82% and 22.5% at 30th, 60th and 90thDPT, respectively when compared with group I rats. There was significant decrease in serum gamma globulin at 90th DPT when compared with the control group rats. When these values were compared within the same group at different time intervals, there was no significant difference in the values of group I and II rats at any time interval.

#### Haemagglutination Inhibition Test

Mean HI titer determined in experimental rats of both the groups at various time intervals of the experiment expressed in  $\log_2$  value and are presented in Table 3. Mean values of HI titer observed in group I rats were  $8.4\pm0.36$ ,  $8.4\pm0.27$ ,  $8.6\pm0.35$  and  $8.8\pm0.34$  at  $0^{\text{th}}$ ,  $30^{\text{th}}$ ,  $60^{\text{th}}$  and  $90^{\text{th}}$  DPT, respectively. In group II rats, mean values were  $8.4\pm0.38$ ,  $7.2\pm0.21$ , 7.40.28 and 7.20.39 at  $0^{\text{th}}$ ,  $30^{\text{th}}$ ,  $60^{\text{th}}$  and  $90^{\text{th}}$  DPT, respec-

tively. The values of mean HI titer in group II were decreased by 14.28%, 13.95% and 18.18 % at 30<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> DPT, respectively when compared with the control group rats. Significant decrease in the HI titer was observed in treated rats at 90<sup>th</sup> DPT when compared with the control group rats. When these values were compared within the same group at different time intervals, there was no significant difference in the values of group I and II rats at any time interval.

#### Enzyme Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay (ELISA)

Mean ELISA values of experimental rats in both the groups at different time intervals are presented in Table 4. Mean ELISA values in group I rats were  $0.82\pm0.04$ ,  $0.85\pm0.03$ ,  $0.99\pm0.05$  and  $1.21\pm0.06$  and in group II rats, mean values were 0.82±0.06,  $0.81\pm0.05$ ,  $0.89\pm0.04$  and  $0.93\pm0.03$  at 0,  $30^{th}$ ,  $60^{th}$ and 90th DPT, respectively. Mean ELISA values of group II rats were found to decrease by 4.7%, 10.24% and 23.14% at 30th, 60th and 90th DPT of the experiment, respectively when compared with group I rats. There was significant decrease in mean ELISA values at 90th DPT when compared with the control group rats. When these values were compared within the same group at different time intervals, there was no significant difference in the values of group I and II rats at any time interval.

#### **B-Lymphocyte Blastogenesis Assay**

The values of mean  $\Delta$  optical density ( $\Delta$ OD) in rats of both groups at different time intervals of the experiment for B-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay using LPS mitogen are presented in Table 5. Mean  $\Delta$ OD values in group I rats were  $0.70\pm0.08$ ,  $0.76\pm0.05$ ,  $0.92\pm0.05$  and  $1.37\pm0.14$  at  $0,30^{\text{th}}$ ,  $60^{\text{th}}$  and  $90^{\text{th}}$  DPT, respectively. In group II rats, mean  $\Delta$ OD values were  $0.70\pm0.08$ ,  $0.71\pm0.09$ ,  $0.78\pm0.07$  and  $0.98\pm0.07$  at  $0,30^{\text{th}}$ ,  $60^{\text{th}}$  and  $90^{\text{th}}$  DPT, respectively. The values of mean  $\Delta$ OD in group II rats decreased by 6.57 %, 15.21 % and 28.46 % at  $30^{\text{th}}$ ,  $60^{\text{th}}$  and  $90^{\text{th}}$  DPT when compared with the values of control group. Results of mean  $\Delta$  OD in rats for B-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay using LPS mitogen showed significant difference between test and control group at  $90^{\text{th}}$  DPT.

When these values were compared within the same group at different time intervals, there was a significant increase in the values of group I at 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> DPT compared to 0 DPT and a significant increase in the values of II rats at 90<sup>th</sup> DPT compared to 0<sup>th</sup> DPT.

Table 2: Mean (Mean $\pm$  SE) serum gamma globulin (g/dl) in different groups of experimental rats at different time intervals of the experiment

Day Post	Mean (Mean± SE) serum gamma globulin (g/dl)*	
Treatment	Group I (Control)	Group II (Treated)
0	$0.45{\pm}0.06^{aA}$	0.45±0.07 <sup>aA</sup> (0%)
$30^{\text{th}}$	$0.47{\pm}0.05^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$0.46\pm0.03^{\mathrm{aA}}(-2.3\%)$
$60^{\text{th}}$	$0.42{\pm}0.04^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$0.38\pm0.04^{aA}$ (-9.82%)
$90^{\text{th}}$	$0.44{\pm}0.07^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$0.34\pm0.03^{\text{bA}}(-22.5\%)$

\* Different small alphabetic letters (a and b) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference between groups on particular DPT, whereas different capital alphabets (A and B) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference within days in a particular group (DPT= Days post treatment).

Table 3: Mean (Mean $\pm$  SE) haemagglutination inhibition titer ( $\log_2$ ) of different groups of experimental rats at different time intervals of the experiment

Day Post	HI (Mean± SE) titer in $\log_2^*$	
Treatment	Group I (Control)	Group II (Treated)
0	$8.4\pm0.36^{aA}$	$8.4\pm0.38^{\mathrm{aA}}(0\%)$
$30^{th}$	$8.4{\pm}0.27^{aA}$	7.2±0.21 <sup>aA</sup> (-14.28%)
$60^{ m th}$	$8.6 \pm 0.35^{aA}$	$7.4\pm0.28^{aA}$ (-13.95%)
$90^{\rm th}$	$8.8{\pm}0.34^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$7.2\pm0.39^{\text{bA}}$ (-18.18%)

Different small alphabetic letters (a and b) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference between groups on particular DPT, whereas different capital alphabets (A and B) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference within days in a particular group (DPT= Days post treatment).

Table 4: Mean (Mean  $\pm$  SE) antibody titer in serum of different groups of experimental rats at different time intervals of the experiment measured by ELISA

	Day Post	ELISA values $(Mean \pm SE)^*$	
-	Treatment	Group I (Control)	Group II (Treated)
	0	$0.82{\pm}0.04^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$0.82\pm0.06^{\mathrm{aA}}(0\%)$
	$30^{\text{th}}$	$0.85{\pm}0.03^{aA}$	$0.81\pm0.05^{\mathrm{aA}}$ (-4.70%)
	$60^{\text{th}}$	$0.99{\pm}0.05^{aA}$	$0.89\pm0.04^{\mathrm{aA}}(-10.24\%)$
	$90^{th}$	$1.21\pm0.06^{aB}$	$0.93\pm0.03^{\mathrm{bA}}\left(-23.14\%\right)$

\*Different small alphabetic letters (a and b) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference between groups on particular DPT, whereas different capital alphabets (A and B) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference within days in a particular group (DPT= Days post treatment).

#### Cell Mediated Immunity

Cell mediated immune response was studied by using T-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay for both mature and immature T lymphocytes, Macrophage

Table 5: Mean (Mean  $\pm$  SE) delta optical density values in B-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay using LPS in different groups of experimental rats at different time intervals of the experiment

Day Post	Delta optical density (Mean ± SE)*	
Treatment	Group I (Control)	Group II (Treated)
0	$0.70{\pm}0.08^{aA}$	$0.70\pm0.08^{\mathrm{aA}}(0\%)$
$30^{\mathrm{th}}$	$0.76 \pm 0.05^{aA}$	$0.71\pm0.09^{aA}$ (-6.57%)
$60^{ m th}$	$0.92{\pm}0.05^{aB}$	$0.78\pm0.07^{\mathrm{aA}}(-15.21\%)$
$90^{\mathrm{th}}$	$1.37 \pm 0.14^{aBC}$	$0.98 \pm 0.07^{\mathrm{bB}} (-28.46\%)$

\*Different small alphabetic letters (a and b) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference between groups on particular DPT, whereas different capital alphabets (A and B) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference within days in a particular group (DPT= Days post treatment).

Table 6:Mean (Mean  $\pm$  SE) delta optical density values in T-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay using Con-A indifferent groups of experimental rats at different time intervals of the experiment

Day Post	Delta optical density (Mean ± SE)*	
Treatment	Group I (Control)	Group II (Treated)
0	$0.73 \pm 0.03^{aA}$	0.73±0.03 <sup>aA</sup> (0%)
$30^{\text{th}}$	$0.75{\pm}0.07^{aA}$	$0.69\pm0.05^{\mathrm{aA}}(-8\%)$
$60^{\text{th}}$	$0.81 \pm 0.06^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$0.74\pm0.08^{\mathrm{aA}}(-8.64\%)$
$90^{\rm th}$	$1.4{\pm}0.07^{\mathrm{aB}}$	$0.85\pm0.08^{bA}(-39.28\%)$

\*Different small alphabetic letters (a and b) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference between groups on particular DPT, whereas different capital alphabets (A and B) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference within days in a particular group (DPT= Days post treatment).

Table 7: Mean (Mean  $\pm$  SE) delta optical density values in T-lymphocyte blastogenesis assay using PHA-M in different groups of rats at different time intervals of experiment

Ds		
0	0.61±0.04 <sup>aA</sup>	$0.61\pm0.04^{\mathrm{aA}}(0\%)$
$30^{\text{th}}$	$0.65\pm0.07^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$0.62\pm0.09^{aA}(-4.61\%)$
$60^{\text{th}}$	$0.89 \pm 0.09^{\mathrm{aB}}$	$0.78\pm0.15^{aB}(-12.35\%)$
$90^{\mathrm{th}}$	$1.2\pm0.12^{aBC}$	$0.88\pm0.07^{\mathrm{bB}}(-26.66\%)$

\*Different small alphabetic letters (a and b) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference between groups on particular DPT, whereas different capital alphabets (A and B) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference within days in a particular group (DPT= Days post treatment).

Function Test, and delayed type hypersensitivity reaction.

#### T- Cell blastogenesis assay using Concanavalin A

The values of mean  $\Delta$  optical density ( $\Delta$ OD) in both the groups of experimental rats at different time intervals are presented in Table 6. Mean  $\Delta$ OD values in group I rats were  $0.73\pm0.03$ ,  $0.75\pm0.07$ ,  $0.81\pm0.06$  and  $1.4\pm0.07$  at 0,  $30^{th}$ ,  $60^{th}$  and  $90^{th}$  DPT, respectively. In group II rats, mean  $\Delta$ OD values were  $0.73\pm0.03$ ,  $0.69\pm0.05$ ,  $0.74\pm0.08$  and  $0.85\pm0.08$  at  $0,30^{th}$ ,  $60^{th}$  and  $90^{th}$  DPT, respectively. The values of mean  $\Delta$ OD in group II rats were decreased by 8%, 8.64% and 39.28% at  $30^{th}$ ,  $60^{th}$  and  $90^{th}$  DPT when compared with the values of control group. The decrease in group II values was significant as compared to group I at  $90^{th}$  DPT. When these values were compared within the same group at different time inter-

Table 8: Mean (Mean  $\pm$  SE) NBT positive cells in macrophage function test in different groups of rats at different time intervals of experiment

Day Post	NBT positive cells in % (Mean ± SE)*	
Treatment	Group I (Control)	Group II (Treated)
0	$48{\pm}1.84^{aA}$	48±1.82 <sup>aA</sup> (0%)
$30^{th}$	$48.6{\pm}1.47^{aA}$	$46\pm1.35^{aA}(-5.34\%)$
$60^{\text{th}}$	$50.2{\pm}1.98^{aA}$	42.4±2.64 <sup>a</sup> A(-15.53%)
$90^{\text{th}}$	$49.8 \pm 2.52^{aA}$	37.5±3.48 <sup>bA</sup> (-24.69%)

\* Different small alphabetic letters (a and b) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference between groups on particular DPT, whereas different capital alphabets (A and B) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference within days in a particular group (DPT= Days post treatment).

Table 9: Mean (Mean  $\pm$  SE) skin thickness measured during delayed type hypersensitivity reaction in different groups of rats at different time intervals post antigen challenge

Hours post-	Thickness of skin in cm (Mean ± SE)*	
challenge	Group I (Control)	Group II (Treated)
0	$0.18\pm0.03^{aA}$	0.13±0.02 <sup>bA</sup> (-27.77 %)
$12^{th}$	$0.25{\pm}0.02^{aB}$	$0.19\pm0.05^{\mathrm{bB}}$ (-24%)
$24^{th}$	$0.62 \pm 0.04^{aBC}$	0.55±0.03 <sup>aBC</sup> (-11.29%)
$48^{th}$	$0.76{\pm}0.03^{\rm aBCD}$	$0.68\pm0.02^{aBCD}$ (-10.52%)
$72^{\rm nd}$	$0.71 \pm 0.02^{aBCE}$	$0.64\pm0.04^{aBCD}$ (-9.85%)

\* Different small alphabetic letters (a and b) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference between groups on particular DPT, whereas different capital alphabets (A, B, C, D and E) indicate significant (P<0.05) difference within days in a particular group (DPT= Days post treatment).

vals, there was a significant increase in the values of group I at 90<sup>th</sup> DPT compared to 0 DPT but there was no significant difference in the values of group II rats throughout the experiment.

#### T- Cell blastogenesis assay using Phytohaemagglutinin- M

The values of mean  $\Delta$  optical density ( $\Delta$ OD) in both the groups of experimental rats at different time intervals are presented in Table 7. Mean ΔOD values in group I rats were  $0.61\pm0.04$ ,  $0.65\pm0.07$ ,  $0.89\pm0.09$ and 1.2±0.12 at 0, 30th, 60th and 90th DPT, respectively. In group II rats, mean ΔOD values were 0.61±0.04, 0.62±0.09, 0.78±0.15 and 0.88±0.07 at 0, 30th, 60th and 90th DPT, respectively. The values of mean  $\triangle$ OD in group II rats were decreased by 4.61%, 12.35% and 26.66% at 30th, 60th and 90th DPT when compared with the values of control group. There is a significant decrease in mean  $\triangle$  OD of rats of group II rats when compared with that of group I rats at 90<sup>th</sup> DPT. When these values were compared within the same group at different time intervals, there was a significant increase in these values of group I and II rats at 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> DPT compared to 0 DPT.

#### Macrophage Function Test

The mean values of NBT positive cells i. e. cells containing blue/black granules, of both the groups of rats at different time intervals, expressed in % and are presented in Table 8. The values of mean NBT positive cells in rats of control group were 48±1.84, 48.6±1.47, 50.2±1.98 and 49.8±2.52 and in rats of test group were 48±1.82, 46±1.35, 42.4±2.64 and  $37.5\pm3.48$  at day 0<sup>th</sup>,  $30^{th}$ ,  $60^{th}$  and  $90^{th}$  of the experiment, respectively. Mean NBT positive cells in test group were recorded decreased at day 30th, 60th and 90th by 5.34%, 15.53% and 24.69%, respectively when compared with control group. Significant decrease in mean NBT positive cells of group II rats was observed at 90th DPT when compared with that of group I rats. When these values were compared within the same group at different time intervals, there was no significant difference in the values of group I and II rats at any time interval.

#### **Delayed Type Hypersensitivity Reaction**

Mean skin thickness of experimental rats in both the groups at different time intervals expressed in cm and are presented in Table 9. The values of mean thickness of the skin in control group were 0.18±0.03, 0.25±0.02, 0.62±0.04, 0.76±0.03 and 0.710.02 and in treated group were  $0.13\pm0.02$ ,  $0.19\pm0.05$ ,  $0.55\pm0.03$ ,  $0.68\pm0.02$  and 0.640.04 at 0,  $12^{th}$ ,  $24^{th}$ , 48<sup>th</sup> and 72<sup>th</sup>hour post challenge (HPC), respectively. The values of mean thickness of skin in group II rats decreased by 27.77 %, 24 %, 11.29 %, 10.52 % and 9.85 % at 0, 12th, 24th, 48th and 72th HPC respectively. Results of mean skin thickness showed significant decrease between group II and group I at 0 and 12 HPC. Significant increase in mean skin thickness was observed in group I between 0-12, 0-24, 0-48, 0-72, 12-24, 12-48, 12-72 and 24-48 HPC and in group II significant increase in mean skin thickness was observed between 0-12, 0-24, 0-48 and 0-72HPC.

There was significant decrease in serum gamma globulin values at 90<sup>th</sup> DPT in group II rats compared to group I rats. Immunoglobulins that are mainly produced by B cells, play role in neutralization of antigen and toxin (Vali *et al.*, 2020). The significant decrease in serum gamma globulin in silver nanoparticles treated rats might be due to the fact that nanoparticles can bind to immune proteins or enzymes in systemic circulation, and lower their activities. Silver nanoparticles can exhaust immune cells through continuous stimulation at lower concentrations and degenerate them through oxidative damage at higher concentrations. Similar results were obtained by Vali *et al.*, 2020 in silver nanoparticles exposed common carp.

Decreased antibody concentration was recorded in serum of the group II rats in comparison to the controls as measured by HI and ELISA. The HI titer represents the specific antibodies present in the serum of rats due to the response of Ranikhet disease vaccine including both IgM and IgG immunoglobulins. That indicates the immunotoxic effect of nanoparticles on the synthesis of immunoglobulins leading to decrease in their titer in serum. ELISA was used to measure the level of serum IgG concen-

tration in groups I and II of rats; results of which also suggest a decrease in the concentration of IgG antibodies. It further confirms the immunotoxic effect of the nanoparticles on antibodies which might be exhibited with a serious outcome of immunodeficiency leading to vaccine failure and increased susceptibility of the individuals resulting in occurrence of new diseases and increased incidence of cancer (Banga *et al.*, 2005).

Decrease B-lymphocyte activity measured as mean delta optical density in B lymphocytes blastogenesis assay using LPS as mitogen was observed in splenic cell cultures of group II rats compared to the controls. Significant decrease in values was observed at 90th DPT, which was considered as an important parameter to indicate decrease in antibody synthesis. It further confirmed the deleterious toxic effect on antibodies synthesis and lympho-cytotoxic effect on B lymphocytes due to silver nanoparticles. These results are in accordance with that of Ghosh et al., 2012; Greulich et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2016; Shin et al., 2007. In these studies, it was revealed that AgNPs causes cytotoxicity and inhibition of proliferation in B-lymphocytes. Li and associates in 2018 also demonstrated a time- and dose-dependent cytotoxicity in cPBMCs involving apoptosis at high concentrations of citrate-silver nanoparticles (10 and 50  $\mu g/ml$ ). Even at sub-lethal doses of 0.1 and 1  $\mu g/ml$ , citrate-silver nanoparticles negatively affected the proliferative activity of PBMCs (Li et al., 2018). Decreased percentage of NBT positive cells in nanoparticles treated group II rats in comparison to group I rats in macrophage function test is an indication of down regulation of macrophage functions leading to reduced engulfment and digestion power of the phagocytic cells. This deleterious effect of nanoparticles on phagocytic cells might further manifested in the form of down regulation of B lymphocytes resulting in decreased antibody titer because macrophages do participate in the process of antigen presentation and processing to furthering the immune process and remain in contact with other cells through a cell-to-cell communication. Under the circumstances of down regulation of phagocytic cells, the cell-to-cell communication also get disturbed due to the lack or inefficient production and / or activity

of cytokines particularly the interleukins leading to down regulated immune functions (Chauhan and Tripathi, 2002). Similar changes were also observed in various studies, where cytotoxicity and inhibition of proliferation of macrophages were revealed on long term exposure to silver nanoparticles (Ghosh *et al.*, 2012; Greulich *et al.*, 2011; Huang *et al.*, 2016; Shin *et al.*, 2007).

Decreased mean thickness of the skin in group II rats, in which DNFB was applied to assess the delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) reaction, was also observed when compared with the group I rats. Although the results were not statistically significant but these tests suggest deteriorating effect of silver nanoparticles on the cell mediated immunity. It may be due to the cytotoxic effect of the nanoparticles on the immune cells. Results are also confirmed by decreased absolute lymphocyte count and monocyte count in the group II test rats (Kumar, 2021). Silver nanoparticles are shown to elicit an immunosuppressive response (Ngobili and Daniele, 2016). T- Lymphocyte blastogenesis assay was also performed to assess the effect of nanoparticles on the blastogenesis activity of the T lymphocytes. Decrease in mean delta optical density in T lymphocytes blastogenesis assay using Con-A and PHA-M was observed in group II rats at 30th, 60th and 90th DPT as compared to the group I rats. Results were found to be significant at 90th DPT when Con-A as well as PHA-M was used as mitogen. This might because of the lympho-cytotoxic effect of the silver nanoparticles. It is a known fact that the mitogen Con-A acts on immature or newly recruited lymphocytes while PHA-M acts on fully differentiated and mature lymphoid cells; down regulation in the activity of both the types of cells is indicative of immuno-suppression particularly of cell mediated immunity. Various studies revealed cytotoxicity and inhibition of lymphocyte proliferation on long term exposure to silver nanoparticles (Ghosh et al., 2012; Greulich et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2016; Shin et al., 2007). In the present study, the T lymphocytes were first sensitized due to vaccination of the rats with Newcastle Disease vaccine and then on challenge by DNFB such sensitized cells get accumulated in skin where the mitogen application was done. Mean skin thickness was less in the nanosilver

treated group due to decreased accumulation of these cells as a result of deleterious effect of nanoparticles on the activity of the participating cells including down regulation of cell-to-cell communication, reduced cell migration at the site of challenge and reduced activity of leucocyte migration inhibition factor that leads to decreased DTH reaction. All this in conjunction, down regulation of T lymphocyte blastogenesis and DTH reaction are indicative of decreased cell mediated immunity due to the deleterious immunotoxic effects of silver nanoparticles. These results are supported by decrease in absolute lymphocyte count and total leucocyte count (Kumar et al., 2024) along with depletion and necrosis observed in white pulp area of spleen in the test rats during the experiment (Kumar, 2021).

#### **CONCLUSION**

It is concluded from the present study that nanosilver has adverse effects on immune system leading to decrease in both humoral as well as cell mediated immune responses in Wistar rats at NOAEL dose for a period of 90 days.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are thankful to Dean, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar for providing financial help to carry out this research work.

#### REFERENCES

- Al Gurabi, M. A., Ali, D., Alkahtani, S. and Alarifi, S. (2015). *In vivo* DNA damaging and apoptotic potential of silver nanoparticles in Swiss albino mice. *Onco Targets Ther.*, 8: 295-302.
- Allan, W. H. and Gough, R. E. (1974). A standard haemagglutination inhibition test for new castle disease. A comparison of macro and micro methods. *Vet. Rec.*, 95 (6):120-123.
- Azadmehr, A., Hajiaghaee, R., Molla Hassan, A. T., Jafari Vesiehsari, M. and Oladnabidozin, M. (2016). Splenocyte proliferation, NK cell activation and cytokines production by ex-

- tract of *Scrophularia variegata*; an *in vitro* study on micespleen cells. *Pharmacogn*. *Res.*, 3 (4): 9-15.
- Banga, R. K., Chauhan, R. S. and Telang, A. G. (2005). Immunodeficiency disease of livestock and poultry: A review. *Ind. J. Ani. Sci.*,75 (4): 445-455.
- Chauhan, R. S. (1998). *Laboratory Manual of Immunopathology*, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology. Pantnagar, India, Pp.19-52.
- Chauhan, R. S. and Tripathi, B. N. (2002). *Veterinary Immunopathology: Theory and practice*, 1<sup>st</sup>edition. International Book Dist. Co. Lucknow. Pp221.
- Curzytek, K., Kubera, M., Majewska- Szczepanik, M., Szczepanik, M., Marciñska, K., Ptak, W., Duda, W., Leœkiewicz, M., Basta-Kaim, A., Budziszewska, B. and Lasoñ, W. (2013). Inhibition of 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene-induced contacthy persensitivity reaction by antidepressant drugs. *Pharmacol. Rep.*, 65 (5):1237-1246.
- Ema, M., Kobayashi, N., Naya, M., Hanai, S. and Nakanishi, J. (2010). Reproductive and developmental toxicity studies of manufactured nanomaterials. *J. Reprod. Toxicol.*, 30 (3): 343–352.
- Ghosh, M., Manivannan, J., Sinha, S., Chakraborty, A., Mallick, S. K., Bandyopadhyay, M. and Mukherjee, A. (2012). *In vitro* and *in vivo* geno toxicity of silver nano particles. *Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen*,749 (1-2): 60-69.
- Greulich, C., Diendorf, J., Simon, T., Eggeler, G., Epple, M. and Köller, M. (2011). Uptake and intra cellular distribution of silver nano particles in human mesenchymal stem cells. *Acta Biomater*, 7 (1):347-354.
- Huang, H., Lai, W., Cui, M., Liang, L., Lin, Y., Fang, Q., Liu, Y. and Xie, L. (2016). An evaluation of blood compatibility of silver nano particles. *Sci. Rep.*, 6 (1): 1-15.
- Joshi, A. and Chauhan, R. S. (2012). Immunological techniques, Interpretations, Validation and Safety Measures. p.11-17, 38, 44, Kapish Prakashan, Gurgaon (Haryana).

- Kim, Y. S., Song, M. Y., Park, J. D., Song, K. S., Ryu, H. R., Chung, Y. H., Chang, H. K., Lee, J. H., Oh, K. H., Kelman, B. J. and Hwang, I. K. (2010). Subchronicoral toxicity of silver nanoparticles. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.*, 7 (1):1-11.
- Kumar, N. (2021). Clinicopathological studies on nanosilver administered Wistar rats. MVSc Thesis. G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar.
- Kumar, N., Batra, M., Verma, M. K., Singh, J. and Chauhan, R. S. (2024). Clinico-hematological effects of nanosilver administration in Wistar Rats. *Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology*, 27 (3): 171-177.
- Li, W. T., Chang, H. W., Yang, W. C., Lo, C., Wang, L. Y., Pang, V. F., Chen, M. H. and Jeng, C. R. (2018). Immunotoxicity of silver nano particles (Silver nano particles) on the leukocytes of common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiop struncatus*). Sci. rep., 8 (1): 1-12.
- Natsuki, J., Natsuki, T. and Hashimoto, Y. (2015). A review of silver nanoparticles: synthesis methods, properties, and applications. *Int. J. Mater. Sci. Appl.*, 4 (5): 325-332.
- Ngobili, T. A. and Daniele, M. A. (2016). Nano particles and direct immuno suppression. *Ex*-

- perimental Biology and Medicine, 241 (10):1064-1073.
- Sanhai, W. R., Sakamoto, J. H., Canady, R. and Ferrari, M. (2008). Seven challenges for nanomedicine. *J. Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 3 (5): 242–244.
- Shin, S. H., Ye, M. K., Kim, H. S. and Kang, H. S. (2007). The effects of nano-silver onthe proliferation and cytokine expression by peripheral blood mononuclearcells. *Int. Immunopharmacol.*, 7 (13): 1813-1818.
- Snedecor, G. W. and Cochran, W. G. (1994). Statistical Methods, 8<sup>th</sup> edition. Ames Iowa State University Press, USA. 507
- Vali, S., Mohammadi, G., Tavabe, K. R., Moghadas, F. and Naserabad, S. S. (2020). The effects of silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs) sublethal concentrations on common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*): Bioaccumulation, hematology, serum biochemistry and immunology, antioxidant enzymes, and skin mucosal responses. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 194: 110353.
- Zhang, J. and Saltzman, M. (2013). Engineering biodegradable nanoparticles for drug and gene delivery. *Chem. Eng. Prog.*, 109: 25–30.

Received: July 24, 2025 Accepted: August 5, 2025